

17. k-ARCS ON ELLIPTIC CURVES

As in §16, the curve \mathcal{C} is a non-singular cubic in $PG(2,q)$ with inflexion O .

THEOREM 17.1: (Zirilli [22]) If $|\mathcal{C}| = 2k$, then there exists a k -arc K on \mathcal{C} .

Proof. Since \mathcal{C} is an abelian group, the fundamental theorem says that \mathcal{C} is a direct product of cyclic groups of prime power order. By taking a subgroup of order 2^{r-1} in a component of order 2^r , we obtain a subgroup G of \mathcal{C} of index 2. Let $K = \mathcal{C} \setminus G$. Let $P_1, P_2 \in K$. Then $-P_1 \in K$ and $P_2 = -P_1 + Q$ for some Q in G . Hence $P_1 + P_2 = Q$ and $P_1 + P_2 - Q = 0$. Since $-Q$ is in G , no three points of K are collinear.

The remainder of §17 follows Voloch [19].

The object is now to show that \mathcal{X} can be chosen to be complete. First we construct \mathcal{X} in a different way.

Let $U_0 = P(1,0,0)$, $U_1 = P(0,1,0)$, $U_2 = P(0,0,1)$.

Also, with $K = GF(q)$, let $K_0 = GF(q) \setminus \{0\}$ and $K_0^2 = \{t^2 \mid t \in K_0\}$.

Now, let \mathcal{C} in $PG(2,q)$, q odd, have equation

$$y^2z = x^3 + a_2x^2z + a_1xz^2 + a_0z^3.$$

Also suppose it is non-singular with $2k$ points. The point U_1 is an inflexion and we take this as the zero of \mathcal{C} as an abelian group. Since $|\mathcal{C}|$ is even, so \mathcal{C} has an element of order 2, which necessarily is a point of contact of a tangent through U_1 . Choose the tangent as $x=0$ and the point of contact as U_2 . Thus $a_0=0$ and \mathcal{C} has equation

$$y^2 z = x^3 + a_2 x^2 z + a_1 x z^2. \quad (17.1)$$

Define $\theta : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow K_0/K_0^2$ by

$$U_1^\theta = K_0^2 ; U_2^\theta = a_1 K_0^2, P(x, y, 1)^\theta = x K_0^2 \text{ for } x \neq 0.$$

Write $K_0/K_0^2 = \{1, v \mid v^2 = 1\}$.

LEMMA 17.2: θ is a homomorphism.

Proof. If $P = P(x, y, 1)$, then $-P = P(x, -y, 1)$.

So $P^\theta = (-P)^\theta$, this also holds for U_1 and U_2 . Hence, if $P_1 + P_2 + P_3 = 0$, then $P_1 + P_2 = -P_3$ and $(P_1 + P_2)^\theta = (-P_3)^\theta = P_3^\theta = 1/(P_3^\theta)$. If it is shown that $(P_1^\theta)(P_2^\theta)(P_3^\theta) = 1$, then $(P_1 + P_2)^\theta = (P_1^\theta)(P_2^\theta)$.

Let $P_i = P(x_i, y_i, 1)$, $i=1, 2, 3$. Since $P_1 + P_2 + P_3 = 0$, so P_1, P_2, P_3 are collinear, whence there exist m and c in K such that $y_i = mx_i + c$, $i=1, 2, 3$. So

$$(mx+c)^2 - (x^3 + a_2 x^2 + a_1 x) = (x_1 - x)(x_2 - x)(x_3 - x).$$

Thus $x_1 x_2 x_3 = c^2$ and so $(P_1^\theta)(P_2^\theta)(P_3^\theta) = 1$.

If $(P_1, P_2) = (U_1, P_2)$, then $(P_1 + P_2)^\theta = P_2^\theta = (P_1^\theta)(P_2^\theta)$. If $(P_1, P_2) = (P_1, U_2)$ and $P_1 = P(x_1, y_1, 1)$, then $P_1 + U_2 = P(x_2, y_2, 1)$ with $x_1 x_2 = a_1$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hence } (P_1 + U_2)^\theta &= x_2 = a_1 / x_1 \\ &= x_1^2 (a_1 / x_1) = x_1 a_1 = (P_1^\theta)(U_2^\theta). \end{aligned}$$

So the homomorphism is established in all cases.

LEMMA 17.3: θ is surjective for $q \geq 7$.

Proof. Since $P(bx^2, y, 1)\theta = bx^2 = b$, it suffices to find a point Q on $\mathcal{C}' = V(F(bx^2, y, z))$ where $\mathcal{C} = V(F(x, y, z))$. So \mathcal{C}' has equation

$$y^2 z^4 = (bx^2)^3 + a_2 (bx^2)^2 z^2 + a_1 (bx^2) z^4.$$

However, we require Q not on $V(xz)$. But $V(z) \cap \mathcal{C}' = \{U_1\}$ and $V(x) \cap \mathcal{C}' = \{U_1, U_2\}$. If we put $y = tx$, we see that \mathcal{C}' is also elliptic and so has at least $(\sqrt{q}-1)^2$ points. Since $(\sqrt{q}-1)^2 > 2$ for $q \geq 7$, there exists the required point Q .

LEMMA 17.4: $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{C} \setminus \ker\theta$ is a k -arc.

Proof. Let $G = \ker\theta$. Then, from the previous two lemmas, $G < \mathcal{C}$ with $[\mathcal{C} : G] = 2$. Then, if $P \in G$, $P\theta = 1$; if $P \in K$, $P\theta = v$. Suppose P_1, P_2, P_3 in \mathcal{X} are collinear. So $P_1 + P_2 + P_3 = 0$, whence $(P_1 + P_2 + P_3)\theta = 0\theta$. So $(P_1\theta)(P_2\theta)(P_3\theta) = 1$, whence $v^3 = 1$, whence $v = 1$, a contradiction.

This lemma just repeats lemma 17.1 using the homomorphism θ .

THEOREM 17.5: \mathcal{X} is complete for $q \geq 311$.

Proof. Let $P_0 \in PG(2, q) \setminus \mathcal{X}$. It must be shown that $\mathcal{X} \cup \{P_0\}$ is not a $(k+1)$ -arc. There are three cases: (a) $P_0 \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{X}$, (b) $P_0 = P(x_0, y_0, 1)$, (c) $P_0 = P(1, y_0, 0)$.

Case (a). There are at most four tangents through P_0 with point of contact Q in \mathcal{X} . Since $k = \frac{1}{2}|\mathcal{C}| > \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{q}-1)^2 > 4$, there exists Q in \mathcal{X} which is not such a point of contact. So $2Q \neq -P_0$ and $Q \neq -(P_0+Q)$. Also $-(P_0+Q) \in \mathcal{X}$, as otherwise $Q \in G = \mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{X}$. So $P_0, Q, -(P_0+Q)$ are distinct collinear points of $\mathcal{X} \cup \{P_0\}$.

Case (b). Let \mathcal{C}' be the elliptic curve with affine equation

$$y^2 = v^3 x^4 + v^2 a_2 x^2 + v a_1 . \quad (17.2)$$

Define the following functions on \mathcal{C}' :

$$U = vx^2, \quad Z = xy, \quad A = (y_0 - Z)/(x_0 - U),$$

$$B = A^2 - a_2, \quad C = 2AZ - a_1 - 2A^2U,$$

$$D = (U - B)^2 + 4(C + BU - U^2).$$

Then there exists a double cover

$$\psi : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$$

defined by $W^2 = D$; that is, for any point $P(x, y, 1)$ of \mathcal{C}' , there are two points $P(x, y, W, 1)$ of \mathcal{D} . Now, let $P(x, y, W, 1)$ be a rational point of \mathcal{D} . Then, from the equation for \mathcal{C}' ,

$$x^2 y^2 = v^3 x^6 + v^2 a_2 x^4 + v a_1 x^2,$$

whence

$$Z^2 = U^3 + a_2 U^2 + a_1 U . \quad (17.3)$$

Hence

(1) $P = P(U, Z, 1) \in \mathcal{X}$;

(2) PP_0 has equation $y - Z = A(x - U)$;

(3) PP_0 meets \mathcal{C} in two points other than P whose x -coordinates satisfy

$$x^2 - (B - U)x - (C + BU - U^2) = 0 \quad (17.4)$$

The last follows by substitution from (2) in (17.1), for we have

$$\{Z+A(x-U)\}^2 = x^3+a_2x^2+a_1x.$$

Then, from (17.3),

$$\begin{aligned} (U^3+a_2U^2+a_1U) - (x^3+a_2x^2+a_1x) \\ + 2ZA(x-U) + A^2(x-U)^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Cancelling $x-U$ gives (17.4).

Now, let $\mathcal{C} \cap PP_0 = \{P, Q, R\}$. The discriminant of (17.4) is

$$(B-U)^2 + 4(C+BU-U^2) = D = W^2.$$

So Q and R are rational points of \mathcal{C} . Since P, Q, R are collinear $(P\theta)(Q\theta)(R\theta) = 1$. As $P \in \mathcal{X}$, so $P\theta = v$, whence $(Q\theta)(R\theta) = v$. So one of Q and R , say Q , is in \mathcal{X} . Hence, if $P \neq Q$, there are three collinear points P, P_0, Q of $\mathcal{X} \cup \{P_0\}$.

it remains to examine the condition that $P \neq Q$. There are at most six tangents to \mathcal{C} through P_0 ([6] p.252). So, if $P=Q$ or $P=R$, there are at most six choices for P , hence 12 choices for (x, y) and 24 choices for $P(x, y, W, 1)$ on \mathcal{D} . As $|\mathcal{C}' \cap V(x)| \leq 2$ and $|\mathcal{C} \cap V(z)| = 0$, so $|\mathcal{D} \cap V(x)| \leq 4$ and $|\mathcal{D} \cap V(z)| = 0$. So we require that \mathcal{D} has at least $24+4+1 = 29$ rational points.

By the Hurwitz formula ([5] p.301 or [3] p.215),

$$\begin{aligned} 2g(\mathcal{D})-2 &= 2\{2g(\mathcal{C}')-2\} + \deg E \\ &= \deg E. \end{aligned} \tag{17.5}$$

Here, E is the ramification divisor (cf. §9) and

deg E = # points of ramification
 = # points with D = 0
 = # points such that Q and R have
 the same x-coordinate.

If $Q = P(x_1, y_1, 1)$ and $R = P(x_1, y_2, 1)$, then $y_2 = \pm y_1$; if $y_2 = -y_1$, then Q, R, U_1 are collinear. So either $Q=R$ or $Q=-R$. If $Q = -R$, then $P = U_1$ and this gives at most two points on \mathcal{C}' . If $Q=R$, then PP_0 is a tangent to \mathcal{C} at Q . Hence there are at most six choices for P and hence at most 12 such points on \mathcal{C}' . Hence $2g(\mathcal{D}) - 2 \leq 12 + 2 = 14$, whence $g(\mathcal{D}) \leq 8$. Thus by the corollary to theorem 11.5,

$$|\mathcal{D}| \geq q+1 - 16\sqrt{q}.$$

So, when $q+1-16\sqrt{q} \geq 29$, we obtain the desired contradiction; this occurs for $q \geq 311$.

Case (c). This is similar to case (b). Here, among the functions on \mathcal{C}' , one takes $A = y_0$.

Notes: (1) The result certainly holds for some but not all k with $q < 311$.

(2) A similar technique can be applied for q even. Here \mathcal{C} is taken in the form

$$(y^2 + xy)z = x^3 + a_1xz^2 + a_0z^3.$$

Instead of θ as above, we define $\theta : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow K/C_0$ where $C_0 = \{t \in K \mid T(t) = 0\}$ and $T(t) = t + t^2 + \dots + t^{q/2}$; here C_0 is the set of elements of category (= trace) zero. Take $P(x, y, 1)\theta = xC_0$. Then \mathcal{X} is complete for $q \geq 256$.

COROLLARY : In $PG(2,q)$ there exists a complete k -arc with $k = \frac{1}{2}(q+1-t)$ for every t satisfying 16.8 when either (a) q is odd, $q \geq 311$, t is even; or (b) q is even, $q \geq 256$, t is odd.

18. k -ARCS IN $PG(2,q)$.

Let \mathcal{K} be a complete k -arc in $PG(2,q)$; that is, \mathcal{K} has no three points collinear and is not contained in a $(k+1)$ -arc. We define three constants $m(2,q)$, $n(2,q)$, $m'(2,q)$.

$$m(2,q) = \max k = \begin{cases} q+2, & q \text{ even} \\ q+1, & q \text{ odd,} \end{cases}$$
$$n(2,q) = \min k.$$

If $m(2,q) \neq n(2,q)$,

$$m'(2,q) = \text{second largest } k;$$

if $m(2,q) = n(2,q)$, let $m'(2,q) = m(2,q)$. So, if a k -arc has $k > m'(2,q)$, then it is contained in an $m(2,q)$ -arc. For q odd, every $(q+1)$ -arc is a conic. For q even, the $(q+2)$ -arcs have been classified for $q \leq 16$; see [4], [6].

The value of $n(2,q)$ seems to be a difficult problem. By elementary considerations ([6] p.205),

$$n(2,q) \geq \sim \sqrt{2q}.$$

Constructions have been given for complete k -arcs with k having the following values (up to an added constant):

$$\frac{1}{2}q, \text{ see [6], §9.4;}$$

$$\frac{1}{3}q, \quad [1];$$