

Ideals as Generalized Prime Ideal Factorization of Submodules

K. R. Thulasiⁱ

Department of Mathematics, Pondicherry University, Puducherry, India.
thulasi.3008@gmail.com

T. Duraivel

Department of Mathematics, Pondicherry University, Puducherry, India.
tduraivel@gmail.com

S. Mangayarcassay

Department of Mathematics, Pondicherry Technological University, Puducherry, India.
dmangay@ptuniv.edu.in

Received: 10.03.2023; accepted: 07.03.2024.

Abstract. For a submodule N of an R -module M , a unique product of prime ideals in R is assigned, which is called the generalized prime ideal factorization of N in M , and denoted as $\mathcal{P}_M(N)$. But for a product of prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n$ in R and an R -module M , there may not exist a submodule N in M with $\mathcal{P}_M(N) = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n$. In this article, for an arbitrary product of prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n$ and a module M , we find conditions for the existence of submodules in M having $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n$ as their generalized prime ideal factorization.

Keywords: prime submodule, prime filtration, Noetherian ring, prime ideal factorization, regular prime extension filtration

MSC 2020 classification: primary 13A05, secondary 13A15, 13E05, 13E15

1 Introduction

Throughout this article, R denotes a commutative Noetherian ring with identity and M will be a finitely generated unitary R -module. The reference for standard terminology and notations will be [3] and [4].

A proper submodule N of an R -module M is called a *prime submodule* of M if for any $a \in R$ and $x \in M$, $ax \in N$ implies $a \in (N : M)$ or $x \in N$. If N is a prime submodule of M , then $(N : M) = \mathfrak{p}$, a prime ideal in R , and we say N is a *\mathfrak{p} -prime submodule* of M . Let N and K be submodules of M . Then K is called a *\mathfrak{p} -prime extension of N* in M if N is a \mathfrak{p} -prime submodule of K , and it is denoted as $N \overset{\mathfrak{p}}{\subset} K$. In this case, $\text{Ass}(K/N) = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$.

ⁱThe first author was supported by INSPIRE Fellowship (IF170488) of the Department of Science and Technology (DST), Government of India.

Let N be a proper submodule of an R -module M . Then we have $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(M/N)$ if and only if there exists a \mathfrak{p} -prime extension of N in M [1, Lemma 3]. A \mathfrak{p} -prime extension K of N is said to be *maximal* if K is maximal among the submodules of M which are \mathfrak{p} -prime extensions of N in M . Since M is Noetherian, maximal \mathfrak{p} -prime extensions exist. A filtration of submodules $\mathcal{F} : N = M_0 \stackrel{\mathfrak{p}_1}{\subset} M_1 \subset \cdots \subset M_{n-1} \stackrel{\mathfrak{p}_n}{\subset} M_n = M$ is called a *maximal prime extension (MPE) filtration* of M over N , if $M_{i-1} \stackrel{\mathfrak{p}_i}{\subset} M_i$ is a maximal \mathfrak{p}_i -prime extension in M for $1 \leq i \leq n$. It is proved that $\text{Ass}(M/M_{i-1}) = \{\mathfrak{p}_i, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$ [1, Proposition 14]. Hence, the set of prime ideals which occur in any MPE filtration of M over N is exactly equal to $\text{Ass}(M/N)$.

A maximal \mathfrak{p} -prime extension K of N is said to be *regular* if \mathfrak{p} is a maximal element in $\text{Ass}(M/N)$, and the filtration $\mathcal{F} : N = M_0 \stackrel{\mathfrak{p}_1}{\subset} M_1 \subset \cdots \subset M_{n-1} \stackrel{\mathfrak{p}_n}{\subset} M_n = M$ is called a *regular prime extension (RPE) filtration* of M over N if $M_{i-1} \stackrel{\mathfrak{p}_i}{\subset} M_i$ is a regular \mathfrak{p}_i -prime extension in M for $1 \leq i \leq n$. In this case, for each $i < j$, $M_i \stackrel{\mathfrak{p}_{i+1}}{\subset} M_{i+1} \cdots \subset M_{j-1} \stackrel{\mathfrak{p}_j}{\subset} M_j$ is also an RPE filtration of M_j over M_i . Since RPE filtrations are MPE filtrations, $\text{Ass}(M_j/M_i) = \{\mathfrak{p}_{i+1}, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_j\}$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. In particular, $\text{Ass}(M/N) = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}$.

The following lemma gives the condition for interchanging the occurrences of prime ideals in an RPE filtration.

Lemma 1.1. [1, Lemma 20] Let N be a proper submodule of M and $N = M_0 \subset \cdots \subset M_{i-1} \stackrel{\mathfrak{p}_i}{\subset} M_i \stackrel{\mathfrak{p}_{i+1}}{\subset} M_{i+1} \subset \cdots \subset M_n = M$ be an RPE filtration of M over N . If $\mathfrak{p}_{i+1} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}_i$ for some i , then there exists a submodule K_i of M such that $N = M_0 \subset \cdots \subset M_{i-1} \stackrel{\mathfrak{p}_{i+1}}{\subset} K_i \stackrel{\mathfrak{p}_i}{\subset} M_{i+1} \subset \cdots \subset M_n = M$ is an RPE filtration of M over N .

RPE filtrations satisfy the following uniqueness property.

Lemma 1.2. [1, Theorem 22] For a proper submodule N of M , the number of times a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} occurs in any RPE filtration of M over N is unique, and hence, any two RPE filtrations of M over N have the same length.

The submodules which occur in an RPE filtration are characterized as follows.

Lemma 1.3. [2, Lemma 3.1]. Let N be a proper submodule of an R -module M . If $N = M_0 \stackrel{\mathfrak{p}_1}{\subset} M_1 \subset \cdots \subset M_{n-1} \stackrel{\mathfrak{p}_n}{\subset} M_n = M$ is an RPE filtration of M over N , then $M_i = \{x \in M \mid \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_i x \subseteq N\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Hence, the product of prime ideals that occur in any two RPE filtrations of M over N is the same. This product is called the *generalized prime ideal factorization* of N in M and denoted as $\mathcal{P}_M(N)$ in [5], and sufficient conditions for $\mathcal{P}_M(\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n M) = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n$ were found, where $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$ are prime ideals in

R [5, Theorem 2.14].

There may be products of prime ideals that are not the generalized prime ideal factorization of any submodule of a given module.

Example 1.4. Let $R = \frac{k[x,y,z]}{(xy-z^2, x^2-yz)}$ and $\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}$ denote the images of x, y, z respectively in R . Let \mathfrak{p} be the prime ideal (\bar{x}, \bar{z}) . Then $(\mathfrak{p}^2 : \mathfrak{p}) = (\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})$. Suppose there exists an ideal \mathfrak{a} in R with $\mathcal{P}_R(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathfrak{p}^2$. Then there exists an RPE filtration $\mathfrak{a} \overset{\mathfrak{p}}{\subset} \mathfrak{a}_1 \overset{\mathfrak{p}}{\subset} R$ and therefore, $\text{Ass}(R/\mathfrak{a}) = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$. By Lemma 1.3, $\mathfrak{a}_1 = (\mathfrak{a} : \mathfrak{p})$, and since $\mathfrak{p}^2 \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$, we have $(\mathfrak{p}^2 : \mathfrak{p}) \subseteq (\mathfrak{a} : \mathfrak{p})$. Since $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}) = (\mathfrak{p}^2 : \mathfrak{p}) \subseteq (\mathfrak{a} : \mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{a}_1 \subsetneq R$ and $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})$ is a maximal ideal, $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}) = (\mathfrak{a} : \mathfrak{p})$. Then $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}) = (\mathfrak{a} : \mathfrak{p})$ for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{p} \setminus \mathfrak{a}$. This would imply that $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}) \in \text{Ass}(R/\mathfrak{a})$, a contradiction. Therefore, an ideal \mathfrak{a} in R cannot have $\mathcal{P}_R(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathfrak{p}^2$.

In this article, for a product of prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n$ (\mathfrak{p}_i 's not necessarily distinct), we find conditions for the existence of submodules N of M with $\mathcal{P}_M(N) = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n$. We also give a necessary and sufficient condition for $\mathcal{P}_M(\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n M) = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n$.

2 Ideals as Generalized Prime Ideal Factorization of Submodules

Lemma 2.1. Let N be a submodule of M and $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r$ be some minimal prime ideals in $\text{Ass}(M/N)$. Then there exists a submodule K of M containing N such that $\mathcal{P}_M(K) = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r$.

Proof. Let $N = M_0 \overset{\mathfrak{q}_1}{\subset} M_1 \subset \cdots \subset M_{n-1} \overset{\mathfrak{q}_n}{\subset} M_n = M$ be an RPE filtration of M over N . Since $\{\mathfrak{q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_n\} = \text{Ass}(M/N)$, for each $1 \leq i \leq r$, $\mathfrak{p}_i = \mathfrak{q}_j$ for some j . Since $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r$ are minimal, we can reorder $\mathfrak{q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_n$ such that $\mathfrak{q}_j \not\subset \mathfrak{q}_k$ for $1 \leq j < k \leq n$ and $\mathfrak{q}_{n-r+i} = \mathfrak{p}_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. So using Lemma 1.1 sufficient times we can have an RPE filtration

$$N = K_0 \subset K_1 \subset \cdots \subset K_{n-r} \overset{\mathfrak{p}_1}{\subset} K_{n-r+1} \overset{\mathfrak{p}_2}{\subset} \cdots \subset K_{n-1} \overset{\mathfrak{p}_r}{\subset} K_n = M$$

of M over N . Then

$$K_{n-r} \overset{\mathfrak{p}_1}{\subset} K_{n-r+1} \overset{\mathfrak{p}_2}{\subset} \cdots \subset K_{n-1} \overset{\mathfrak{p}_r}{\subset} K_n = M$$

is an RPE filtration. So if $K = K_{n-r}$, then K is a submodule of M containing N with $\mathcal{P}_M(K) = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r$. \square

Now we show that for a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} in R , $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(M)$ is a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a submodule N in M with $\mathcal{P}_M(N) = \mathfrak{p}$. More generally, we have the following result.

Proposition 2.2. Let M be an R -module and $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$ be prime ideals in R such that $\mathfrak{p}_i \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}_j$ for every $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $i \neq j$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\} \subseteq \text{Supp}(M)$;
- (2) $\mathfrak{p}_i \in \text{Supp}(M/\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n M)$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$;
- (3) \mathfrak{p}_i is minimal in $\text{Ass}(M/\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n M)$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$;
- (4) There exists a submodule N in M with $\mathcal{P}_M(N) = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Suppose $\mathfrak{p}_i \notin \text{Supp}(M/\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n M)$ for some i . Then we have $(M/\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n M)_{\mathfrak{p}_i} = 0$. So we get $M_{\mathfrak{p}_i} = (\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n)M_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$. Since $(\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n)_{\mathfrak{p}_i} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_i R_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$, by Nakayama's lemma $M_{\mathfrak{p}_i} = 0$. Therefore $\mathfrak{p}_i \notin \text{Supp}(M)$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): If $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Supp}(M/\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n M)$, then $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$, and therefore \mathfrak{q} contains some \mathfrak{p}_i . So the set of minimal elements of $\text{Supp}(M/\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n M)$ is contained in $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}$. Since $\mathfrak{p}_i \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}_j$ for all $i \neq j$, $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$ are minimal elements in $\text{Supp}(M/\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n M)$. Therefore $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$ are minimal in $\text{Ass}(M/\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n M)$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv): Since $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$ are minimal in $\text{Ass}(M/\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n M)$, by Lemma 2.1, there exists a submodule N of M with $\mathcal{P}_M(N) = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n$.

(iv) \Rightarrow (i): Since $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$ are the prime ideals which occur in an RPE filtration of M over N , $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\} = \text{Ass}(M/N) \subseteq \text{Supp}(M)$. \square

Corollary 2.3. Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal in R . Then $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(M)$ if and only if there exists a submodule N in M with $\mathcal{P}_M(N) = \mathfrak{p}$.

In Proposition 2.2, the prime ideals are distinct. Now we find conditions for the product of prime ideals that need not be distinct to be a generalized prime ideal factorization of some submodule.

Proposition 2.4. Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal in R and r be a positive integer. If $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(\mathfrak{p}^{r-1}M/\mathfrak{p}^r M)$, then there exists a submodule N in M such that $\mathcal{P}_M(N) = \mathfrak{p}^r$.

Proof. Let $N = \{x \in M \mid (\mathfrak{p}^r M : x) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}$. Let $x_1, x_2 \in N$ and $u \in R$. Then there exists $a_1 \in (\mathfrak{p}^r M : x_1) \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ and $a_2 \in (\mathfrak{p}^r M : x_2) \setminus \mathfrak{p}$. Then $a_1 a_2 \in (\mathfrak{p}^r M : ux_1 + x_2) \setminus \mathfrak{p}$, which implies that $ux_1 + x_2 \in N$. Hence N is a submodule of M . Since $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(\mathfrak{p}^{r-1}M/\mathfrak{p}^r M)$, there exists $x \in \mathfrak{p}^{r-1}M$ such that $\mathfrak{p} = (\mathfrak{p}^r M : x)$. This implies $x \notin N$. Therefore N is a proper submodule of M . Also, $N \supseteq \mathfrak{p}^r M$.

We claim that $\text{Ass}(M/N) = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$. Let $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Ass}(M/N)$. Then $\mathfrak{p}^r \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$ since $\mathfrak{p}^r M \subseteq N$. Therefore $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$. Now $\mathfrak{q} = (N : z)$ for some $z \in M$, $z \notin N$, that is, $(\mathfrak{p}^r M : z) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. Let $a \in \mathfrak{q}$. Then $az \in N$, which gives $(\mathfrak{p}^r M : az) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. Let $b \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ such that $baz \in \mathfrak{p}^r M$, i.e., $ba \in (\mathfrak{p}^r M : z) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. This implies $a \in \mathfrak{p}$. Therefore $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. Hence $\text{Ass}(M/N) = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$.

If $N = M_0 \overset{\mathfrak{p}_1}{\subset} M_1 \subset \cdots \subset M_{k-1} \overset{\mathfrak{p}_k}{\subset} M_k = M$ is an RPE filtration of M over N , then $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_k\} = \text{Ass}(M/N) = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$. So $\mathcal{P}_M(N) = \mathfrak{p}^k$. Suppose $k < r$. Then $\mathfrak{p}^{r-1} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}^k$, which implies $\mathfrak{p}^{r-1}M \subseteq \mathfrak{p}^kM \subseteq N$. So, for every $x \in \mathfrak{p}^{r-1}M$, $(\mathfrak{p}^rM : x) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. But $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(\mathfrak{p}^{r-1}M/\mathfrak{p}^rM)$ implies $\mathfrak{p} = (\mathfrak{p}^rM : x)$ for some $x \in \mathfrak{p}^{r-1}M$, a contradiction. Therefore, $k \geq r$, and this implies $M_r \subseteq M_k = M$. By Lemma 1.3, $M_r = \{x \in M \mid \mathfrak{p}^r x \subseteq N\}$. For any $x \in M$, $\mathfrak{p}^r x \subseteq \mathfrak{p}^r M \subseteq N$. Therefore $M_r = M$. So, $N \overset{\mathfrak{p}}{\subset} M_1 \overset{\mathfrak{p}}{\subset} \cdots \overset{\mathfrak{p}}{\subset} M_r = M$ is an RPE filtration of M over N , and hence $\mathcal{P}_M(N) = \mathfrak{p}^r$. \square

In Example 1.4, $\mathfrak{p} \notin \text{Ass}(\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}^2) = \{(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})\}$. So \mathfrak{p} need not be an element of $\text{Ass}(\mathfrak{p}^{r-1}M/\mathfrak{p}^rM)$ even if $\mathfrak{p}^rM \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}^{r-1}M$.

Theorem 2.5. Let M be an R -module, $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$ be distinct prime ideals in R ordered as $\mathfrak{p}_i \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}_j$ for $i < j$, and r_1, \dots, r_n be positive integers. If $\mathfrak{p}_i \in \text{Supp}(\mathfrak{p}_i^{r_i-1}\mathfrak{p}_{i+1}^{r_{i+1}} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n^{r_n}M)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, then there exists a submodule N in M such that $\mathcal{P}_M(N) = \mathfrak{p}_1^{r_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n^{r_n}$.

Proof. We prove by induction on n . If $n = 1$, $\mathfrak{p}_1 \in \text{Supp}(\mathfrak{p}_1^{r_1-1}M)$ and by Proposition 2.2, $\mathfrak{p}_1 \in \text{Ass}(\mathfrak{p}_1^{r_1-1}M/\mathfrak{p}_1^{r_1}M)$. Then by Proposition 2.4, there exists a submodule N in M with $\mathcal{P}_M(N) = \mathfrak{p}_1^{r_1}$. Now let $n > 1$, and assume that the result is true for $n - 1$ prime ideals. Then there exists a submodule L in M with $\mathcal{P}_M(L) = \mathfrak{p}_2^{r_2} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n^{r_n}$. That is, we have an RPE filtration

$$L \overset{\mathfrak{p}_2}{\subset} L_1^{(2)} \overset{\mathfrak{p}_2}{\subset} \cdots \overset{\mathfrak{p}_2}{\subset} L_{r_2}^{(2)} \overset{\mathfrak{p}_3}{\subset} L_1^{(3)} \overset{\mathfrak{p}_3}{\subset} \cdots \subset L_{r_{n-1}}^{(n)} \overset{\mathfrak{p}_n}{\subset} L_{r_n}^{(n)} = M. \quad (2.1)$$

Then $\mathfrak{p}_2^{r_2} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n^{r_n}M \subseteq L$.

So, we have $\text{Ann}(\mathfrak{p}_1^{r_1-1}L) \subseteq \text{Ann}(\mathfrak{p}_1^{r_1-1}\mathfrak{p}_2^{r_2} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n^{r_n}M) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_1$ since $\mathfrak{p}_1 \in \text{Supp}(\mathfrak{p}_1^{r_1-1}\mathfrak{p}_2^{r_2} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n^{r_n}M)$. That is, $\mathfrak{p}_1 \in \text{Supp}(\mathfrak{p}_1^{r_1-1}L)$, and by Proposition 2.2, $\mathfrak{p}_1 \in \text{Ass}(\mathfrak{p}_1^{r_1-1}L/\mathfrak{p}_1^{r_1}L)$. Then by Proposition 2.4, there exists a submodule N in L such that $\mathcal{P}_L(N) = \mathfrak{p}_1^{r_1}$. That is, we have the RPE filtration

$$N \overset{\mathfrak{p}_1}{\subset} L_1^{(1)} \overset{\mathfrak{p}_1}{\subset} L_2^{(1)} \subset \cdots \overset{\mathfrak{p}_1}{\subset} L_{r_1}^{(1)} = L. \quad (2.2)$$

Next, we show that

$$\begin{aligned} N &= L_0^{(1)} \overset{\mathfrak{p}_1}{\subset} L_1^{(1)} \overset{\mathfrak{p}_1}{\subset} L_2^{(1)} \subset \cdots \overset{\mathfrak{p}_1}{\subset} L_{r_1}^{(1)} = L \overset{\mathfrak{p}_2}{\subset} L_1^{(2)} \overset{\mathfrak{p}_2}{\subset} \cdots \\ &\quad \overset{\mathfrak{p}_2}{\subset} L_{r_2}^{(2)} \overset{\mathfrak{p}_3}{\subset} L_1^{(3)} \subset \cdots \overset{\mathfrak{p}_{n-1}}{\subset} L_{r_{n-1}}^{(n-1)} \overset{\mathfrak{p}_n}{\subset} L_1^{(n)} \overset{\mathfrak{p}_n}{\subset} \cdots \overset{\mathfrak{p}_n}{\subset} L_{r_n}^{(n)} = M \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

is an RPE filtration of M over N , which would imply that $\mathcal{P}_M(N) = \mathfrak{p}_1^{r_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n^{r_n}$. Since the filtration (2.1) is already an RPE filtration, it is enough to show that $L_{j-1}^{(1)} \overset{\mathfrak{p}_1}{\subset} L_j^{(1)}$ is a regular prime extension in M for $1 \leq j \leq r_1$.

From (2.2) we have that $L_{j-1}^{(1)} \subset L_j^{(1)}$ is a \mathfrak{p}_1 -prime extension for every $1 \leq j \leq r_1$. Suppose $L_{j-1}^{(1)} \stackrel{\mathfrak{p}_1}{\subsetneq} L_j^{(1)}$ is not a maximal \mathfrak{p}_1 -prime extension in M for some j . Then there exists a submodule $K \supset L_j^{(1)}$ such that $L_{j-1}^{(1)} \stackrel{\mathfrak{p}_1}{\subsetneq} K$ is a \mathfrak{p}_1 -prime extension in M . Since $L_{j-1}^{(1)} \stackrel{\mathfrak{p}_1}{\subsetneq} L_j^{(1)}$ is a maximal \mathfrak{p}_1 -prime extension in L , $K \not\subseteq L$. Let $x \in K \setminus L$. For $2 \leq i \leq n$, since $\mathfrak{p}_1 \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}_i$, there exists $p_i \in \mathfrak{p}_1 \setminus \mathfrak{p}_i$. Then $p_i x \in L_{j-1}^{(1)}$. Since $L_{j-1}^{(1)} \subset L$, from (2.1) we get that $p_i x \in L_k^{(i)}$ for every $2 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq k \leq r_i$.

Since $p_n x \in L_{r_{n-1}}^{(n)}$, $L_{r_{n-1}}^{(n)} \subset M$ is a \mathfrak{p}_n -prime extension, and $p_n \notin \mathfrak{p}_n$, we have $x \in L_{r_{n-1}}^{(n)}$. Then $p_n x \in L_{r_{n-2}}^{(n)}$ and $L_{r_{n-2}}^{(n)} \stackrel{\mathfrak{p}_n}{\subsetneq} L_{r_{n-1}}^{(n)}$ is a prime extension implies $x \in L_{r_{n-2}}^{(n)}$. Repeating this argument $r_n - 3$ times, we get $x \in L_{r_{n-1}}^{(n-1)}$.

Replacing M by $L_{r_{n-1}}^{(n-1)}$ and p_n by p_{n-1} in the previous paragraph, we get $x \in L_{r_{n-2}}^{(n-2)}$. Continuing this process, finally we get $x \in L_{r_1}^{(1)} = L$, a contradiction. Therefore, $L_{j-1}^{(1)} \stackrel{\mathfrak{p}_1}{\subsetneq} L_j^{(1)}$ is a maximal prime extension in M for every $1 \leq j \leq r_1$, and hence (2.3) is an MPE filtration of M over N .

So, for $1 \leq j \leq r_1$, we get $\text{Ass}(M/L_{j-1}^{(1)}) = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}$ and since $\mathfrak{p}_1 \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}_i$ for every $i > 1$, \mathfrak{p}_1 is maximal in $\text{Ass}(M/L_{j-1}^{(1)})$. Therefore (2.3) is an RPE filtration of M over N . Hence $\mathcal{P}_M(N) = \mathfrak{p}_1^{r_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n^{r_n}$. \square

The converse of Theorem 2.5 does not hold. For example, if $\mathfrak{p}_2 \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_1$ are prime ideals in a ring R and M is the R -module $\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}_2} \oplus \frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}_2}$, then for its submodule $N = \frac{\mathfrak{p}_1}{\mathfrak{p}_2} \oplus 0$, we have the RPE filtration

$$N = \frac{\mathfrak{p}_1}{\mathfrak{p}_2} \oplus 0 \stackrel{\mathfrak{p}_1}{\subsetneq} \frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}_2} \oplus 0 \stackrel{\mathfrak{p}_2}{\subsetneq} \frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}_2} \oplus \frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}_2} = M$$

of M over N . So we have $\mathcal{P}_M(N) = \mathfrak{p}_1 \mathfrak{p}_2$. But $\mathfrak{p}_2 M = 0$. Therefore $\mathfrak{p}_1 \notin \text{Supp}(\mathfrak{p}_2 M)$.

Next, we show that if we assume further that $\mathfrak{p}_i \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}_j$ for $i \neq j$, the converse of Theorem 2.5 holds. We need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.6. [2, Lemma 2.8] If $N \stackrel{\mathfrak{p}}{\subsetneq} K$ is a regular \mathfrak{p} -prime extension in M , then for any submodule L of M , $N \cap L \stackrel{\mathfrak{p}}{\subsetneq} K \cap L$ is a regular \mathfrak{p} -prime extension in L when $N \cap L \neq K \cap L$.

Theorem 2.7. Let N be a submodule of M with $\mathcal{P}_M(N) = \mathfrak{p}_1^{r_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n^{r_n}$, where $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$ are distinct prime ideals in R and r_1, \dots, r_n are positive integers. If all the prime ideals in $\text{Ass}(M/N)$ are minimal, then we have $\mathfrak{p}_i \in \text{Supp}(\mathfrak{p}_1^{r_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_{i-1}^{r_{i-1}} \mathfrak{p}_i^{r_i-1} \mathfrak{p}_{i+1}^{r_{i+1}} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n^{r_n} M)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$ are minimal, for every i we can reorder $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$ such that $\mathfrak{p}_1 = \mathfrak{p}_i$ and by Lemma 1.1, we have an RPE filtration

$$N \subsetneq L_1^{(1)} \subsetneq L_2^{(1)} \subset \dots \subset L_{r_1-1}^{(1)} \subsetneq L_{r_1}^{(1)} \subsetneq L_1^{(2)} \subset \dots \subsetneq L_{r_n}^{(n)} = M$$

of M over N . So it is enough to show that $\mathfrak{p}_1 \in \text{Supp}(\mathfrak{p}_1^{r_1-1} \mathfrak{p}_2^{r_2} \dots \mathfrak{p}_n^{r_n} M)$. Clearly $\mathfrak{p}_1^{r_1-1} \mathfrak{p}_2^{r_2} \dots \mathfrak{p}_n^{r_n} M \subseteq N$ and $\mathfrak{p}_1^{r_1-1} \mathfrak{p}_2^{r_2} \dots \mathfrak{p}_n^{r_n} M \subseteq L_1^{(1)}$.

We claim that $\mathfrak{p}_1^{r_1-1} \mathfrak{p}_2^{r_2} \dots \mathfrak{p}_n^{r_n} M \not\subseteq N$. Let $x \in L_{r_1}^{(1)} \setminus L_{r_1-1}^{(1)}$. Then $\mathfrak{p}_1^{r_1-1} x \subseteq L_1^{(1)}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_1^{r_1-1} x \not\subseteq N$. So there exists $b \in \mathfrak{p}_1^{r_1-1}$ such that $bx \in L_1^{(1)} \setminus N$. Choose $a_j \in \mathfrak{p}_j \setminus \mathfrak{p}_1$ for every $2 \leq j \leq n$ and let $a = \prod_{2 \leq j \leq n} a_j^{r_j}$. Then $ba x \in \mathfrak{p}_1^{r_1-1} \mathfrak{p}_2^{r_2} \dots \mathfrak{p}_n^{r_n} M$. Suppose $ba x \in N$. Then, since $bx \in L_1^{(1)} \setminus N$ and $N \subsetneq L_1^{(1)}$ is a \mathfrak{p}_1 -prime extension, we get $a \in \mathfrak{p}_1$, a contradiction. So $ba x \notin N$. Therefore $\mathfrak{p}_1^{r_1-1} \mathfrak{p}_2^{r_2} \dots \mathfrak{p}_n^{r_n} M \not\subseteq N$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} N \cap (\mathfrak{p}_1^{r_1-1} \mathfrak{p}_2^{r_2} \dots \mathfrak{p}_n^{r_n} M) &\subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_1^{r_1-1} \mathfrak{p}_2^{r_2} \dots \mathfrak{p}_n^{r_n} M \\ &= L_1^{(1)} \cap (\mathfrak{p}_1^{r_1-1} \mathfrak{p}_2^{r_2} \dots \mathfrak{p}_n^{r_n} M). \end{aligned}$$

Then by Lemma 2.6,

$$N \cap (\mathfrak{p}_1^{r_1-1} \mathfrak{p}_2^{r_2} \dots \mathfrak{p}_n^{r_n} M) \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_1^{r_1-1} \mathfrak{p}_2^{r_2} \dots \mathfrak{p}_n^{r_n} M$$

is a regular \mathfrak{p}_1 -prime extension in $\mathfrak{p}_1^{r_1-1} \mathfrak{p}_2^{r_2} \dots \mathfrak{p}_n^{r_n} M$. Then by Corollary 2.3, $\mathfrak{p}_1 \in \text{Supp}(\mathfrak{p}_1^{r_1-1} \mathfrak{p}_2^{r_2} \dots \mathfrak{p}_n^{r_n} M)$. \square

From Theorems 2.5 and 2.7, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 2.8. Let $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$ be distinct prime ideals in R with $\mathfrak{p}_i \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}_j$ for $i \neq j$, and r_1, \dots, r_n be positive integers. Then $\mathfrak{p}_1^{r_1} \dots \mathfrak{p}_n^{r_n}$ is the generalized prime ideal factorization of some submodule of M if and only if $\mathfrak{p}_i \in \text{Supp}(\mathfrak{p}_1^{r_1} \dots \mathfrak{p}_{i-1}^{r_{i-1}} \mathfrak{p}_i^{r_i-1} \mathfrak{p}_{i+1}^{r_{i+1}} \dots \mathfrak{p}_n^{r_n} M)$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$.

In [5] we have found conditions for $\mathcal{P}_M(\mathfrak{p}_1 \dots \mathfrak{p}_n M) = \mathfrak{p}_1 \dots \mathfrak{p}_n$ [5, Theorem 2.14] and showed that this need not always be true [5, Example 2.5]. Now for an R -module M and a product of prime ideals $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}_1 \dots \mathfrak{p}_n$ (\mathfrak{p}_i 's not necessarily distinct), we give a necessary and sufficient condition for $\mathcal{P}_M(\mathfrak{a}M) = \mathfrak{a}$.

Theorem 2.9. Let M be an R -module and $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$ be prime ideals in R , not necessarily distinct, with \mathfrak{p}_i maximal among $\{\mathfrak{p}_i, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}_1 \dots \mathfrak{p}_n$, $\mathfrak{a}_0 = R$, and $\mathfrak{a}_i = \mathfrak{p}_1 \dots \mathfrak{p}_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. Then $\mathcal{P}_M(\mathfrak{a}M) = \mathfrak{a}$ if and only if $\text{Ass}\left(\frac{(\mathfrak{a}M:\mathfrak{a}_i)}{(\mathfrak{a}M:\mathfrak{a}_{i-1})}\right) = \{\mathfrak{p}_i\}$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof. If $\text{Ass}\left(\frac{(\mathfrak{a}M:\mathfrak{a}_i)}{(\mathfrak{a}M:\mathfrak{a}_{i-1})}\right) = \{\mathfrak{p}_i\}$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$, we show that

$$\mathfrak{a}M \subsetneq (\mathfrak{a}M : \mathfrak{a}_1) \subsetneq (\mathfrak{a}M : \mathfrak{a}_2) \subset \dots \subset (\mathfrak{a}M : \mathfrak{a}_{n-1}) \subsetneq (\mathfrak{a}M : \mathfrak{a}) = M \quad (2.4)$$

is an RPE filtration.

$\text{Ass}\left(\frac{(\mathfrak{a}M:\mathfrak{a}_i)}{(\mathfrak{a}M:\mathfrak{a}_{i-1})}\right) = \{\mathfrak{p}_i\}$ implies that there exists a regular \mathfrak{p}_i -prime extension K of $(\mathfrak{a}M:\mathfrak{a}_{i-1})$ in $(\mathfrak{a}M:\mathfrak{a}_i)$. Then $K = \{x \in (\mathfrak{a}M:\mathfrak{a}_i) \mid \mathfrak{p}_i x \subseteq (\mathfrak{a}M:\mathfrak{a}_{i-1})\}$ by Lemma 1.3. For every $x \in (\mathfrak{a}M:\mathfrak{a}_i)$, $\mathfrak{a}_{i-1}\mathfrak{p}_i x = \mathfrak{a}_i x \subseteq \mathfrak{a}M$, that is, $\mathfrak{p}_i x \subseteq (\mathfrak{a}M:\mathfrak{a}_{i-1})$. Therefore, $K = (\mathfrak{a}M:\mathfrak{a}_i)$, and hence $(\mathfrak{a}M:\mathfrak{a}_i)$ is the unique regular \mathfrak{p}_i -prime extension of $(\mathfrak{a}M:\mathfrak{a}_{i-1})$ in $(\mathfrak{a}M:\mathfrak{a}_i)$. Suppose it is not maximal in M . Then there exists $x \in M \setminus (\mathfrak{a}M:\mathfrak{a}_i)$ such that $\mathfrak{p}_i x \subseteq (\mathfrak{a}M:\mathfrak{a}_{i-1})$, i.e., $x \in (\mathfrak{a}M:\mathfrak{a}_{i-1}\mathfrak{p}_i) = (\mathfrak{a}M:\mathfrak{a}_i)$, a contradiction. So $(\mathfrak{a}M:\mathfrak{a}_i)$ is a maximal \mathfrak{p}_i -prime extension of $(\mathfrak{a}M:\mathfrak{a}_{i-1})$ in M for every i . Therefore (2.4) is an MPE filtration of M over $\mathfrak{a}M$. This implies that $\text{Ass}\left(\frac{M}{(\mathfrak{a}M:\mathfrak{a}_{i-1})}\right) = \{\mathfrak{p}_i, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since \mathfrak{p}_i is maximal among $\{\mathfrak{p}_i, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}$, \mathfrak{p}_i is maximal in $\text{Ass}\left(\frac{M}{(\mathfrak{a}M:\mathfrak{a}_{i-1})}\right)$. Therefore (2.4) is an RPE filtration. Hence $\mathcal{P}_M(\mathfrak{a}M) = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n = \mathfrak{a}$.

Conversely, suppose that $\mathcal{P}_M(\mathfrak{a}M) = \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n$. Since \mathfrak{p}_i is maximal among $\{\mathfrak{p}_i, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$, we can construct an RPE filtration

$$\mathfrak{a}M = M_0 \stackrel{\mathfrak{p}_1}{\subset} M_1 \stackrel{\mathfrak{p}_2}{\subset} M_2 \subset \cdots M_{n-1} \stackrel{\mathfrak{p}_n}{\subset} M_n = M$$

of M over $\mathfrak{a}M$. Then by Lemma 1.3, $M_i = \{x \in M \mid \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_i x \subseteq \mathfrak{a}M\}$, i.e., $M_i = (\mathfrak{a}M:\mathfrak{a}_i)$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then clearly $\text{Ass}\left(\frac{(\mathfrak{a}M:\mathfrak{a}_i)}{(\mathfrak{a}M:\mathfrak{a}_{i-1})}\right) = \text{Ass}\left(\frac{M_i}{M_{i-1}}\right) = \{\mathfrak{p}_i\}$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$. \square

References

- [1] T. Duraivel, S. Mangayarcassyy, and K. Premkumar, *Prime extension filtration of modules*, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math., 98(2) (2015), 211–220.
- [2] T. Duraivel, S. Mangayarcassyy, and K. Premkumar, *Prime extension dimension of a module*, J. Algebra Relat. Top., 6(2) (2018), 97–106.
- [3] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative algebra with a view toward algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, 1995.
- [4] H. Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1989.
- [5] K. R. Thulasi, T. Duraivel, and S. Mangayarcassyy, *Generalized prime ideal factorization of submodules*, J. Algebra Relat. Top., 9(2) (2021), 121–129.