

## DIAGONAL OPERATORS, S-NUMBERS AND BERNSTEIN PAIRS

ASUMAN G. AKSOY, GRZEGORZ LEWICKI

**Abstract.** Replacing the nested sequence of "finite" dimensional subspaces by the nested sequence of "closed" subspaces in the classical Bernstein lethargy theorem, we obtain a version of this theorem for the space  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  of all bounded linear maps. Using this result and some properties of diagonal operators, we investigate conditions under which a suitable pair of Banach spaces form an exact Bernstein pair. We also show that many "classical" Banach spaces, including the couple  $(L_p[0, 1], L_q[0, 1])$  form a Bernstein pair with respect to any sequence of  $s$ -numbers  $(s_n)$ , for  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $1 \leq q < \infty$ .

### 1 Introduction

**s-Numbers.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Banach spaces and  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  denote the space of all bounded linear maps from  $X$  into  $Y$ . According to A. Pietsch [10, 11], a map  $s$  which to each bounded linear map  $T$  from one Banach space to another such space assigns a unique sequence  $(s_n(T))$  is called a  $s$ -function if for all Banach spaces  $W, X, Y, Z$ :

- i)  $\|T\| = s_1(T) \geq s_2(T) \geq \dots \geq 0$  for all  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$
- ii)  $s_n(S + T) \leq s_n(S) + \|T\|$  for all  $S, T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ , and all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$
- iii)  $s_n(RST) \leq \|R\|s_n(S)\|T\|$  for all  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y), S \in \mathcal{B}(Y, Z)$  and  $R \in \mathcal{B}(Z, W)$
- iv) If  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  and  $rank(T) < n$ , then  $s_n(T) = 0$
- v)  $s_n(I) = 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

where  $I$  is the identity map of  $l_n^2 = \{x \in l_2 : x_i = 0 \text{ if } i > n\}$ .

$s_n(T)$  is called the  $n$ -th  $s$ -number of the operator  $T$ .

Now we turn to some special  $s$ -numbers. Their definitions are:

-Approximation numbers :

$$a_n(T) := \inf\{\|T - S\| : rank(S) < n\} \text{ where } T, S \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y).$$

-Gelfand numbers:

$$c_n(T) := \inf\{\|TJ_M^X\| : codim(M) < n\},$$

where  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  and  $J_M^X$  is the embedding from  $M$  into  $X$ .

- Kolmogorov numbers (or  $n$ -widths) :

$$d_n(T) := \inf\{\|Q_N^Y T\| : dim(N) < n\}$$

where  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  and  $Q_N^Y$  is the canonical map from  $Y$  to  $Y/N$ .

For relations between several kind of  $s$ -numbers we refer to [10, 11].

**Bernstein's "Lethargy" Theorem** [1] Let  $V_1 \subset V_2 \dots$  be a nested sequence of distinct finite dimensional vector subspaces of a Banach space  $X$ . Let  $(\epsilon_n)$  be a decreasing sequence of



nonnegative numbers tending to 0. Then there exist  $x \in X$  such that  $\text{dist}(x, V_n) = \varepsilon_n$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ .

Besides being a very important result of the constructive theory of functions, Bernstein's lethargy theorem can also be applied to the theory of quasi-analytic functions of several complex variables. For this and other applications of this theorem see [12] and [13]. (See also [6, 7, 8, 9] where the cases of F-spaces and Modular spaces are considered.)

The aim of this paper is to investigate the following

**Problem.** Given Banach spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  and a sequence of  $s$ -numbers  $(s_n)$  is it true that for any decreasing sequence of nonnegative real numbers  $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ , there exist  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  and a constant  $M$  depending only on  $T$  such that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\varepsilon_n \leq s_n(T) \leq M\varepsilon_n. \quad (1.1)$$

Two Banach spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  satisfying (1.1) will be called a Bernstein pair with respect to the sequence of  $s$ -numbers  $(s_n)$ . We denote Bernstein pairs by  $(X, Y)$ . If  $M = 1$ , then  $(X, Y)$  is called an exact Bernstein pair.

Our goal is to show that "classical" Banach spaces form Bernstein pairs, with respect to any sequence of  $s$ -numbers. This is quite a different approach from that of [4] in which Bernstein pairs are defined only with respect to approximation numbers. Moreover we replace classical  $l_p$ -spaces with more general sequence spaces. The main results of this paper are Theorems 2.9 and 3.2.

In the sequel the following notion will be needed.

**Diagonal Operators.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Banach spaces. Let  $\{x_n\} \subset X$  and  $\{y_n\} \subset Y$  be linearly independent sequences. An operator  $D_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  with  $D_\varepsilon x_n = \varepsilon_n y_n$  where  $(\varepsilon_n)$  is some fixed scalar sequence, is called a diagonal operator determined by  $(\varepsilon_n)$ , with respect to  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$ . The set of all diagonal operators from  $X$  to  $Y$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{D}(X, Y)$ .

## 2 Diagonal Operators and Approximation Numbers

Let  $X$  be a normed space and let  $V_n$  be a closed subspace of  $X$ . The set of all projections from  $X$  onto  $V_n$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{P}(X, V_n)$ . We start with the following version of the Bernstein "Lethargy" theorem.

**Theorem 2.1** [8] Let  $V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \dots$  be a nested sequence of distinct closed subspaces of a Banach space  $X$ . Assume that  $P_n \in \mathcal{P}(X, V_n)$  are so chosen that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $v_n \in V_{n+1} \setminus V_n$  such that

$$P_i v_n = 0 \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n. \quad (2.1)$$

Let  $(\varepsilon_n)$  be a decreasing sequence of nonnegative numbers tending to 0. Then, there exists an  $x \in X$  with  $\|x - P_n x\| = \varepsilon_n$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ .

**Corollary 2.2** Let  $X, V_n, P_n$  and  $(\varepsilon_n)$  be as in Theorem 2.1. Suppose that there is  $M > 0$  such that  $\|I - P_n\| \leq M$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then there exists  $x \in X$  such that

$$\varepsilon_n/M \leq \text{dist}(x, V_n) \leq \varepsilon_n. \quad (2.2)$$

**Proof.** The proof is a simple consequence of Theorem 2.1 and the inequality

$$\|x - P_n x\| \leq \|I - P_n\| \text{dist}(x, V_n).$$

□

Next we consider Banach spaces  $X, Y$  and the space  $\mathcal{B}(Y, X)$  and state Theorem 2.1 for  $\mathcal{B}(Y, X)$ .

**Proposition 2.3** *Let  $X, V_n, P_n$  and  $(\varepsilon_n)$  be as in Theorem 2.1. Then for every Banach space  $Y$ , there exists  $L \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$  such that*

$$\|L - W_n L\| = \varepsilon_n \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots, \tag{2.3}$$

where  $W_n \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}(Y, X), \mathcal{B}(Y, V_n))$  is defined by:

$$W_n L = P_n \circ L. \tag{2.4}$$

**Proof.** We need to show that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $L_n \in \mathcal{B}(Y, V_{n+1}) \setminus \mathcal{B}(Y, V_n)$  such that  $W_i L_n = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . To do this, take  $v_n \in V_{n+1} \setminus V_n$  such that  $P_i v_n = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Set  $L_n y = f(y)v_n$ , where  $f \in Y^* \setminus \{0\}$ . Then, for every  $y \in Y$  and  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,

$$W_i(L_n y) = (P_i L_n)y = P_i(f(y)v_n) = f(y)P_i v_n = 0.$$

□

**Notation 2.4** *For any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , set*

$$V_m = \{(x_n) \in \mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}} : x_n = 0 \text{ for } n > m\} \text{ and } V = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} V_m. \tag{2.5}$$

Let  $Y_o = (V, \|\cdot\|_1)$  and  $X_o = (V, \|\cdot\|_2)$ . Taking completions one can assume that  $X_o$  and  $Y_o$  are Banach spaces. Throughout this paper we will assume the following “order preserving” condition on the norm of  $X_o$  :

$$\text{If } |x_i| \leq |y_i| \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, \text{ then } \|x\|_2 \leq \|y\|_2 \text{ for all } x, y \in X_o; \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$\|e_i\|_1 = \|e_i\|_2 = 1 \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots \tag{2.7}$$

Note that for a Banach space which satisfies (2.6), one also has

$$\|P_n\|_2 = \|I - P_n\|_2 = 1 \text{ for any } n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{2.8}$$

where  $P_n$  is a projection from  $X_o$  onto  $V_n$  defined by  $P_n x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, 0, 0, \dots)$ .

**Corollary 2.5** *For any decreasing sequence  $(\varepsilon_n)$  of nonnegative numbers tending to zero, there exists an  $L \in \mathcal{B}(Y_o, X_o)$  [ $L \in \mathcal{D}(Y_o, X_o)$  respectively ] such that*

$$\text{dist}(L, \mathcal{B}(Y_o, V_n)) = \varepsilon_n$$

$$[\text{dist}(L, \mathcal{D}(Y_o, V_n))] = \varepsilon_n, \text{ respectively for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

**Proof.** Since the case of linear operators follows from (2.2), (2.4) and (2.8) and Corollary 2.2, we restrict ourselves to the case of diagonal operators. Define for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $L \in \mathcal{D}(Y_o, X_o)$ ,  $W_n(L) = P_n \circ L$ . It is clear that  $W_n$  is a projection from  $\mathcal{D}(Y_o, X_o)$  onto  $\mathcal{D}(Y_o, V_n)$ . Moreover, by (2.8),  $\|I - W_n\| = 1$ . Now for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define  $L_n \in \mathcal{D}(Y_o, V_{n+1}) \setminus \mathcal{D}(Y_o, V_n)$  by  $L_n x = x_{n+1} e_{n+1}$ . It is clear that  $W_i(L_n) = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Hence by Theorem 2.1, there is an  $L \in \mathcal{D}(Y_o, X_o)$  such that

$$\epsilon_n = \|L - W_n L\| = \text{dist}(L, \mathcal{D}(Y_o, V_n)).$$

□

Consider for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional subspace  $V_{n-1}$  and an arbitrary  $L \in \mathcal{B}(Y_o, X_o)$ , then one always has:

$$\text{dist}(L, \mathcal{D}(Y_o, V_{n-1})) \geq \text{dist}(L, \mathcal{B}(Y_o, V_{n-1})) \geq a_n(L). \tag{2.9}$$

Now for the following two propositions, we investigate conditions under which

$$a_n(L) = \text{dist}(L, \mathcal{D}(Y_o, V_{n-1})) \text{ holds for } n = 1, 2, \dots \tag{2.10}$$

**Proposition 2.6** *The equality (2.10) holds true when  $X_o = Y_o$ , for any  $D_\epsilon \in \mathcal{D}(X_o)$ .*

**Proof.** For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $D_n$  and  $I_n$  denote the operators  $D_\epsilon$  and  $I$  restricted to  $V_n$ , then from (2.6) we have  $\|D_n^{-1}\| = \epsilon_n^{-1}$ . Note that

$$1 = a_n(I_n) = a_n(D_n^{-1} \circ D_n) \leq \|D_n^{-1}\| a_n(D_n) = \epsilon_n^{-1} a_n(D_n).$$

Therefore

$$a_n(D_\epsilon) \geq a_n(D_n) \geq \epsilon_n = \|D_\epsilon - W_{n-1}(D_\epsilon)\| = \text{dist}(D_\epsilon, \mathcal{D}(X_o, V_{n-1}))$$

which together with (2.9) gives the desired equality. □

We need the following lemma due to V. D. Milman [10, 11] to prove the Proposition 2.8.

**Lemma 2.7** *Let  $V$  be any subspace of  $l_\infty^{(m)}$  such that  $\text{codim}(V) < n$ . Then there exists  $x \in V$ , with  $\|x\| = 1$ , such that*

$$\text{card}\{k : |x_k| = 1\} \geq m - n + 1.$$

**Proposition 2.8** *The equality (2.10) holds true for any diagonal operator  $D_\epsilon \in \mathcal{D}(l_\infty, X_o)$  or  $D_\epsilon \in \mathcal{D}(c_o, X_o)$  if the norm in  $X_o$  is symmetric.*

**Proof.** We only need to verify  $a_n(D_\epsilon) \geq \text{dist}(D_\epsilon, \mathcal{D}(l_\infty, V_{n-1}))$ . First observe that for any  $D_\epsilon \in \mathcal{D}(l_\infty, X_o)$  such that  $\epsilon_1 \geq \epsilon_2 \geq \dots \geq 0$ ,

$$\text{dist}(D_\epsilon, \mathcal{D}(l_\infty, V_{n-1})) = \|(0, \dots, \epsilon_n, \epsilon_{n+1}, \dots)\|_2.$$

Let  $A : l_\infty \rightarrow X_o$  be an arbitrary operator of rank  $\leq n - 1$ , say  $A = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} f_j(\cdot)x_j$  where  $f_j \in l_\infty^*$  and  $x_j \in X_o$ . Take  $m \geq n$  and define

$$S_m = \{y \in l_\infty^{(m)} : f_j(y) = 0 \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1\}.$$

Then  $S_m$  is a subspace of  $l_\infty^{(m)}$  of codimension  $\leq n - 1$ . By Lemma 2.7 there exists  $y^o \in S_m$  with  $\|y^o\| = 1$  and indices  $j(1) < j(2) < \dots < j(m - n + 1) \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  such that  $|y_{j(i)}^o| = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m - n + 1$ . Extending  $y^o$  to  $l_\infty$  by setting  $y_j^o = 0$  for  $j > m$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_\epsilon - A\| &\geq \|(D_\epsilon - A)y^o\|_2 = \|D_\epsilon y^o\|_2 \\ &= \|(\epsilon_j y_{j(1)}^o)_{j(1)}, \dots, (\epsilon_{j(m-n+1)} y_{j(m-n+1)}^o)_{j(m-n+1)}, 0, \dots\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

By the ordering property (2.6) and symmetry of  $\|\cdot\|_2$ , the last term above is greater or equal to

$$\|0, 0, \dots, \epsilon_{j(1)}, \epsilon_{j(2)}, \dots, \epsilon_{j(m-n+1)}, 0, \dots\|_2 \geq \|0, 0, \dots, 0, \epsilon_n, \epsilon_{n+1}, \dots, \epsilon_{m-n+1}, 0, \dots\|_2.$$

Since  $A$  and  $m$  were arbitrary,  $a_n(D_\epsilon) \geq \|(0, \dots, \epsilon_n, \epsilon_{n+1}, \dots)\|_2$ . □

Note that Proposition (2.8) is a generalization of Theorem 1.8 of [4]. In Proposition (2.8) we replace  $l_p$ -spaces of [4] by arbitrary symmetric spaces. Also, in [5] or [10, p. 159] it is shown that, if  $1 < q < p < \infty$  and  $T : l_p \rightarrow l_q$  is a diagonal operator determined by  $(\epsilon_n)$ , then

$$a_n(T) = \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} |\epsilon_k|^r\right)^{1/r} \text{ where } r^{-1} + p^{-1} = q^{-1}. \tag{2.11}$$

By the Hölder inequality applied to  $r/q$  and  $p/q$  one can show that (2.10) also holds true in this case.

The following theorem gives a characterization of spaces which can form Bernstein pairs with respect to approximation numbers.

**Theorem 2.9** *The following pairs of Banach spaces form exact Bernstein pairs with respect to the sequence of approximation numbers  $(a_n)$ .*

- a.  $(X_o, X_o)$ , where  $X_o$  is defined in Notation 2.4.
- b.  $(c_o, X_o)$  and  $(l_\infty, X_o)$ , provided that the norm on  $X_o$  is symmetric.
- c.  $(X_o, l_1)$ , provided  $X_o$  is reflexive.

**Proof.** a. follows from Cor. 2.5 and Prop. 2.6;

b. follows from Cor. 2.5 and Prop. 2.8.

To prove c, first note that if  $X_o$  satisfies condition (2.6) so does  $X_o^*$ ; therefore, by b.,  $(c_o, X_o^*)$  is an exact Bernstein pair. Now using the well known fact [11, p. 239] that for any compact operator  $T$  from  $X$  to  $Y$ ,  $a_n(T) = a_n(T^*)$ , we conclude that  $(X_o, l_1) = (X_o^{**}, c_o^*)$  is a Bernstein pair. □

### 3 S-numbers and Bernstein Pairs

We start with a simple lemma, which proof will be omitted.

**Lemma 3.1** *Let  $X, Y$ , and  $Z$  be Banach spaces with  $X \subset Z$ . Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  and  $P$  be a projection from  $Z$  onto  $X$ . Then*

$$s_n(T) \leq s_n(T \circ P) \leq s_n(T) \|P\|.$$

The following theorem states the conditions needed on an arbitrary s-number in order  $(X_o, X_o)$  to become a Bernstein pair with respect to any sequence of s-numbers  $(s_n)$ .

**Theorem 3.2** *Suppose for a sequence of s-numbers  $(s_n)$ , there exists  $C > 0$  such that*

$$s_n(I : (V_n, \|\cdot\|_2) \rightarrow (V_n, \|\cdot\|_2)) \geq C$$

for every  $n$ , where  $V_n$  is a subspace of  $X_o$  defined as in (2.5). Then  $(X_o, X_o)$  is a Bernstein pair with respect to  $(s_n)$ .

**Proof.** Fix a decreasing sequence  $(\epsilon_n)$  of positive numbers tending to zero. Consider the diagonal operator  $D_\epsilon \in \mathcal{D}(X_o)$  constructed as in Proposition 2.6 satisfying  $a_n(D_\epsilon) = \epsilon_n$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ;  $D_n$  will denote the operator  $D_\epsilon$  restricted to  $V_n$ . Then  $\|D_n^{-1}\| = \epsilon_n^{-1} = (a_n(D_\epsilon))^{-1}$ . Next observe that

$$C \leq s_n(I : V_n \rightarrow V_n) = s_n(D_n^{-1} \circ D_n) \leq \|D_n^{-1}\|s_n(D_n).$$

But by Lemma 3.1,

$$s_n(D_n) = s_n(D_\epsilon \circ P_n) \leq s_n(D_\epsilon)\|P_n\|.$$

Therefore  $C \leq \epsilon_n^{-1}s_n(D_\epsilon)$ . On the other hand  $s_n(D_\epsilon) \leq a_n(D_\epsilon) = \epsilon_n$ . □

Notice that the condition stated on the s-numbers in Theorem 3.2 is not an artificial one. This condition is satisfied by Approximation, Gelfand and Kolmogorov numbers, as stated in the next corollary.

**Corollary 3.3**  *$(X_o, X_o)$  is an exact Bernstein pair with respect to  $(d_n)$  and  $(c_n)$ .*

**Proof.**  $(X_o, X_o)$  is an exact Bernstein pair with respect to  $(d_n)$  because  $d_n(I : V_n \rightarrow V_n) = 1$ . Since  $c_n(T) = d_n(T^*)$  for any linear operator [11, p. 95], it is also an exact Bernstein pair with respect to  $(c_n)$ . □

The next proposition will permit us to construct some examples of Bernstein pairs. We omit a routine proof.

**Proposition 3.4** *Suppose  $(X, Y)$  is a Bernstein pair with respect to  $(s_n)$ . Suppose that a Banach space  $W$  contains an isomorphic and a complementary copy of  $X$ , and a Banach space  $V$  contains an isomorphic copy of  $Y$ . Then  $(W, V)$  is a Bernstein pair with respect to  $(s_n)$ .*

**Corollary 3.5** *For  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $1 \leq q < \infty$ , the couple  $(L_p[0, 1], L_q[0, 1])$  form a Bernstein pair with respect to any sequence of s-numbers  $(s_n)$ .*

**Proof.** The corollary follows from the fact that  $(l_2, l_2)$  is a Bernstein pair with respect to any sequence of s-numbers  $(s_n)$  [11] and the fact that for every  $p, 1 \leq p < \infty, L_p[0, 1]$  contains a subspace isomorphic to  $l_2$  and complemented in  $L_p[0, 1]$  for  $p > 1$  [14, p. 85]. □

**Corollary 3.6 i)** *Let  $Y$  be a separable Banach space and assume that  $(X, Y)$  is a Bernstein pair with respect to  $(s_n)$ . Then  $(X, l_\infty)$  is a Bernstein pair with respect to  $(s_n)$ .*

**ii)** *Let  $X^*$  be a separable Banach space, assume  $(X, Y)$  is a Bernstein pair with respect to  $(a_n)$ . Then  $(X, c_o)$  and  $(l_1, X^*)$  are Bernstein pairs with respect to  $(a_n)$ .*

**Proof.** **i)** follows from the fact that every separable Banach space  $Y$  is linearly isometric to a subspace of  $l_\infty$ .

For **ii)** observe that  $(X, l_\infty)$  is a Bernstein pair by **i)**, then apply Lemma 4.10 of [4] to conclude that  $(X, c_o)$  is a Bernstein pair. If  $T$  is a compact operator, then  $a_n(T) = a_n(T^*)$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , will give the assertion for  $(l_1, X^*)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.7** **i)** Suppose  $(c_o, c_o)$  is a Bernstein pair with respect to  $(s_n)$ . Then  $(X, Y)$  is a Bernstein pair with respect to  $(s_n)$ , provided  $X$  and  $Y$  each contain an isomorphic copy of  $c_o$ , and  $X$  is separable.

**ii)** Suppose  $(l_1, l_1)$  is a Bernstein pair with respect to  $(s_n)$ . Then  $(X, Y)$  is a Bernstein pair with respect to  $(s_n)$  provided  $X$  is a nonreflexive subspace of  $L_1[0, 1]$  containing a isomorphic copy of  $l_1$  and  $Y$  containing a isomorphic copy of  $l_1$ .

**Proof.** **i)** follows from Sobczyk's theorem [2, p. 71] which states that if a separable  $X$  contains an isomorphic copy of  $c_o$ , then  $X$  contains a complemented copy of  $c_o$ .

**ii)** follows from the Pełczyński- Kadeř theorem [2, p. 94], which states that if  $X$  is a nonreflexive subspace of  $L_1[0, 1]$ , then  $X$  contains a subspace complemented in  $L_1[0, 1]$  and isomorphic to  $l_1$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.8** For  $0 < q < p < 2$ ,  $(l_p, L_q[\Omega, \mu])$  is a Bernstein pair with respect to  $(a_n)$ ,  $(c_n)$  and  $(d_n)$ .

**Proof.** It is known that [14, p. 94], if  $0 < q < p < 2$  the real space  $L_q[\Omega, \mu]$  contains a subspace isometric to  $l_p$ . Applying Theorem 3.2 to  $X_o = l_p$ , we see that  $(l_p, L_q(\Omega, \mu))$  is a Bernstein pair with respect to the given  $s$ -numbers.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.9** **i)** If  $(l_\infty, l_\infty)$  is a Bernstein pair with respect to  $(s_n)$ , and  $X$  and  $Y$  contain isomorphic copies of  $l_\infty$ , then  $(X, Y)$  form a Bernstein pair with respect to  $(s_n)$ .

**ii)** Let  $L_f(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu), L_g(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  be Orlicz spaces with nonatomic measure  $\mu$ , where  $f, g$  do not satisfy  $\Delta_2$ -condition if  $\mu(\Omega)$  is infinite, and in case  $\mu(\Omega)$  is finite, the  $\Delta_2$ -condition at infinity is not satisfied. Here the norm on Orlicz spaces could be either Orlicz or Luxemburg norm. If  $(l_\infty, l_\infty)$  is a Bernstein pair with respect to  $(s_n)$ , then  $(L_f(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu), L_g(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu))$  form an exact Bernstein pair with respect to  $(s_n)$ .

**Proof.** **i)** By a theorem of Phillips [2, p. 21], if  $X$  and  $Y$  contain an isomorphic copy of  $l_\infty$ , then  $X, Y$  contain one-complemented copies of  $l_\infty$ .

**ii)** From [3, cor.2] we know that if  $f$  does not satisfy a suitable  $\Delta_2$ -condition, then  $L_f$  has an isometric complemented copy of  $l_\infty$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] S. N. Bernstein, *Collected Works II*, Acad. Nauk SSSR, Moscow, 1954 (Russian).
- [2] J. Diestel, *Sequences and Series in Banach Spaces*, vol. 92, Springer Verlag, Graduate Text in Math., New York, 1983.
- [3] H. Hudzik and Ch. Shutao, *Complemented copies of  $l_1$  in Orlicz Spaces*, Math. Nachr. **159** (1992), 299-309.
- [4] C. V. Hutton, J. S. Morrell and J. R. Retherford, *Diagonal Operators, Approximation Numbers and Kolmogoroff Diameters*, J. Approx. Theory **16** (1976), 48-80.
- [5] P. Johnson, Thesis, University of Michigan, 1973.
- [6] W. M. Kozłowski, *Modular Function Spaces*, Marcel-Dekker, New York, 1988.
- [7] W. M. Kozłowski and G. Lewicki, *Analyticity and Polynomial Approximation in Modular Function Spaces*, J. Approx. Theory **58** (1989), 15-35.
- [8] G. Lewicki, Thesis, Jagiellonian University, 1987.
- [9] G. Lewicki, *Bernstein's "Lethargy" Theorem in Metrizable Topological Linear Spaces*, Mh. Math. **113** (1992), 213-226.
- [10] A. Pietsch, *Operator Ideals*, VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1979.
- [11] A. Pietsch, *Eigenvalues and  $s$ -Numbers*, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 13, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1987.
- [12] W. Pleśniak, *On a theorem of S. N. Bernstein in  $F$ -Spaces*, Uniw. Jagiellon., Prace Mat. **20** (1979), 7-16.
- [13] W. Pleśniak, *Quasianalytic functions in the sense of Bernstein*, Dissertationes Math. **147** (1977), 1-70.
- [14] P. Wojtaszczyk, *Banach Spaces for Analysts*, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 25, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1991.

Asuman G. Aksoy  
Department of Mathematics Claremont McKenna College  
Claremont - CA, 91711  
USA  
E-mail address: Aaksoy@cmcvax.claremont.edu

Grzegorz Lewicki  
Department of Mathematics  
Jagiellonian University  
Reymonta 4 - 30-059 Kraków  
POLAND  
E-mail address: lewicki@im.uj.edu.pl