

9. THE GENERALIZED WRONSKIAN

Consider the generalized Wronskian

$$W = \det \begin{bmatrix} D^{(\epsilon_0)} f_0 & \dots & D^{(\epsilon_0)} f_n \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ D^{(\epsilon_n)} f_0 & \dots & D^{(\epsilon_n)} f_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Here the derivations are taken with respect to a separating variable t (dt is the image of t under the map $d : \bar{K}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \Omega_{\bar{K}}$; see Fulton [3] p. 203).

The ϵ_i are required to satisfy the conditions:

(i) $0 = \epsilon_0 < \epsilon_1 < \dots < \epsilon_n$;

(ii) $W \neq 0$;

(iii) given $\epsilon_0, \dots, \epsilon_{i-1}$, then ϵ_i is chosen as small as possible

such that $D^{(\epsilon_0)} f, \dots, D^{(\epsilon_{i-1})} f$ are linearly independent.

Then

(iv) the ϵ_i are the (\mathcal{D}, P) -orders at a general point P ;

(v) $\epsilon_i \leq r_i$ for any $r_0 < \dots < r_n$ with $\det (D^{(r_i)} f_j) \neq 0$;

(vi) $\epsilon_i \leq j_i$ for any P in \mathcal{C} ;

(vii) the ϵ_i are called the \mathcal{D} -orders of \mathcal{C} .

The divisor

$$R = \text{div}(W) + \left(\sum_0^n \epsilon_i\right) \text{div}(dt) + (n+1) \sum_p e_p P,$$

where dt is the differential of t and $e_p = -\min_i \text{ord}_p f_i$, is the ramification divisor of \mathcal{D} and depends only on \mathcal{D} . Putting $R = \sum r_p P$, we have

$$\text{deg } R = \sum r_p = (2g-2)\sum \epsilon_i + (n+1)d.$$

THEOREM 9.1: $r_p \geq \sum_0^n (j_i - \epsilon_i)$ with equality if and only if $\det C \neq 0 \pmod{p}$, where $C = (c_{is})$ and $c_{is} = \binom{j_i}{\epsilon_s}$.

COROLLARY: (i) R is effective.

(ii) $r_p = 0$ if and only if $j_i = \epsilon_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$.

The points P where $r_p = 0$ are called \mathcal{D} -ordinary; the others are called \mathcal{D} -Weierstrass. The number r_p is the weight of P . When \mathcal{D} is the canonical series, the \mathcal{D} -Weierstrass points are simply the Weierstrass points. This coincides with the classical definition.

When $\epsilon_i = i$, $0 \leq i \leq n$, then \mathcal{D} is classical. Next, the estimate $\epsilon_i \leq j_i$ is improved.

THEOREM 9.2: (i) Let P on \mathcal{C} have (\mathcal{D}, P) -orders j_0, \dots, j_n and suppose that $\det C' \neq 0 \pmod{p}$, where $C' = (c'_{is})$ and $c'_{is} = \binom{j_i}{r_s}$,

then $D^{(r_0)} f, \dots, D^{(r_n)} f$ are linearly independent and $\epsilon_i \leq r_i$.

(ii) If $\prod_{i>s} (j_i - j_s)/(i-s) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then \mathcal{D} is classical and $r_p = \sum_{i=0}^n (j_i - i)$

(iii) If $p > d$ or $p=0$, then $r_p = \sum_0^n (j_i - i)$ for all P in \mathcal{C} .

(iv) If ϵ is a \mathcal{D} -order and μ is an integer with $\binom{\epsilon}{\mu} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then μ is also a \mathcal{D} -order.

(v) If ϵ is a \mathcal{D} -order and $\epsilon < p$, then $0, 1, \dots, \epsilon-1$ are also \mathcal{D} -orders.

Entering into this theorem is the classical result of Lucas.

LEMMA 9.3: Let $A = a_0 + a_1 p + \dots + a_m p^m$ and $B = b_0 + b_1 p + \dots + b_n p^n$ be p -adic expansions of A and B with respect to the prime p ; that is, $0 \leq a_i, b_i \leq p-1$. Then

$$(i) \binom{A}{B} \equiv \binom{a_0}{b_0} \binom{a_1}{b_1} \dots \binom{a_m}{b_m} \pmod{p};$$

$$(ii) \binom{A}{B} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \text{ if and only if } a_i \geq b_i, \text{ all } i;$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof: } (1+x)^A &= (1+x)^{\sum a_i p^i} \\ &= (1+x)^{a_0} (1+x^p)^{a_1} \dots (1+x^{p^m})^{a_m}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, the result follows by comparing the coefficient of x^B on both sides.