## POINCARE RECURRENCE THEOREM FOR FINITELY ADDITIVE MEASURES

E. BARONE , K.P.S. BHASKARA RAO<sup>(1)</sup>

SUMMARY. - In this paper we study the validity of Poincaré recurrence theorem for finitely additive measures.

## § 1.- DEFINITIONS AND PROBLEM

Let X be an arbitrary non empty point set, and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  a trasformation on X. If  $(X, \mathcal{Q}, \mu)$  is a charge space, i.e.,  $\mathcal{Q}$  is a field of subsets of X and  $\mu$  is a nonnegative charge (usually called finitely additive measure) the transformation T is called a measurable transformation if

(1.1) 
$$\forall Ae Q : T^{-1}(A)e Q$$

A measurable trasformation T is said to be measure preserving if

(1.2) 
$$\forall A \in \hat{\mathbb{Q}} : \mu(T^{-1}(A) = \mu(A).$$

If T is a measure preserving transformation and  $Ee \mathcal{U}$  then a point  $x \in E$  is called recurrent if

$$\exists n \in \mathbb{N}^{\binom{2}{2}}$$
 such that  $T^n \times \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ 

and x is called strongly recurrent if

 $T^n \times \varepsilon E$  for infinitely many values of n.

 Work supported by CNR during a visit
by the second Author to the University of Lecce.

# (2) $\mathbb{N}$ is the set {1,2,3,...} of positive integers, $\mathbb{N}_{\circ} = \{0,1,2,...\}$ and $\mathbb{Z}' = \{...-2,-1,0,1,2,...\}$

Now, the classical Poincaré's recurrence theorem, very usefull in the Ergodic theory, asserts:

If  $\mathcal{C}_{i}$  is a  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of a set X,

 $\mu$  is a coutably additive measure (c.a.m.), T is measure preserving,  $\mu(X) < +\infty$  and E eQ, then almost every point of E is strongly recurrent.

This theorem is due essentially to H. Poincaré ([1]) p. 67-72) but the first rigorous proof was given in [2] by C. CARATHEODORY.

In this paper we study the validity of Poincaré recurrence theorem for charges.

#### § 2.- Results

<u>Theorem 1.</u> If T is measure preserving  $\mu$  a charge,  $\mu(X) < + \infty$ , Q is a  $\sigma$ -field and  $E \in \mathcal{G}$ , then almost every point of E is recurrent.

# PROOF.

We consider the set

$$(2.1) \quad F = \{x \in E : T^{n} x \notin E \forall n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

because of the identity

$$F = E - \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x \in E : T^n \times e E\} = E - \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} T^{-n}(E),$$

F is measurable.

Furthermore we have

$$F \cap T^{-n}(F) = \emptyset$$

and all the sets

$$F, T^{-1}(F), T^{-2}(F) \dots$$

are mutually disjoint since

$$T^{-n}(F) \cap T^{-(n+p)}(F) = T^{-n}(F \cap T^{-p}(F)) = T^{-n}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$$
.

Because T is measure preserving we have

 $\mu(T^{-n}(F)) = \mu(F)$  for n = 1, 2, 3, ...

and so if  $\mu(F) > 0$  we would have

$$+\infty = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(F) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(T^{-n}(F)) \leq \mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} T^{-n}(F)) \leq \mu(X) < +\infty.$$

This is a contradiction.

It is well known that for  $\mu(X) = +\infty$  theorem 1 is not necessarily true even if  $\mu$  is a c.a.m.

If  $\mu$  is a c.a.m., we have also the strong recurrence, i.e. almost every point of E is strongly recurrent, but this is not true if  $\mu$  is only finitely additive.

In fact if for instance  $X = \mathbb{R}$  it is well known ([3]) pag. 243) that there is a charge v on  $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R})$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (i)  $0 \leq v(A) \leq 1$  for all  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$
- (ii) v(A) = 1 if  $[\alpha, \infty] \subset A$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$
- (iii) v(A) = 0 if A is bounded above
- (iv) v(A+a) = v(A) for all  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $a \in \mathbb{R}$

Now if we consider

$$T(x) = x-1$$
 and  $A = [0,1]U[2,3]U[4,5]U$ ...

because A U A+1 = ]0,+  $\infty$ ] it follows that  $v(A \cup A+1) = 1$ 

 $\mathbf{v}$ 

and since  $A \cap A+1 = \emptyset$  and v(A) = v(A+1)

(by (iv) we have

$$(A) = \frac{1}{2} .$$

For every  $x \in A$  the set  $\{n : T^n(x) \in A\}$  is finite and x is not strongly recurrent.

So the strong version of recurrence theorem is not true, but we can give a result very near.

We need the following definition: a point  $x \in E$  is called <u>n-times</u> <u>recurrent</u> (for n = 1, 2, 3, ...) if there are n different values of ke N such that

<u>Theorem 2</u>. If T is measure preserving,  $\mu$  is a charge,  $\mu(X) < + \infty$  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a  $\sigma$ -field and  $E \in \mathbb{Q}$ , then for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , almost every point of E is n-times recurrent.

PROOF.

Let  $S(1,E) = \{x \in E : T^k(x) \in E \text{ for at least one } k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ 

Since (see (2.1)

we have

(2.2)  $\mu(E) = \mu(S(1,E))$ 

We define in general

We can easily recognise that

S(1,S(1,E)) = S(2,E)

so we have for the same reason of (2.2): u(S(1,E)) = u(S(2,E))

$$\mu(S(1,E)) = \mu(S(2,E))$$

and also

$$\mu(S(2,E)) = \mu(E)$$

In general

S(1,S(n-1,E)) = S(n,E)

and so

$$\mu(S(n,E)) = \mu(E)$$
 for every n

**ε**Ν.

This means that the set

 $F_n = E - S(n,E) = \{x \in E : x \text{ is not n-times ricurrent}\}$  is measurable and  $\mu(F_n) = 0$ 

Remark 1.-

In theorem 1 we have used the hypothesis that  $\mathcal{Q}$  is a  $\sigma$ -field, in proving that F is measurable. Is this hypothesis essential? We do not know the answer but we give an exemple where if  $\mathbb{C}$  is only a field F is not measurable. Let X =  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}$ , E = {(m,0);m  $\in \mathbb{N}_{\circ}$ }U{(m,-m);m  $\in \mathbb{N}_{\circ}$ }U{0,-m); m  $\in \mathbb{N}_{\circ}$ }, T : X  $\rightarrow$  X' be defined by T (n,m) = (n,m+1).

Let (1) be the smallest field that contains A and such that T verifies (1.1). Such an  $\mathfrak{L}$  is the collection of all finite unions of sets of the form  $T^{-n_1}(E) \cap T^{-n_2}(E) \cap \ldots \cap T^{-n_k}(E) \cap T^{-m_1}(E') \cap \ldots \cap T^{-m_k}(E')$  ( $E' = X - \mathcal{E}$ )

for some integers  $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k, m_1, \ldots, m_h$  in  $\mathbb{N}_{\circ}$ . Now the set  $F = \{x \in \mathbb{E} : \mathbb{T}^n \times \notin \mathbb{E} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \{(m, 0); m \in \mathbb{N}_{\circ}\}$  is not an element of  $\mathbb{C}$ .

In fact if F was an element of  $(\lambda$  there exist  $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k, m_1, \ldots, m_h \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that  $F \supset C = T^{-n_1}(E) \cap T^{-n_2}(E) \cap \ldots \cap T^{-n_k}(E) \cap T^{-m_1}(E') \cap \ldots \cap T^{-m_h}(E')$ and the latter element is nonempty.

Because if  $n_i \neq 0$  then  $T^{-n_i}(E) \cap F = \emptyset$ , it must be  $n_1 = n_2 = \dots = n_k = 0$ and  $T^{-n_i}(E) \cap \dots \cap T^{-n_k}(E) = E$ . But  $E \cap T^{-n_i}(E') \cap \dots \cap T^{-n_k}(E')$  contains  $\{(m, -m) : m \ge p\}$  for some  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus F cannot contain  $C \ne \emptyset$ .

Now by a technique developed in Theorem 2 of [4] we can in fact get a nonnegative charge  $\mu$  on  $\mathfrak{A}$ . such that  $\mu(X) = 1$  and  $\mu(E) = \frac{1}{2}$ , because fon any integer m there is an xEE such that  $T^n \ge E$  for all  $n \le m$ . This can be even seen directly by the Hahn-Banach Theorem.

Remark 2.

Observing that the proof of Theorem 2 holds for conservative transformations (there does not exist a set F  $\epsilon$   $\stackrel{f}{\to}$  with  $\mu(F) > 0$  such that the sets

 $F,T^{-1}(F),T^{-2}(F),...$  are pairwise disjoint) one can see that in a charge space a trasformation is conservative iff for every set A of positive charge and for every n, almost every point of A is n-times ricurrent.

The other aspects of Ergodic Theory for charges are being worked out by the Authors.

Accettato per la pubblicazione su parere favorevole di R. Scozzafava

# REFERENCES

- [1] <u>H. Poincaré</u>, Sur le problème de trois corpos et les équations de la dynamique Acta Math. 13 (1890),1-270
- [2] <u>C. Carathéodory</u>, über den Wiederkehrsatz von Poincaré, Sitzungs berichte der Preussischen Akad der Wiss 32 (1919) 580-584.
- [3] E. Hewitt K. A. Ross Abstract harmonic Analysis I, Springer-Verlag (1963)
- [4] <u>E. BARONE, K.P.S. BHASKARA RAO</u>, Misure di probabilità finitamente additive e continue, invarianti per trasformazioni (in print).

E. BARONE - ISTITUTO DI MATEMATICA - Via Arnesano - 73100 LECCE (Italy) K.P.S. BHASKARA RAO , INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE - CALCUTTA (INDIA)