

Intrinsically quasi-isometric sections in metric spaces

Daniela Di Donato

Department of Mathematics, University of Pavia
daniela.didonato@unitn.it

Received: 24.05.24; accepted: 29.08.25.

Abstract. This note contributes to the study of large-scale geometry. Specifically, we introduce the concept of intrinsically quasi-isometric sections in metric spaces and investigate their properties. In particular, we examine their Ahlfors-David regularity at large scales. Building on Cheeger’s theory, we define appropriate sets that enable the determination of convexity and establish whether these sections form a vector space over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} . Furthermore, inspired by Cheeger’s approach, we propose an equivalence relation for this class of sections. Throughout the paper, we employ fundamental mathematical tools.

Keywords: Large scale geometry, Quasi-isometric graphs, vector space, Ahlfors-David regularity, Metric spaces

MSC 2020 classification: primary 26A16, secondary 51F30, 46B04

1 Introduction

This paper contributes to the field of Large Scale Geometry, also known as coarse geometry. Large Scale Geometry focuses on the study of geometric objects from a global perspective, examining their behavior at large distances. It plays a crucial role in geometric group theory, algebraic K-theory, non-commutative geometry, and other related areas of analysis. The reader can see [21, 6, 22].

In particular, we focus on the concept of quasi-isometric graphs in metric spaces. Quasi-isometric maps [17, 20, 24] can be seen as large-scale analogues of biLipschitz maps, the latter having been studied by Le Donne and the author in [14]. More specifically, in [14], Le Donne and the author introduced an alternative notion of Lipschitz graphs, based on two simple observations:

- (1) Franchi, Serapioni and Serra Cassano [16, 15] introduced and studied the class of intrinsically Lipschitz maps in subRiemannian Carnot groups in order to establish a good notion of rectifiability sets in the context of subRiemannian Carnot groups [1, 5, 7] after the negative result in [2].
- (2) we consider graphs instead of maps.

In our context we consider a section φ of π (i.e., $\pi \circ \varphi = id$) such that $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ produces a foliation for X , i.e., $X = \coprod \pi^{-1}(y)$ and the Lipschitz property of φ consists to ask that the distance between two points is comparable with the distance between a point and a fiber. Following this idea, it is natural to explore related notions, such as intrinsically Hölder [10] and quasi-symmetric [9] sections, where the right-hand side term involves the distance to a fiber rather than to a single point. In this paper, we introduce the concept of intrinsically quasi-isometric sections. Specifically, we consider a metric space X , a topological space Y , and a quotient map $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$, which is assumed to be continuous, open, and surjective. The author also studies the link between this notion and Hopf-Lax semigroup in [13], [12].

The standard example for us is when X is a metric Lie group G (meaning that the Lie group G is equipped with a left-invariant distance that induces the manifold topology), for example a subRiemannian Carnot group, and Y is the space of left cosets G/H , where $H < G$ is a closed subgroup and $\pi : G \rightarrow G/H$ is the projection modulo H , $g \mapsto gH$.

Definition 1. We say that a map $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$ is an *intrinsically (L, M) -roughly quasi-isometric section of π* or, simply, *intrinsically (L, M) -quasi-isometric section of π* , with $L \geq 1$ and $M \geq 0$, if

$$\pi \circ \varphi = id_Y, \quad (1)$$

and

$$d(\varphi(y_1), \varphi(y_2)) \leq Ld(\varphi(y_1), \pi^{-1}(y_2)) + M, \quad \text{for all } y_1, y_2 \in Y. \quad (2)$$

Here d denotes the distance on X , and, as usual, for a subset $A \subset X$ and a point $x \in X$, we have $d(x, A) := \inf\{d(x, a) : a \in A\}$.

If $L = 1$, then φ is called an intrinsically (L, M) -roughly isometric; on the other hand if $M = 0$ the intrinsically (L, M) -roughly quasi-isometric sections are intrinsically L -Lipschitz sections studied in [14]. Moreover, we underline that, when $M = 0$ and π is a Lipschitz quotient or submetry [4, 3], the results trivialize, since in this case being intrinsically Lipschitz is equivalent to biLipschitz embedding, see Proposition 2.4 in [14].

It is easy to see that an intrinsically (L, M) -quasi-isometric section need not be continuous. Moreover, by the simply fact that $\varphi(y) \in \pi^{-1}(y)$, we have that

$$\frac{1}{L}d(\varphi(y_1), \pi^{-1}(y_2)) - M \leq d(\varphi(y_1), \pi^{-1}(y_2)) \leq d(\varphi(y_1), \varphi(y_2)),$$

for any $y_1, y_2 \in Y$ and so we get the left part of classical quasi-isometric definition is trivial, i.e.,

$$\frac{1}{L}d(\varphi(y_1), \pi^{-1}(y_2)) - M \leq d(\varphi(y_1), \varphi(y_2)) \leq Ld(\varphi(y_1), \pi^{-1}(y_2)) + M,$$

for any $y_1, y_2 \in Y$.

The main result of this paper is the following.

Theorem 1 (Ahlfors-David regularity). *Let $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a quotient map between a metric space X and a topological space Y such that there is a measure μ on Y such that for every $r_0 > 0$ and every $x, x' \in X$ with $\pi(x) = \pi(x')$ there is $C > 0$ such that*

$$\mu(\pi(B(x, r))) \leq C\mu(\pi(B(x', r))), \quad (3)$$

for every $r > r_0$.

We also assume that $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$ is an intrinsically (L, M) -quasi-isometric section of π such that $\varphi(Y)$ is, for large scale, Q -Ahlfors-David regular with respect to the measure $\varphi_*\mu$, with $Q \in (0, \infty)$.

Then, for every intrinsically (L, M) -quasi-isometric section $\psi : Y \rightarrow X$, the set $\psi(Y)$ is such that

$$(r - M)^Q \lesssim \psi_*\mu(B(\psi(y), r) \cap \psi(Y)) \lesssim (r + M)^Q, \quad (4)$$

for every $r > r_0$, with $r_0 \geq M$.

Namely, in Theorem 1 Ahlfors-David Q -regularity means that the measure $\varphi_*\mu$ is such that for each point $x \in \varphi(Y)$ there exist $r_0 > 0$ and $C > 0$ so that

$$C^{-1}r^Q \leq \varphi_*\mu(B(x, r) \cap \varphi(Y)) \leq Cr^Q, \quad \text{for all } r > r_0. \quad (5)$$

Finally, inspired by the seminal work of Cheeger [8] (see also [18, 19]) we give an equivalent property of quasi-isometric sections which will be the basic point in order to obtain the following results:

- (1) Proposition 4: a suitable set of this class of sections is convex one.
- (2) Theorem 2 and 3: a suitable set of this class of sections is a vector space over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} .
- (3) Theorem 4 gives an equivalence relation of this class of sections.

Regarding being vector spaces over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} , we present two different approaches: in Theorem 2 we used to be quasi-isometric section with respect to another section; on the other hand, in Theorem 3 we used the finite distance between two points belong to the same fiber.

Proposition 1. *Let X be a metric space, Y a topological space, $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ a quotient map, $L \geq 1$ and $M \geq 0$. Assume that every point $x \in X$ is contained in the image of an intrinsic (L, M) -quasi-isometric section ψ_x for π . Then for every section $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$ of π the following are equivalent:*

- (1) for all $x \in \varphi(Y)$ the section φ is intrinsically (L_1, M_1) -quasi-isometric with respect to ψ_x at x ;
- (2) the section φ is intrinsically (L_2, M_2) -quasi-isometric.

Moreover, if ψ is intrinsically Lipschitz (i.e. $M = 0$), then $M_1 = M_2$.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Giorgio Stefani for the reference [19].

2 Intrinsically quasi-isometric sections

Definition 2 (Intrinsic quasi-isometric section). Let (X, d) be a metric space and let Y be a topological space. We say that a map $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$ is a *section* of a quotient map $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ if

$$\pi \circ \varphi = \text{id}_Y.$$

Moreover, we say that φ is an *intrinsically (L, M) quasi-isometric section* with constants $L \geq 1$ and $M \geq 0$ if in addition

$$d(\varphi(y_1), \varphi(y_2)) \leq Ld(\varphi(y_1), \pi^{-1}(y_2)) + M, \quad \text{for all } y_1, y_2 \in Y.$$

Equivalently, we are requesting that

$$d(x_1, x_2) \leq Ld(x_1, \pi^{-1}(\pi(x_2))) + M, \quad \text{for all } x_1, x_2 \in \varphi(Y).$$

We further rephrase the definition as saying that $\varphi(Y)$, which we call the *graph* of φ , avoids some particular sets (which depend on L, M and φ itself):

Proposition 2. *Let $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a quotient map between a metric space and a topological space, $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$ be a section of π , $L \geq 1$ and $M \geq 0$. Then φ is intrinsically (L, M) -quasi-isometric if and only if*

$$\varphi(Y) \cap R_{x,L} = \emptyset, \quad \text{for all } x \in \varphi(Y),$$

where

$$R_{x,L} := \{x' \in X \mid Ld(x', \pi^{-1}(\pi(x))) + M < d(x', x)\}.$$

Proposition 2 is a triviality, still its purpose is to stress the analogy with the intrinsically Lipschitz sections theory introduced in [14] when $M = 0$ and, in particular, the sets $R_{x,L}$ are the intrinsic cones in the sense of Franchi, Serapioni and Serra Cassano considered in subRiemannian Carnot groups when $M = 0$. The reader can see [11] for a suitable notion of intrinsic cones in metric groups.

However, we recall some examples of linear sections and intrinsically quasi-isometric sections.

- (1) Let the general linear group $X = GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ or $X = GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ of degree n which is the set of $n \times n$ invertible matrices, together with the operation of ordinary matrix multiplication. We consider $Y = \mathbb{R}^* = GL(n, \mathbb{R})/SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ or $Y = \mathbb{C}^* = GL(n, \mathbb{C})/SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ where the special linear group $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ (or $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$) is the subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ (or $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$) consisting of matrices with determinant of 1. Here the linear map $\pi = \det : GL(n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^*$ is a surjective homomorphism where $Ker(\pi) = SL(n, \mathbb{R})$.
- (2) Let $X = GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ as above and $Y = GL(n, \mathbb{R})/O(n, \mathbb{R})$ where $O(n, \mathbb{R})$ is the orthogonal group in dimension n . Recall that Y is diffeomorphic to the space of upper-triangular matrices with positive entries on the diagonal, the natural map $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ is linear.
- (3) Let $X = \mathbb{R}^2, Y = \mathbb{R}$ endowed with the Euclidean distance and $\pi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as $\pi((x_1, x_2)) := x_1 + x_2$ for any $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. An easy example of quasi-isometric sections of π is the following one: let $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ given by

$$\varphi(y) = (by + af(y), (1 - b)y - af(y)), \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ might not be a continuous map, but it will be bounded by a constant M .

- (4) Let $X = \mathbb{R}^{2\kappa}, Y = \mathbb{R}$ endowed with the Euclidean distance and $\pi : \mathbb{R}^{2\kappa} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as $\pi((x_1, \dots, x_{2\kappa})) := x_1 + \dots + x_{2\kappa}$ for any $(x_1, \dots, x_{2\kappa}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2\kappa}$. An easy example of quasi-isometric sections of π is the following one: let $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2\kappa}$ be given by

$$\varphi(y) = (y + a_1 f_1(y), -a_1 f_1(y), a_2 f_2(y), -a_2 f_2(y), \dots, a_\kappa f_\kappa(y), -a_\kappa f_\kappa(y)),$$

for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$, where $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ might not be continuous maps, but they will be bounded by a constant M for any $i = 1, \dots, \kappa$.

- (5) Regarding examples of intrinsic quasi-isometric sections in the context of Carnot groups the reader can see [23, Example 4.58].

2.1 Intrinsic quasi-isometric with respect to families of sections

In this section we continue to fix a quotient map $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ between a metric space X and a topological space Y .

Definition 3 (Intrinsic quasi-isometric with respect to a section). Given sections $\varphi, \psi : Y \rightarrow X$ of π . We say that φ is *intrinsically (L, M) -quasi-isometric with respect to ψ at point \hat{x}* , with $L \geq 1, M \geq 0$ and $\hat{x} \in X$, if

- (1) $\hat{x} \in \psi(Y) \cap \varphi(Y)$;
- (2) $\varphi(Y) \cap C_{\hat{x}, L}^\psi = \emptyset$,

where

$$C_{\hat{x}, L}^\psi := \{x \in X : d(x, \psi(\pi(x))) > Ld(\hat{x}, \psi(\pi(x))) + M\}.$$

Remark 1. Definition 3 can be rephrased as follows. A section φ is intrinsically (L, M) -quasi-isometric with respect to ψ at point \hat{x} if and only if there is $\hat{y} \in Y$ such that $\hat{x} = \varphi(\hat{y}) = \psi(\hat{y})$ and

$$d(x, \psi(\pi(x))) \leq Ld(\hat{x}, \psi(\pi(x))) + M, \quad \forall x \in \varphi(Y),$$

which equivalently means

$$d(\varphi(y), \psi(y)) \leq Ld(\psi(\hat{y}), \psi(y)) + M, \quad \forall y \in Y. \quad (6)$$

The proof of Proposition 1 is an immediate consequence of the following result.

Proposition 3. *Let X be a metric space, Y a topological space, and $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ a quotient map. Let $L \geq 1, M \geq 0$ and $y_0 \in Y$. Assume $\varphi_0 : Y \rightarrow X$ is an intrinsically (L, M) -quasi-isometric section of π . Let $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$ be a section of π such that $x_0 := \varphi(y_0) = \varphi_0(y_0)$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *For some $L_1 \geq 1$ and $M_1 \geq 0$, φ is intrinsically (L_1, M_1) -quasi-isometric with respect to φ_0 at x_0 ;*
- (2) *For some $L_2 \geq 1$ and $M_2 \geq 0$, φ satisfies*

$$d(x_0, \varphi(y)) \leq L_2d(x_0, \pi^{-1}(y)) + M_2, \quad \forall y \in Y. \quad (7)$$

Moreover, the constants L_1 and L_2 are quantitatively related in terms of L and M_1 and M_2 are quantitatively related in terms of M .

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). For every $y \in Y$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} d(\varphi(y), x_0) &\leq d(\varphi(y), \varphi_0(y)) + d(\varphi_0(y), x_0) \\ &\leq (L_1 + 1)d(\varphi_0(y), x_0) + M_1 \\ &\leq L(L_1 + 1)d(x_0, \pi^{-1}(y)) + M_1 + M, \end{aligned}$$

where in the first inequality we used the triangle inequality, and in the second one the intrinsic quasi-isometric property of φ . Then, in the third inequality we used the intrinsic quasi-isometric property of φ_0 .

(2) \Rightarrow (1). For every $y \in Y$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} d(\varphi(y), \varphi_0(y)) &\leq d(\varphi(y), x_0) + d(x_0, \varphi_0(y)) \\ &\leq (L_2 + 1)d(\varphi_0(y), x_0) + M_2, \end{aligned}$$

where in the first equality we used the triangle inequality, and in the second one we used (7) and the fact that $\varphi_0(y)$ belongs to the fiber $\pi^{-1}(y)$. \square

Remark 2. Notice that if φ_0 in Proposition 3 is L -intrinsically Lipschitz (i.e., $M = 0$), then $M_1 = M_2$.

2.2 Convex set

We show that a class of intrinsically quasi-isometric sections with respect to another is a convex set. We begin by considering the following set.

Definition 4 (Intrinsic quasi-isometric set with respect to ψ). Let $\psi : Y \rightarrow X$ a section of π . We define the set of all intrinsically quasi-isometric sections of π with respect to ψ at point \hat{x} as

$$I_{\psi, \hat{x}} := \{\varphi : Y \rightarrow X \text{ a section of } \pi : \varphi \text{ is intrinsically } (L, M)\text{-quasi-isometric w.r.t. } \psi \text{ at point } \hat{x} \text{ for some } L \geq 1 \text{ and } M \geq 0\}.$$

Proposition 4. Let $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a linear and quotient map between normed spaces X and Y . Assume also that $\psi : Y \rightarrow X$ a section of π and $\hat{x} \in \psi(Y)$. Then, the set $I_{\psi, \hat{x}}$ is a convex set.

Proof. Let $\varphi, \eta \in I_{\psi, \hat{x}}$ and let $t \in [0, 1]$. We want to show that

$$w := t\varphi + (1 - t)\eta \in I_{\psi, \hat{x}}.$$

Notice that by linearity of π it holds

$$\pi(w(y)) = \pi(t\varphi(y) + (1 - t)\eta(y)) = t\pi(\varphi(y)) + (1 - t)\pi(\eta(y)) = y,$$

for any $y \in Y$, i.e., w is a section of π . Moreover, $w(\bar{y}) = \varphi(\bar{y}) = \eta(\bar{y}) = \hat{x}$ for some $\bar{y} \in Y$ and for every $y \in Y$ we have

$$\|w(y) - \psi(y)\| = \|t(\varphi(y) - \psi(y)) + (1 - t)(\eta(y) - \psi(y))\|,$$

and so

$$\|w(y) - \psi(y)\| \leq t\|\varphi(y) - \psi(y)\| + (1 - t)\|\eta(y) - \psi(y)\|.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} d(w(y), \psi(y)) &\leq tL_\varphi d(\psi(\bar{y}), \psi(y)) + M_\varphi + (1 - t)L_\eta d(\psi(\bar{y}), \psi(y)) + M_\eta \\ &= [t(L_\varphi - L_\eta) + L_\eta]d(\psi(\bar{y}), \psi(y)) + (M_\varphi + M_\eta), \end{aligned}$$

for every $y \in Y$, as desired. \square

2.3 Vector space: version 1

In this section we show that a 'large' class of intrinsically quasi-isometric sections is a vector space over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} defined as follows. Notice that it is not possible to obtain that $I_{\psi, \hat{x}}$ is a vector space for \mathbb{R} since the simple observation that if $\psi(\hat{y}) = \hat{x}$ then $\psi(\hat{y}) + \psi(\hat{y}) \neq \hat{x}$. On the other hand, we have the following result.

Theorem 2. *Let $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ is a linear and quotient map between normed spaces X and Y . Assume also that $\psi : Y \rightarrow X$ is a section of π and $\{\lambda\hat{x} : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+\} \subset X$ with $\hat{x} \in \psi(Y)$.*

Then, for any $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, the set $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+} I_{\lambda\psi, \lambda\hat{x}} \cup \{0\}$ is a vector space over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} .

Proof. Let $\varphi, \eta \in \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+} I_{\lambda\psi, \lambda\hat{x}}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$. We want to show that

$$(1) \quad w := \varphi + \eta \in \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+} I_{\lambda\psi, \lambda\hat{x}}.$$

$$(2) \quad \beta\varphi \in \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+} I_{\lambda\psi, \lambda\hat{x}}.$$

(1). If $\varphi \in I_{\delta_1\psi, \delta_1\hat{x}}$ and $\eta \in I_{\delta_2\psi, \delta_2\hat{x}}$ for some $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ it holds

$$w \in I_{(\delta_1 + \delta_2)\psi, (\delta_1 + \delta_2)\hat{x}}.$$

To simplify, we choose $\varphi, \eta \in I_{\psi, \hat{x}}$ and so it remains to prove

$$w \in I_{2\psi, 2\hat{x}}.$$

By linear property of π , w is a section of $1/2\pi$. On the other hand, if $\psi(\bar{y}) = \hat{x}$, then $w(\bar{y}) = \varphi(\bar{y}) + \eta(\bar{y}) = 2\psi(\bar{y}) \in X$. Moreover, using (6), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \|w(y) - 2\psi(y)\| &= \|\varphi(y) + \eta(y) - 2\psi(y)\| \\ &\leq \|\varphi(y) - \psi(y)\| + \|\eta(y) - \psi(y)\| \\ &\leq 2L\|\psi(\bar{y}) - \psi(y)\| + 2M \\ &= L\|2\psi(\bar{y}) - 2\psi(y)\| + 2M, \end{aligned}$$

for any $y \in Y$, as desired.

(2). If $\varphi \in I_{\delta_1\psi, \delta_1\hat{x}}$ then in a similar way to the point (1) it is possible to deduce that $\beta\varphi \in I_{\beta\delta_1\psi, \beta\delta_1\hat{x}}$. \square *QED*

2.4 Vector space: version 2

In this section we present another vector space over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} for a suitable class of quasi-isometric sections of a linear map. Here we do not ask the condition given by Definition 4 but we want that the distance between two points belong to the same fiber is finite.

Theorem 3. *Let $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ is a linear and quotient map between normed spaces X and Y . Assume also that the distance between two points of the same fiber is bounded by $\ell < \infty$. Then, the set of all quasi-isometric sections of π joint with the zero map is a vector space over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} .*

Proof. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$ and φ, ψ be two quasi-isometric sections of π with constants (L_φ, M_φ) and (L_ψ, M_ψ) , respectively. We want to show that

- (1) $\varphi + \psi$ is a quasi-isometric section of π .
- (2) $\lambda\varphi$ is a quasi-isometric section of π .

(1). The fact that $\varphi + \psi$ is a section of $1/2\pi$ follows from the linearity of π . Moreover, for any $y_1, y_2 \in Y$ we consider $a \in 1/2\pi^{-1}(y_2)$ such that $d(\varphi(y_1) + \psi(y_1), 1/2\pi^{-1}(y_2)) = d(\varphi(y_1) + \psi(y_1), a)$ and so

$$\frac{1}{2}a \in \pi^{-1}(y_2).$$

As a consequence, by triangle inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi(y_1) + \psi(y_1) - \varphi(y_2) - \psi(y_2)\| &\leq \|\varphi(y_1) + \psi(y_1) - a\| + \|a - \varphi(y_2) - \psi(y_2)\| \\ &\leq d(\varphi(y_1) + \psi(y_1), a) + \|1/2a - \varphi(y_2)\| + \\ &\quad + \|1/2a - \psi(y_2)\| \\ &\leq d(\varphi(y_1) + \psi(y_1), 1/2\pi^{-1}(y_2)) + 2\ell, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we used that the distance between two points in the same fiber is bounded by ℓ .

(2). The fact that $\lambda\varphi$ is a section of $1/\lambda\pi$ is trivial using the linearity of π . On the other hand, for any $y_1, y_2 \in Y$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda\varphi(y_1) - \lambda\varphi(y_2)\| &\leq L_\varphi|\lambda|d(\varphi(y_1), \pi^{-1}(y_2)) + M_\varphi \\ &= L_\varphi d(\lambda\varphi(y_1), (1/\lambda\pi)^{-1}(y_2)) + M_\varphi, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., the thesis holds. This fact follows by these observations:

- (1) if $d(\varphi(y_1), \pi^{-1}(y_2)) = d(\varphi(y_1), a)$ then $|\lambda|d(\varphi(y_1), \pi^{-1}(y_2)) = \|\lambda\varphi(y_1) - \lambda a\|$.
- (2) $\lambda a \in \pi^{-1}(\lambda y)$.
- (3) $\pi^{-1}(\lambda y) = (1/\lambda\pi)^{-1}(y)$.

The second point is true because using the linearity of π we have that $\pi(\lambda a) = \lambda\pi(a) = \lambda y$. Finally, the third point holds since

$$\pi^{-1}(\lambda y) = \{x \in X : \pi(x) = \lambda y\} = \{x \in X : 1/\lambda\pi(x) = y\} = (1/\lambda\pi)^{-1}(y),$$

as desired.

□

We stress that in the first point of the last proof we do not use the quasi-isometric hypothesis of φ and ψ and so we have the following result.

Proposition 5. *Let $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ is a linear and quotient map between normed spaces X and Y . Assume also that the distance between two points of the same fiber is bounded by $\ell < \infty$. Then, the sum of two sections of π is a $(1, 2\ell)$ -quasi isometric section of $1/n\pi$.*

3 An equivalence relation

In this section X is a metric space, Y a topological space and $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ a quotient map (we do *not* ask that π is a linear map). We stress that Definition 3 does not induce an equivalence relation, because of lack of symmetry in the right-hand side of (6). As a consequence we must ask a stronger condition in order to obtain an equivalence relation.

Definition 5 (Intrinsic quasi-isometric with respect to a section in strong sense). Given sections $\varphi, \psi : Y \rightarrow X$ of π . We say that φ is *intrinsically* (L, M) -quasi-isometric with respect to ψ at point \hat{x} in strong sense, with $L \geq 1, M \geq 0$ and $\hat{x} \in X$, if

$$(1) \hat{x} \in \psi(Y) \cap \varphi(Y);$$

(2) it holds

$$d(\varphi(y), \psi(y)) \leq L \min\{d(\psi(\hat{y}), \psi(y)), d(\psi(\hat{y}), \varphi(y))\} + M, \quad \forall y \in Y. \quad (8)$$

At this point, we are able to give the main theorem.

Theorem 4. *Let $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a quotient map from a metric space X to a topological space Y . Assume also that $\psi : Y \rightarrow X$ is a section of π and $\hat{x} \in X$. Then, being intrinsically quasi-isometric with respect to ψ at point \hat{x} in strong sense induces an equivalence relation. We will write the class of equivalence of ψ at point \hat{x} as*

$$:= \{\varphi : Y \rightarrow X \text{ a section of } \pi : \varphi \text{ is intrinsically } (L, M)\text{-quasi-isometric with respect to } \psi \text{ at point } \hat{x} \text{ in strong sense, for some } L \geq 1, M \geq 0\}.$$

An interesting observation is that, considering $I_{\psi, \hat{x}}$, the intrinsic constants L and M can be change but it is fundamental that the point \hat{x} is a common one for the every section.

Proof. We need to show:

- (1) reflexive property;
- (2) symmetric property;
- (3) transitive property;

(1). It is trivial that $\varphi \sim \varphi$.

(2). If $\varphi \sim \psi$, then $\psi \sim \varphi$. This follows from (8).

(3). We know that $\varphi \sim \psi$ and $\psi \sim \eta$. Hence, $\hat{x} = \varphi(\hat{y}) = \psi(\hat{y}) = \eta(\hat{y})$. Moreover, by (8), it holds

$$\begin{aligned} d(\varphi(y), \psi(y)) &\leq L_1 \min\{d(\psi(\hat{y}), \psi(y)), d(\psi(\hat{y}), \varphi(y))\} + M_\varphi, \\ d(\psi(y), \eta(y)) &\leq L_2 \min\{d(\eta(\hat{y}), \eta(y)), d(\eta(\hat{y}), \psi(y))\} + M_\eta, \end{aligned}$$

for any $y \in Y$ and, consequently, if $\tilde{L} = \min\{L_1, L_2\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} d(\varphi(y), \psi(y)) &\leq d(\varphi(y), \psi(y)) + d(\psi(y), \eta(y)) \\ &\leq \tilde{L} \min\{d(\eta(\hat{y}), \eta(y)), d(\psi(\hat{y}), \varphi(y))\} + M_\varphi + M_\eta, \\ &= \tilde{L} \min\{d(\eta(\hat{y}), \eta(y)), d(\eta(\hat{y}), \varphi(y))\} + M_\varphi + M_\eta, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

for any $y \in Y$. This means that $\varphi \sim \eta$, as desired. \square

4 Proof of Ahlfors-David regularity

We need to a preliminary result.

Lemma 1. *Let X be a metric space, Y a topological space, and $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ a quotient map. If $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$ is an intrinsically (L, M) -quasi-isometric section of π with $L \geq 1$ and $M \geq 0$, then it holds*

$$\pi \left(B \left(p, \frac{r}{L} \right) \right) \subset \pi(B(p, r + M) \cap \varphi(Y)) \subset \pi(B(p, r + M)), \quad \forall p \in \varphi(Y), \forall r > 0. \quad (10)$$

Proof. Regarding the first inclusion, fix $p \in \varphi(Y)$, $r > 0$ and $q \in B(p, \frac{r}{L})$. We need to show that $\pi(q) \in \pi(\varphi(Y) \cap B(p, r + M))$. Actually, it is enough to prove that

$$\varphi(\pi(q)) \in B(p, r + M), \quad (11)$$

because if we take $g := \varphi(\pi(q))$, then $g \in \varphi(Y)$ and

$$\pi(g) = \pi(\varphi(\pi(q))) = \pi(q) \in \pi(\varphi(Y) \cap B(p, r + M)).$$

Hence using the intrinsic quasi-isometric property of φ and the fact that q and g belong to the same fiber because $\pi(g) = \pi(q)$, we have that for any $p, q, g \in \varphi(Y)$ with $g = \varphi(\pi(q))$,

$$d(p, g) \leq Ld(p, \pi^{-1}(\pi(g))) + M = Ld(p, \pi^{-1}(\pi(q))) + M \leq Ld(p, q) + M < r + M, \quad (12)$$

i.e., (11) holds, as desired. Finally, the second inclusion in (10) follows immediately noting that $\pi(\varphi(Y)) = Y$ because φ is a section and the proof is complete. \square

Now we are able to prove Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let φ and ψ intrinsically (L, M) -quasi-isometric sections, with $L \geq 1$ and $M \geq 0$. Fix $y \in Y$. By Ahlfors-David regularity of $\varphi(y)$, we know that there are $c_1, c_2, r_0 > 0$ such that

$$c_1 r^Q \leq \varphi_* \mu(B(\varphi(y), r) \cap \varphi(Y)) \leq c_2 r^Q, \quad (13)$$

for all $r > r_0$. We would like to show that there is $c_3, c_4 > 0$ such that

$$c_4 (r - M)^Q \leq \psi_* \mu(B(\psi(y), r) \cap \psi(Y)) \leq c_4 (r + M)^Q, \quad (14)$$

for every $r > r_0$. We begin noticing that, by symmetry and (3)

$$C^{-1} \mu(\pi(B(\psi(y), r))) \leq \mu(\pi(B(\varphi(y), r))) \leq C \mu(\pi(B(\psi(y), r))). \quad (15)$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_* \mu(B(\psi(y), r) \cap \psi(Y)) &= \mu(\psi^{-1}(B(\psi(y), r) \cap \psi(Y))) \\ &= \mu(\pi(B(\psi(y), r) \cap \psi(Y))), \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

and, consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_* \mu(B(\psi(y), r) \cap \psi(Y)) &\geq \mu(\pi(B(\psi(y), (r - M)/L))) \\ &\geq C^{-1} \mu(\pi(B(\varphi(y), (r - M)/L))) \\ &\geq C^{-1} \mu(\pi(B(\varphi(y), (r - M)/L) \cap \varphi(Y))) \\ &= C^{-1} \varphi_* \mu(B(\varphi(y), (r - M)/L) \cap \varphi(Y)) \\ &\geq c_1 C^{-1} L^{-Q} (r - M)^Q \end{aligned}$$

where in the first inequality we used the first inclusion of (10) with ψ in place of φ , and in the second one we used (15). In the third inequality we used the second inclusion of (10) and in the fourth one we used (16) with φ in place of ψ . Moreover, in a similar way we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_*\mu(B(\psi(y), r) \cap \psi(Y)) &\leq \mu(\pi(B(\psi(y), r))) \leq C\mu(\pi(B(\varphi(y), r))) \\ &\leq C\mu(\pi(B(\varphi(y), L(r+M)) \cap \varphi(Y))) \\ &= C\varphi_*\mu(B(\varphi(y), L(r+M)) \cap \varphi(Y)) \\ &\leq c_2CL^Q(r+M)^Q. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, putting together the last two inequalities we have that (14) holds with $c_3 = c_1C^{-1}L^{-Q}$ and $c_4 = c_2CL^Q$. \square

5 Bibliography

References

- [1] ANDREI AGRACHEV AND DAVIDE BARILARI AND UGO BOSCAIN : *A comprehensive introduction to sub-Riemannian Geometry*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Cambridge Univ. Press, vol. 181, page 762, 2019.
- [2] AMBROSIO, LUIGI AND KIRCHHEIM, BERND: *Rectifiable sets in metric and Banach spaces*, Mathematische Annalen, vol. 318, n. 3, 527–555, 2000.
- [3] BERESTOVSKII, VALERII NIKOLAEVICH: *Homogeneous manifolds with intrinsic metric*, Sib Math J, vol. I, n. 29, 887–897, 1988
- [4] BATES, S. AND JOHNSON, W. B. AND LINDENSTRAUSS, J. AND PREISS, D. AND SCHECHTMAN, G.: *Affine approximation of Lipschitz functions and nonlinear quotients*, Geometric and Functional Analysis, vol. 9, n. 6, 1092–1127, 1999.
- [5] BONFIGLIOLI, A. AND LANCONELLI, E. AND UGUZZONI, F.: *Stratified Lie groups and potential theory for their sub-Laplacians*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin, 2007.
- [6] BLOCK, J. AND WEINBERGER, S.: *Large scale homology theories and geometry*, Geometric topology (Athens, GA, 1993), AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math., vol. 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 522–569, 1997
- [7] CAPOGNA, LUCA AND DANIELLI, DONATELLA AND PAULS, SCOTT D. AND TYSON, JEREMY T.: *An introduction to the Heisenberg group and the sub-Riemannian isoperimetric problem*, Geometric topology (Athens, GA, 1993), Progress in Mathematics, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, vol. 259, 2007
- [8] CHEEGER, J.: *Differentiability of Lipschitz functions on metric measure spaces*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 9, 428–517, 1999
- [9] DI DONATO, D.: *Ahlfors-David regularity of intrinsically quasi-symmetric sections in metric spaces*, preprint, 2022
- [10] DI DONATO, D.: *Intrinsically Hölder sections in metric spaces*, WSEAS Transactions on Mathematics, vol. 23, pp. 723–730, 2024

- [11] DI DONATO, D.: *Intrinsically Lipschitz graphs on semidirect products of groups*, preprint, 2022
- [12] DI DONATO, D.: *The intrinsic Hopf-Lax semigroup vs. The intrinsic slope*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, Volume 523, Issue 2, 15 July 2023, 127051, 2023
- [13] DI DONATO, D.: *The intrinsic Hopf-Lax formula and Hamilton-Jacobi equation*, Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1112/blms.70026>, 2025
- [14] DI DONATO, D. AND LE DONNE, E.: *Intrinsically Lipschitz sections and applications to metric groups*, Communications in Contemporary Mathematics, 2026
- [15] FRANCHI, B. AND SERAPIONI, R. AND SERRA CASSANO, F.: *Regular hypersurfaces, intrinsic perimeter and implicit function theorem in Carnot groups*, Communications in Analysis and Geometry, vol. 11 n. 5, 909–944, 2003
- [16] FRANCHI, B. AND SERAPIONI, R. AND SERRA CASSANO, F.: *On the structure of finite perimeter sets in step 2 Carnot groups*, The Journal of Geometric Analysis, vol. 13 n. 3, 421–466, 2003
- [17] GROMOV, M.: *Hyperbolic groups, Essays in group theory*, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., 75–263, 1987
- [18] KEITH, S.: *A differentiable structure for metric measure spaces*, Adv. Math. 183, 271–315, 2004
- [19] KLEINER, B. AND MACKAY, J.M.: *Differentiable structures on metric measure spaces: a primer*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) Vol. XVI, 41–64, 2016
- [20] GILLES LANCIEN, AND AUDE DALET: *Some properties of coarse Lipschitz maps between Banach spaces*, North- Western European Journal of Mathematics, Laboratoires de Mathématiques du Nord-Pas-de-Calais, 2017
- [21] PIOTR W. NOWAK, AND GUOLIANG YU: *Large Scale Geometry*, European Mathematical Society, Vol.13 of EMS textbooks in mathematics, 2012
- [22] JOHN ROE: *Lectures on coarse geometry*, University Lecture Series, vol. 31, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003
- [23] SERRA CASSANO, F.: *Some topics of geometric measure theory in Carnot groups*, in Geometry, analysis and dynamics on sub-Riemannian manifolds. Vol. 1, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 1–121, 2016
- [24] SCHWARTZ, R.E.: *The quasi-isometry classification of rank one lattices*, Publications mathématiques de l’I.H.É.S., tome 82, 133–168, 1995