## Sommario. -

Si studiano i semigruppi completamente regulari ed i semigruppi quasi semplici a destra, mediante un teorema di decomposizione di J.Szép.

REMARKS ON SZÉPS'S DECOMPOSITION OF SEMIGROUPS.

## R. Scozzafava (Lecce)

J. Szép has given in [3] a disjoint decomposition for an arbitrary semigroup. Let S be a semigroup without non-zero annihilators (every semigroup can be easily reduced to this case): then

$$S = \bigcup_{i=0}^{5} S_{i}$$

holds, where the semigroups  $S_i$  (i=0,1,...,5) are mutually disjoint and

$$S_0 = \{a \in S : a \setminus S \in S \text{ and } \} \times \{c \setminus S, x \neq 0, \text{ such that } a \setminus x = 0\}$$

$$S_1 = \{aeS : a S = S \text{ and } \} y \in S, y \neq 0, \text{ such that } ay = 0\},$$

$$S_2 = \{a \in S : a \notin S_0 \cup S_1, a \in S \text{ and } \} \times_T \times_2 \in S, x_1 \neq x_2,$$
  
such that  $ax_1 = a \times_2 \},$ 

$$S_3 = \{a \in S : a \notin S_0 \cup S_1, a S = S \text{ and } \} y_1, y_2 \in S, y_1 \neq y_2,$$
 such that  $ay_1 = ay_2\}$ ,

$$S_4 = \{a \in S : a \notin_{i=0}^{3} S_i \text{ and } a S \in S\}$$
,

$$S_5 = \{a \in S : a \notin_{1} \bigcup_{i=0}^{3} S_i \text{ and } a \in S = S\},$$

It follows that for a <u>finite</u> semigroup S one has

(2) 
$$S = S_0 \cup S_2 \cup S_5$$
.

The finiteness of S is not a necessary condition for the validity of (2). F. Migliorini and J. Szép [1] proved that the same decomposition holds if S is a <u>regular</u> semigroup without (left) magnifying elements. The next Theorem 1 gives another sufficient condition.

Let S be a <u>completely regular</u> semigroup, i.e. for every a e S there exists x in S such that a = axa (that is, S is regular), and ax = xa. It is well known that S is completely regular if and only if it is a disjoint union of groups,

(3) 
$$S = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} G_{e_{\alpha}}, \qquad G_{e_{\alpha}} \cap G_{e_{\beta}} = \emptyset \qquad (\alpha \neq \beta),$$

where  $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{e}_{\alpha}}$  is a maximal subgroup of S, with identity  $\mathbf{e}_{\alpha}$  .

Theorem 1 - Let S be a completely regular semigroup. Then  $S_1 = S_3 = S_4 = \emptyset$ .

Proof. We prove that  $S_4 = \emptyset$ . Assume the contrary: then, given a  $\in S_4$ , we have a  $\in G_e$  for a suitable  $\alpha \in I$ , and  $G_e$   $\neq S$ . By the definition of  $S_4$ , the elements of the set a S are all distinct; hence an analogous conclusion holds for the set  $e_\alpha S$  (otherwise  $e_\alpha S = e_\alpha S'$  would imply a S = S as S = S. It follows that S = S for any  $S \in S$  (otherwise S = S would imply S = S which is impossible), i.e. S = S is a left identity of S; then S = S is the identity of S with  $S \neq S$  (contradiction).

Now,  $S_4 = \emptyset$  implies  $S_1 = S_3 = \emptyset$ , by Corollary 1.5 of [1].

Theorem 2 - Given a completely regular semigroup S and its decomposition S = S $_0$  U S $_2$  U S $_5$ , the latter three semigroups are completely regular.

<u>Proof.</u>: a) For any  $a \in S_0$  there is an  $\alpha \in I$  such that  $a \in G_e$ : we show that  $G_{e_{\alpha}} \subseteq S_0$  (it will easily follow that  $S_0$  is a disjoint union of groups). Let  $b \neq 0$  such that ab = 0 (recall the definition of  $S_0$ ): then  $G_{e_{\alpha}} = G_{e_{\alpha}} = G_{e_{\alpha}}$  i.e.  $G_{e_{\alpha}} \subseteq S_0$ .

- b) Let  $aeS_2$ : then  $a \in G_e_\alpha$  for a suitable  $\alpha eI$ . The definition of  $S_2$  gives  $ab_1 = ab_2$ , with  $b_1 \neq 0$ ,  $b_2 \neq 0$ ,  $b_1 \neq b_2$ , and it follows that  $gb_1 = gb_2$  for any  $g \in G_e_\alpha$ , and so  $g \in S_2$ .
- c) Let  $a \in S_5$  and  $a \in G_e$ : then a S = S and  $e_\alpha S = S$ . It follows g S = S for any  $g \in G_e$ , and, since  $S_1 = S_3 = \emptyset$ , we have  $G_e \subseteq S_5$ .

Corollary 1 - 16 S is a completely regular semigroup, then the conclusions of Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.2 of [1] hold without the assumptions concerning the magnifying elements.

Let us now apply Szép's decomposition to the case of a <u>nearly right</u> <u>simple</u> (n.r.s.) semigroup: for its definition, cfr.[2]. It can be characterized as a semigroup which is the disjoint union of its prin-

cipal right ideals. But we may also consider decomposition (1) in this case.

<u>Theorem 3</u> - Let S be a n.r.s. semigroup (without non-zero annihilators), which is not right simple.

Then

$$S = S_2 \cup S_4.$$

<u>Proof.</u>: Since in S there are no nonzero annihilators, it follows from the definition of n.r.s. semigroup that also  $0 \notin S$ . Therefore  $S_0 = S_1 = \emptyset$ , and it is not difficult to see that  $S_3 = S_5 = \emptyset$ .

Corollary 2 - If S is n.r.s. and periodic (and not right simple), then  $S = S_2$ . Moreover, S is completely regular.

Remarks: (i) Although a right simple semigroup is n.r.s., its decomposition is <u>not</u> a particular case of(4). In fact, if S is right simple, one has  $S = S_3 \cup S_5$ .

(ii) In general, a n.r.s. semigroup, is not completely regular, and conversely. On the other hand, it is shown in [2] that a n.r.s. semigroup is right regular.

## REFERENCES

- [1] MIGLIORINI, F. and SZÉP, J.: On a special decomposition of regular semigroups, Istituto Matematico Università di Lecce, R 2 1977.
- [2] SCOZZAFAVA,R. : Nearly right simple semigroups, Karl Marx University of Budapest, Dept.of Mathematics, DM 77-3 (1977), 1-5.
- [3] SZÉP, J. : On the structure of finite semigroups, III, Karl Marx University of Budapest, Dept. of Mathematics, DM 73-3 (1973).