

PART ONE. DUALITY THEOREM FOR REGULAR FUNCTIONS.

For brevity, we omit the statements of dual propositions, but if we must refer to them, we denote them by \*.

1) Properties of regular and completely regular functions.

DEFINITION 1.- Let  $S$  be a topological space,  $x$  a point of  $S$ ,  $G$  a finite directed graph and  $f: S \rightarrow G$  a function from  $S$  to  $G$ . We call image-envelope of  $x$  by  $f$ , and we denote by  $\langle f(x) \rangle$ , the set of vertices, such that the closures of their  $f$ -counter images include the point, i.e.  $v \in \langle f(x) \rangle \Leftrightarrow x \in \overline{V^f}$ .

PROPOSITION 1. - Let  $S$  be a topological space,  $x$  a point of  $S$ ,  $G$  a finite directed graph and  $f: S \rightarrow G$  a function from  $S$  to  $G$ . Then the image-envelope of  $x$  coincides with the intersection of the images of the neighbourhoods of  $x$ , i.e.  $\langle f(x) \rangle = \bigcap \{f(U_x) / U_x \text{ is a neighbourhood of } x\}$ .

Proof.-  $v \in \langle f(x) \rangle \Leftrightarrow x \in \overline{V^f} \Leftrightarrow (\forall U_x, U_x \cap V^f \neq \emptyset) \Leftrightarrow (\forall U_x, v \in f(U_x)) \Leftrightarrow v \in \bigcap f(U_x)$ . ■

PROPOSITION 2. - Let  $S$  be a topological space,  $G$  a finite directed graph and  $f: S \rightarrow G$  a function from  $S$  to  $G$ . Then  $f$  is an  $o$ -regular function, iff, for all  $x \in S$ ,  $f(x)$  is a head of  $\langle f(x) \rangle$ , i.e.  $f(x) \in H(\langle f(x) \rangle)$ .

Proof. - i) Let  $f$  be an  $o$ -regular function,  $x$  a point of  $S$ , and  $v = f(x)$ . Then, for all  $w \in \langle f(x) \rangle$ , i.e.  $x \in \overline{W^f}$ , we have  $V^f \cap \overline{W^f} \neq \emptyset$ . Hence  $v \rightarrow w$ , i.e.  $v \in H(\langle f(x) \rangle)$ .

ii) For all  $x \in S$ , let  $f(x) \in H(\langle f(x) \rangle)$  be. We have to prove that, for all  $v, w \in G$ , such that  $v \neq w$  and  $v \rightarrow w$ , it results that  $V^f \cap \overline{W^f} = \emptyset$ . If we assume  $x \in V^f \cap \overline{W^f}$ , it follows  $f(x) = v$ ,  $v \in H(\langle f(x) \rangle)$  and  $w \in \langle f(x) \rangle$ , hence  $v \rightarrow w$ . Contradiction. ■







Hence, by i) of Proposition 6 and by Proposition 3,  $g$  is c.o-regular.

ii) We define the homotopy like in Proposition 6. Since,  $\forall x \in S$ ,  $f(x)$  is totally headed, the subsets  $\langle g(x) \rangle \cup \langle h(x) \rangle$  and  $\langle h(x) \rangle$  are also totally headed. Hence,  $\forall (x, t) \in S \times I$ ,  $F(x, t)$  is totally headed and so is a c.o-homotopy between  $g$  and  $h$ , by Proposition 3. ■

### 3) Duality Theorem for complete homotopy classes.

We see it is possible to construct homotopy classes, by considering only c. regular functions and c.regular homotopies.

PROPOSITION 8. - *The c.o-homotopy is an equivalence relation in the set of c.o-regular functions from  $S$  to  $G$ .*

*Proof.* - The relation obviously satisfies the reflexive and symmetric properties. (See [2], Remark to Definition 5). Also the transitive property is true. In fact, let  $F$  (resp.  $J$ ) be a c. o-homotopy between the c. o-regular functions  $f$  and  $g$  (resp.  $g$  and  $k$ ). Then the function  $K: S \times I \rightarrow G$ , given by:

$$K(x, t) = \begin{cases} F(x, 3t) & \forall x \in S, \quad \forall t \in [0, \frac{1}{3}] \\ g(x) & \forall x \in S, \quad \forall t \in [\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}] \\ J(x, 3t-2) & \forall x \in S, \quad \forall t \in [\frac{2}{3}, 1] \end{cases},$$

is an o-homotopy between  $f$  and  $k$ .

We have to prove that  $k$  is a c.o-regular function. Let us assume that the image-envelope of the point  $(x, t)$  is non-totally headed. Then, if  $t \leq \frac{1}{3}$ , also the image-envelope of  $(x, 3t)$  is non-totally headed for the function  $F$ . If  $t \geq \frac{2}{3}$ , also the image-envelope of  $(x, 3t-2)$  is non-totally headed for the function  $J$ . If  $\frac{1}{3} < t < \frac{2}{3}$ , also the image-envelope of the point  $x$  is non-totally headed for the function  $g$ . Anyhow, we obtain a non-totally headed image-envelope for a c.o-regular function. This contradicts to Proposition 3. ■

REMARK. - By considering as homotopy between  $f$  and  $g$  that given by the sum (see [2], Remark to Definition 5), we obtain only an  $o$ -regular function, in general.

DEFINITION 5. - Let  $S$  be a topological space and  $G$  a finite directed graph. We denote by  $Q_c(S, G)$  (resp.  $Q_c^*(S, G)$ ) the set of  $c.o$ -homotopy (resp.  $c.o^*$ -homotopy) classes.

REMARK. - We note that  $Q_c^*(S, G)$  coincides with  $Q_c(S, G^*)$ , and  $Q_c^*(S, G^*)$  with  $Q_c(S, G)$ .

THEOREM 9. - Let  $S$  be a topological space and  $G$  a finite directed graph. Then there exists a natural bijection from the set of complete  $o$ -homotopy classes  $Q_c(S, G)$  to the one of complete  $o^*$ -homotopy classes  $Q_c^*(S, G)$ .

*Proof.* - We denote by  $F_c(S, G)$  (resp.  $F_c^*(S, G)$ ) the set of all the  $c.o$ -regular (resp.  $c.o^*$ -regular) functions. We define a relation  $\phi: F_c(S, G) \rightarrow F_c^*(S, G)$  which sends each  $f \in F_c(S, G)$  in any its  $o^*$ -pattern  $\phi(f)$  and similarly a relation  $\psi: F_c^*(S, G) \rightarrow F_c(S, G)$  which sends each  $h \in F_c^*(S, G)$  in any its  $o$ -pattern  $\psi(h)$ .

i)  $\phi$  induces a function  $\bar{\phi}$  from  $Q_c(S, G)$  to  $Q_c^*(S, G)$ .

By the Remark to Proposition 5 and by i) of Proposition 7 the relation  $\phi$  is defined on all the set  $F_c(S, G)$  and by ii) of Proposition 7 every  $o^*$ -pattern of  $f$  is  $o^*$ -homotopic to  $\phi(f)$ . Then we define a function  $\bar{\phi}: Q_c^*(S, G) \rightarrow Q_c(S, G)$  by putting:

$$\forall f \in F_c(S, G), \quad \bar{\phi}(f) = \{\phi(f)\}.$$

Now let  $g$  be a function  $c.o$ -homotopic to  $f$  by the homotopy  $H$ , and let  $\phi(g)$  be an  $o^*$ -pattern of  $g$ . We construct the  $c.o$ -homotopy:

$$F(x, t) = \begin{cases} f(x) & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{3}, \\ H(x, 3t-1) & \frac{1}{3} \leq t \leq \frac{2}{3}, \\ g(x) & \frac{2}{3} \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\hat{F}$  be an  $o^*$ -pattern of  $F$ , it follows from Proposition 7\* that  $\hat{F}$  is a  $c.o^*$ -homotopy between the restrictions  $\hat{f} = \hat{F}/_{S \times \{0\}}$  and  $\hat{g} = \hat{F}/_{S \times \{1\}}$ . Since  $f = F/_{S \times \{0\}}$  and  $H$  does not interfere in the construction of  $\hat{f}$ ,  $\hat{f}$  is an  $o^*$ -pattern of  $f$ . Similarly,  $\hat{g}$  is an  $o^*$ -pattern of  $g$ . Then by Proposition 7\*,  $\phi(f)$  and  $\hat{f}$  are  $c.o^*$ -homotopic, and the same happens for  $\phi(g)$  and  $\hat{g}$ . For the relation is transitive,  $\phi(f)$  is  $c.o^*$ -homotopic to  $\phi(g)$ .



Since the function  $\bar{\phi}$  is compatible with the c.o-homotopy relation in  $F_c(S, G)$ ,  $\phi$  induces a function  $\Phi$  from  $Q_c(S, G)$  to  $Q_c^*(S, G)$  given by:

$$\forall \alpha \in Q_c(S, G), \quad \Phi(\alpha) = \{\phi(f)\}, \text{ where } f \text{ is a representative of } \alpha.$$

ii)  $\psi$  induces a function  $\Psi$  from  $Q_c^*(S, G)$  to  $Q_c(S, G)$ .

By dual arguments we can prove that the required function  $\Psi$  is individualized by putting:

$$\forall \beta \in Q_c^*(S, G), \quad \Psi(\beta) = \{\psi(h)\}, \text{ where } h \text{ is a representative of } \beta.$$

iii)  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  are bijective functions.

We have only to prove that  $\Psi\Phi$  is the identity in  $Q_c(S, G)$  and  $\Phi\Psi$  the one in  $Q_c^*(S, G)$ .

Let  $\alpha$  be a class of  $Q_c(S, G)$  and  $f \in \alpha$  a c.o-regular function. We have  $\Phi(\alpha) = \{\phi(f)\}$ ,

and, successively,  $\Psi\Phi(\alpha) = \{\psi\phi(f)\}$ . We observe that the function  $\psi\phi(f)$  is c.o-

regular by Propositions 7, 7\*. Following i) of the proof of Proposition 6, it

results,  $\forall v \in G, \quad \overline{V\psi\phi(f)} \subseteq \overline{V\phi(f)} \subseteq \overline{Vf}$ , then like ii) of the same proof, we can

construct a c.o-homotopy between  $f$  and  $\psi\phi(f)$ . Consequently,  $\Psi\Phi(\alpha) = \{\psi\phi(f)\} = \{f\} =$

$\alpha$ . Similarly, it results,  $\forall \beta \in Q_c^*(S, G), \quad \Phi\Psi(\beta) = \beta$ . ■

#### 4) Duality theorem for homotopy classes.

By the two Normalization Theorems  $R_h, R_e$ , the duality can be extended to the homotopy classes  $Q(S, G)$  and  $Q^*(S, G)$ .

PROPOSITION 10. - *Let  $S \times I$  be a normal topological space and  $G$  a finite directed graph. Then there exists a natural bijection from the set of c.o-homotopy classes  $Q_c(S, G)$  to the one of o-homotopy classes  $Q(S, G)$ .*

*Proof.* - Let  $F(S, G)$  and  $F_c(S, G)$  be the sets of o-regular and c.o-regular functions from  $S$  to  $G$  and  $j: F_c(S, G) \rightarrow F(S, G)$  the identical embedding. Obviously,  $j$  is compatible with the c.o-homotopy relation in  $F_c(S, G)$  and with the o-homotopy relation in  $F(S, G)$ , hence  $j$  induces a function  $J$  from  $Q_c(S, G)$  to  $Q(S, G)$ . Moreover,  $J$  is onto by  $R_h$ , and it is one to one by  $R_e$ . ■

Finally, by Propositions 10, 10\* and Theorem 9 we obtain:

**THEOREM 11.** - *Let  $S$  be a countably paracompact normal space and  $G$  a finite directed graph. Then there exists a natural bijection from the set of  $o$ -homotopy classes  $O(S,G)$  to the one of  $o^*$ -homotopy classes  $O^*(S,G)$ .*

*Proof.* In fact the assumption on  $S$  is equivalent to suppose that  $S$  and  $S \times I$  are normal spaces. (See Introduction). ■

**REMARK 1.** - In general the previous result does not hold for any topological space. (See Example 13.5).

**REMARK 2.** - In the foregoing conditions it follows that the sets  $O(S,G)$ ,  $O(S,G^*)$ ,  $O^*(S,G)$ ,  $O^*(S,G^*)$  can be identified.

## PART TWO. DUALITY THEOREM FOR REGULAR FUNCTIONS BETWEEN PAIRS.

### 5) Balanced functions.

We can characterize the regular functions between pairs, similarly to Propositions 2, 3, by the following:

**PROPOSITION 12.** - *Let  $f: S, S' \rightarrow G, G'$  be a function from a pair of topological spaces  $S, S'$  to a pair of finite directed graphs  $G, G'$  and  $f': S' \rightarrow G'$  the restriction of  $f: S \rightarrow G$  to  $S'$ . Then  $f$  is an  $o$ -regular function, iff  $f(x)$  is a head of  $\langle f(x) \rangle$  in  $G$ , for all  $x \in S$ ; while  $f'(x)$  is a head of  $\langle f'(x) \rangle$  in  $G'$ , for all  $x \in S'$ . Moreover,  $f$  is  $c.o$ -regular, iff also the subsets  $\langle f(x) \rangle$  are totally headed in  $G$  and all the subsets  $\langle f'(x) \rangle$  are totally headed in  $G'$ . ■*

**REMARK.** - Consequently, if  $G$  is an undirected graph, a function  $f: S, S' \rightarrow G, G'$