Note di Matematica Note Mat. **37** (2017) no. 2, 77–89.

# On the nilpotent conjugacy class graph of groups

#### A. Mohammadian

Department of Pure Mathematics, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran abbasmohammadian1248@gmail.com

#### A. Erfanian

Department of Pure Mathematics and the Center of Excellence in Analysis on Algebraic Structures, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran erfanian@um.ac.ir

Received: 28.12.2016; accepted: 12.7.2017.

**Abstract.** The nilpotent conjugacy class graph (or NCC-graph) of a group G is a graph whose vertices are the nontrivial conjugacy classes of G such that two distinct vertices  $x^G$  and  $y^G$  are adjacent if  $\langle x', y' \rangle$  is nilpotent for some  $x' \in x^G$  and  $y' \in y^G$ . We discuss on the number of connected components as well as diameter of connected components of these graphs. Also, we consider the induced subgraph  $\Gamma_n(G)$  of the NCC-graph with vertices set  $\{g^G \mid g \in G \setminus \text{Nil}(G)\}$ , where  $\text{Nil}(G) = \{g \in G \mid \langle x, g \rangle \text{ is nilpotent for all } x \in G\}$ , and classify all finite non-nilpotent group G with empty and triangle-free NCC-graphs.

 $Keywords: {\it Triangle-free, \ conjugacy \ class, \ non-nilpotent \ group, \ graph}$ 

MSC 2000 classification: primary 05C25, secondary 20E45

### 1 introduction

Herzog, Longobardi and Maj [6] defined the commuting conjugacy class graph (or CCC-graph) of a group G as a graph whose vertex set is the set of nontrivial conjugacy classes of G such that two distinct vertices  $x^G$  and  $y^G$ are adjacent if  $\langle x', y' \rangle$  is abelian for some  $x' \in x^G$  and  $y' \in y^G$ . They have determined the connectivity of the CCC-graph of a group G and gave upper bounds for diameter of the corresponding connected components of the graph.

Likewise, we may define the *nilpotent conjugacy class graph* (or NCC-graph) of G, denoted by  $\Gamma(G)$ , as a simple undirected graph, in which the vertices of  $\Gamma(G)$  are the nontrivial conjugacy classes of G and two distinct vertices  $x^G$  and  $y^G$  are adjacent whenever there exist two elements  $x' \in x^G$  and  $y' \in y^G$  such that  $\langle x', y' \rangle$  is nilpotent. We shall obtain analogues to results in [6] for the case of NCC-graphs by describing the number of their connected components and diameter of each component for a finite or locally finite group. Also, in case of

http://siba-ese.unisalento.it/ © 2017 Università del Salento

finite solvable groups or supersolvable groups, we shall give structural theorems in the case where the corresponding NCC-graphs are disconnected.

In what follows, we set the following notation. An edge between two distinct vertices x and y is denoted by  $x \leftrightarrow y$ , while by  $x \Leftrightarrow y$  we mean either x = y or  $x \leftrightarrow y$ . Also, a path between two vertices x and y is denoted by  $x \leftrightarrow y$ . The distance between two vertices x and y of a graph is denoted by d(x, y). Recall that the diameter of a graph is the maximum distance between its vertices. For a given group G,  $\pi(G)$  and  $\omega(G)$  stand for the set of prime divisors of |G| and the set of element orders of G, respectively. A graph  $\Gamma$  is called empty graph if and only if  $\Gamma$  has no edges. The commuting graph of a group G, denoted by  $\Delta(G)$ , is the graph whose vertex set is  $G \setminus Z(G)$ , Z(G) is the center of G, and two distinct vertices are adjacent if they commute. Also, the prime graph  $\Pi(G)$ is the graph whose vertex set is  $\pi(G)$  and two distinct vertices  $p, q \in \pi(G)$  are adjacent if  $pq \in \omega(G)$ . As an analogue to the center of the group, we may define the following set

$$Nil(G) = \{g \in G \mid \langle x, g \rangle \text{ is nilpotent for all } x \in G \}$$

for any group G. It is evident that the vertices in Nil(G) are adjacent to all other vertices of the NCC-graph  $\Gamma(G)$  of G.

In what follows, the *i*th small group of order n, in SmallGroup library of GAP [3], is denoted by SG(n, i).

## **2** On the connectivity of $\Gamma(G)$

To prove our main results, we need to evaluate the distance between distinct vertices of the NCC-graph of a group, which we assume to be locally finite.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let G be a locally finite group and p be a prime number. Then the following statements hold:

- (i) If  $x, y \in G \setminus \{1\}$  are *p*-elements, then  $d(x, y) \leq 1$ .
- (ii) If  $x, y \in G \setminus \{1\}$  are of non-coprime orders, then  $d(x, y) \leq 3$ . Moreover  $d(x, y) \leq 2$ , whenever either x or y is of prime power order.

*Proof.* (i) Let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of the finite group  $\langle x, y \rangle$ , which contains x. Then  $y^g \in P$  for some  $g \in G$  and so  $\langle x, y^g \rangle$  is nilpotent. This implies that  $d(x, y) \leq 1$ .

(ii) Assume |x| = pm and |y| = pn for some prime p and  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, by part (i),  $d(x^m, y^n) \leq 1$ . Since  $d(x, x^m) \leq 1$  and  $d(y, y^n) \leq 1$ , we obtain  $d(x, y) \leq 3$ .

Finally, if |x| is a power of p, then part (i) implies  $d(x, y^m) \leq 1$  and so  $d(x, y) \leq 2$ , as required.

Nilpotent conjugacy class graph

**Lemma 2.2.** Let G be a locally finite group and  $x, y \in G$ . Suppose p and q are prime divisors of |x| and |y|, respectively, and that G has an element of order pq. Then

- (i)  $d(x, y) \leq 5$ , and moreover  $d(x, y) \leq 4$  if either x or y is of prime power order;
- (ii) If either a Sylow *p*-subgroup or a Sylow *q*-subgroup of *G* is a cyclic or generalized quaternion finite group, then  $d(x, y) \leq 4$ . Moreover,  $d(x, y) \leq 3$  if either *x* or *y* is of prime order.
- (iii) If both a Sylow *p*-subgroup and a Sylow *q*-subgroup of *G* are either cyclic or generalized quaternion finite groups, then  $d(x, y) \leq 3$ . Moreover,  $d(x, y) \leq 2$  if either *x* or *y* is of prime order.

*Proof.* Let  $H = \langle x, y, z \rangle$  and assume |x| = pm and |y| = pn for some  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $z = z_p z_q \in G$  be such that  $|z_p| = p$ ,  $|z_q| = q$  and  $z_p z_q = z_q z_p$ . By assumption such an element z exists.

(i) From Lemma 2.2(i), we observe that the following path exists between two vertices  $x^G$  and  $y^G$ :

$$x \Leftrightarrow x^m \Leftrightarrow z_p \leftrightarrow z_q \Leftrightarrow y^m \Leftrightarrow y.$$

Therefore  $d(x, y) \leq 5$ .

(ii) Assume that a Sylow *p*-subgroup of *G* is either a cyclic group or a generalized quaternion finite group. By [10, Theorem 14.3.4], all Sylow *p*-subgroups of *G* are conjugate and some conjugate  $z_p^a$  of  $z_p$  satisfies  $\langle z_p^a \rangle = \langle x^m \rangle$ . Hence  $d(x, z_p) = d(x, z_p^a) \leq 1$  and we get following path between vertices  $x^G$  and  $y^G$ :

$$x \Leftrightarrow z_p \leftrightarrow z_q \Leftrightarrow y^n \Leftrightarrow y.$$

Thus  $d(x, y) \leq 4$ .

(iii) Suppose that both a Sylow *p*-subgroup and a Sylow *q*-subgroup of *G* are cyclic or generalized quaternion finite group. As in part (ii) all Sylow *p*-subgroups (resp. *q*-subgroup) of *G* are conjugate in *G*. Therefore  $\langle z_p^a \rangle = \langle x^m \rangle$  and  $\langle z_q^b \rangle = \langle y^n \rangle$  for some  $a, b \in G$ . Thus  $d(x, z_p) = d(x, z_p^a) \leq 1$  and  $d(z_q, y) = d(z_q^b, y) \leq 1$  yielding  $d(x, y) \leq 3$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** Let G = HK be a finite group with a normal subgroup Hand a subgroup K such that  $\Gamma(H)$  and  $\Gamma(K)$  are connected. If there exist two elements  $h \in H \setminus \{1\}$  and  $x \in G \setminus H$  such that  $h^G$  and  $x^G$  are connected in  $\Gamma(G)$ , then  $\Gamma(G)$  is connected. Proof. Evidently gcd(|x|, |K|) > 1 so that there exists  $k \in K$  such that  $k^G$  and  $x^G$  are connected via a path in  $\Gamma(G)$  by Lemma 2.1(ii). Let  $a^G$  and  $b^G$  be distinct vertices of  $\Gamma(G)$ . If  $a, b \in H$ , then  $a^G$  and  $b^G$  are connected via a path in  $\Gamma(G)$  as  $\Gamma(H)$  is connected and  $H \subseteq G$ . If  $a, b \notin H$ , then (|a|, |K|) > 1 and (|b|, |K|) > 1, hence there exist  $k', k'' \in K \setminus \{1\}$  such that we have the following path in the graph  $\Gamma(G)$ :

$$a^G \longleftrightarrow k'^G \longleftrightarrow k''^G \longleftrightarrow b^G.$$

Therefore  $a^G$  and  $b^G$  are connected via a path in  $\Gamma(G)$ .

Finally, assume that  $a \notin H$  and  $b \in H$ . Then  $a^{G}$  and  $k'^{G}$  are connected for some  $k' \in K$  and we have a path

$$a^G \longleftrightarrow k'^G \longleftrightarrow k^G \longleftrightarrow x^G \longleftrightarrow h^G \longleftrightarrow b^G,$$

as required.

**Theorem 2.4.** Let G be a finite group and  $C_{\pi} = \{x^G \mid x \text{ is a } \pi\text{-element}\}$  for every set  $\pi$  of primes. The the map  $\pi \mapsto C_{\pi}$  defines a one-to-one correspondence between connected components of the graphs  $\Pi(G)$  and  $\Gamma(G)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in G$ , and p and q  $(p \neq q)$  be prime divisors of |x| and |y|, respectively. If  $\{p, q\}$  is an edge in  $\Pi(G)$ , then G contains an element of order pq, from which it follows that  $x^G$  and  $y^G$  are connected via a path in  $\Gamma(G)$  (see Lemma 2.2).

Conversely, assume that  $\{x^G, y^G\}$  is an edge in  $\Gamma(G)$ . Then there exist elements  $a \in x^G$  and  $b \in y^G$  such that  $\langle a, b \rangle$  is nilpotent. Hence G has an element of order pq so that  $\{p,q\}$  is an edge in  $\Pi(G)$ , as required.

**Theorem 2.5** ([5, Proposition 1]). Let G be a finite solvable group and p, q, r be distinct prime divisors of |G|. Then  $\omega(G) \cap \{pq, pr, qr\} \neq \emptyset$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** Let G be a finite solvable group. Then  $\Gamma(G)$  has at most two connected components whose diameters are at most 7.

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.5,  $\Pi(G)$  has at most two connected components and so Theorem 2.4 implies that  $\Gamma(G)$  has at most two connected components too.

Suppose  $x, y \in G \setminus \{1\}$ , and  $x^G$  and  $y^G$  are connected via a path in  $\Gamma(G)$ . We show that  $d(x, y) \leq 7$ . Suppose on the contrary that  $d(x, y) = n \geq 8$ , and let

$$x^G \leftrightarrow x_1^G \leftrightarrow x_2^G \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow x_{n-1}^G \leftrightarrow y^G$$

be a shortest path between  $x^G$  and  $y^G$ . Without loss of generality, by substituting each  $x_i$  with a suitable power, we may assume that  $|x_i|$  are primes for all

QED

 $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . Since  $d(x, y) \geq 8$ , it follows from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 that there exist two distinct primes p and q, such that p||x| and q||y|, and there exists no element of order pq in G.

Let H be a Hall  $\{p, q\}$ -subgroup of G, and let N be a minimal normal subgroup of H. Then N is an elementary abelian p-group on which the Sylow q-subgroups of H act fixed-point-freely. Then every Sylow q-subgroup of H, and hence of G, is either cyclic or generalized quaternion.

Since  $d(x, x_5) = 5$  and  $|x_5| = r$ , where r is a prime, it follows by Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 that  $r \neq p$  and G has no elements of order pr. Hence G has an element of order qr by Theorem 2.5. Let K be a Hall  $\{p, q, r\}$ -subgroup of G and M be a minimal normal subgroup of K. If p divides |M|, then the Sylow r-subgroups of K act fixed-point-freely on M, which implies that the Sylow r-subgroups of K are either cyclic or generalized quaternion. Hence both a Sylow q-subgroup and a Sylow r-subgroup of G are cyclic or generalized quaternion, and  $d(x_5, y) \leq 2$ by Lemma 2.2. Therefore,

$$d(x, y) = d(x, x_5) + d(x_5, y) \le 5 + 2 = 7,$$

which is a contradiction. If p does not divide |M|, then the Sylow p-subgroups of K act fixed-point-freely on M. Thus the Sylow p-subgroups of G are either cyclic or generalized quaternion. Let  $|x_4| = s$  (s being a prime). Since  $d(x, x_4) = 4$ , it follows by Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 that  $s \neq p$  and G has no elements of order ps. Hence, by Theorem 2.5, G has an element of order sq and  $d(x_4, y) \leq 3$ , by Lemma 2.2. Thus

$$d(x, y) = d(x, x_4) + d(x_4, y) \le 4 + 3 = 7,$$

which contradicts out assumption. Hence  $d(x, y) \leq 7$ , as required.

**Theorem 2.7.** Let G be a periodic solvable group. Then  $\Gamma(G)$  has at most two connected components whose diameters are at most 7.

*Proof.* Suppose  $\Gamma(G)$  is disconnected and the vertices  $x^G$  and  $y^G$  of  $\Gamma(G)$  belong to different connected components of  $\Gamma(G)$ . We claim that for any vertex  $g^G$  of  $\Gamma(G)$ ,  $g^G$  is connected to  $x^G$  or  $y^G$  via a path in  $\Gamma(G)$ .

Let  $H = \langle x, y, g \rangle$ . Since G is locally finite, H is a finite solvable group and  $x^H$ ,  $y^H$  belong to distinct connected components of  $\Gamma(H)$ . Hence, by Theorem 2.6,  $g^H$  is connected to  $x^H$  or  $y^H$  via a path in  $\Gamma(H)$ , from which our claim follows. Accordingly,  $\Gamma(G)$  has two connected components.

Now assume that G is any periodic solvable group. Let  $x^G$  and  $y^G$  be two vertices of  $\Gamma(G)$  with  $d(x, y) = n < \infty$ , and let

$$x^G \leftrightarrow x_1^G \leftrightarrow x_2^G \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow x_{n-1}^G \leftrightarrow y^G$$

be a shortest path between  $x^G$  and  $y^G$ . By the definition of  $\Gamma(G)$ , we may assume that there exist elements  $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n, h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_n \in G$  such that  $\langle x^{g_1}, x_1^{h_1} \rangle$ ,  $\langle x_i^{g_{i+1}}, x_{i+1}^{h_{i+1}} \rangle$   $(i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n-2\})$ , and  $\langle x_{n-1}^{g_n}, y^{h_n} \rangle$  are nilpotent subgroups of G.

Let  $H = \langle x, y, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n, h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n \rangle$ . Then H is a finite solvable group and d(x, y) = n in  $\Gamma(H)$  as n was minimal. Therefore,  $n \leq 7$  by Theorem 2.6 and the proof is complete.

**Theorem 2.8** (Theorem 1.1, [8]). Suppose that G is a finite group with trivial center. Then every connected component of the commuting graph of G has diameter at most 10. In particular, if the commuting graph of G is connected, then its diameter is at most 10

The next theorem describe the relationship between the commuting graph and the prime graph of G.

**Theorem 2.9** (Theorem 3.7, [8]). Suppose that G is a finite group with trivial center. Let  $\Delta(G)$  be the commuting graph of G and  $\Pi(G)$  be the prime graph of G. Let  $\Delta(G)/G$  be a set of representatives of G-orbits of connected components of  $\Delta(G)$ . Then the map  $C \mapsto \pi(C)$  is a bijection between  $\Delta(G)/G$  and the connected components of  $\Pi(G)$ . Furthermore,  $\Delta(G)$  is connected if and only if  $\Pi(G)$  is connected.

**Theorem 2.10.** Let G be a finite group. Then  $\Gamma(G)$  has at most six connected components whose diameters are at most 10.

*Proof.* Since G is a finite group, by the main Theorem of [12],  $\Pi(G)$  has at most six connected components and so Theorem 2.4 implies that  $\Gamma(G)$  has at most six connected components too.

If G has nontrivial center, then  $\Gamma(G)$  is connected with diameter at most 2. So, assume that Z(G) = 1 and C be a connected component of  $\Gamma(G)$ . Theorem 2.4 implies that C is a connected component of  $\Pi(G)$  and so, by Theorem 2.9, C is a connected component of  $\Delta(G)$ . Hence, by Theorem 2.8, the diameter of C is at most 10, as claimed.

**Theorem 2.11.** Let G be a locally finite group. Then  $\Gamma(G)$  has at most six connected components whose diameters are at most 10.

*Proof.* It is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.7. QED

# 3 Characterization of (supersolvable) solvable group with disconnected NCC-graph

In this section, we characterize (supersolvable) solvable groups with disconnected NCC-graph. To this end, we need the following lemma of Abdollahi and Zarrin [1].

**Lemma 3.1** (Lemma 3.7, [1]). Let G be a group and H be a nilpotent subgroup of G for which  $C_G(x) \leq H$  for every  $x \in H \setminus \{1\}$ . Then  $\operatorname{Nil}_G(x) = H$  for every  $x \in H \setminus \{1\}$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** Let G be a supersolvable group. Then the graph  $\Gamma(G)$  is disconnected if and only if one of the following holds:

- (i) If G is an infinite group, then  $G = H \rtimes \langle x \rangle$ , where  $x \in G$ , |x| = 2 and H is a subgroup of G on which x acts fixed-point-freely;
- (ii) If G is a finite group, then  $G = H \rtimes K$  is a Frobenius group with kernel H and a cyclic complement K.

Proof. Assume G is a supersolvable group of type (i) or (ii). If G is of type (i), then we claim that  $C_G(x^g) = \langle x^g \rangle$  for each  $g \in G \setminus \{1\}$ . To this end, let  $y \in C_G(x^g)$ . Then  $y^{g^{-1}} = hx$  for some  $h \in H$  and [hx, x] = 1. Hence, [h, x] = 1. But x acts fixed-point-freely on H, which implies that h = 1 and consequently  $y^{g^{-1}} = x$ , as claimed. Thus, by Lemma 3.1,  $\operatorname{Nil}_G(x^g) = \langle x^g \rangle$  for every  $g \in G \setminus \{1\}$ . Therefore  $x^G \leftrightarrow y^G$  if and only if  $x^G = y^G$  for any  $y \in G$ . It follows that  $x^G$  is an isolated vertex in  $\Gamma(G)$  and hence  $\Gamma(G)$  is disconnected. If G is of type (ii), then  $C_G(k) = K$  for each  $k \in K \setminus \{1\}$  and so by Lemma 3.1,  $\operatorname{Nil}_G(k) = K$  for every  $k \in K \setminus \{1\}$ . It implies that there is no path connecting  $h^G$  and  $k^G$  in  $\Gamma(G)$  for each  $h \in H \setminus \{1\}$  and  $k \in K \setminus \{1\}$ . Therefore  $\Gamma(G)$  is disconnected in this type too.

To prove the converse, assume G is a supersolvable group for which  $\Gamma(G)$  is disconnected. We have two cases:

(i) G is infinite. Utilizing [11, Theorem 7.2.11], G has a normal infinite cyclic subgroup C. Clearly,  $|G/C_G(C)| \leq 2$  and  $\Gamma(C_G(C))$  is connected. Since  $\Gamma(G)$  is disconnected, we must have  $|G/C_G(C)| = 2$ . Furthermore, if either  $g^2 \in C_G(C) \setminus \{1\}$  or g commutes with a nontrivial element of  $C_G(C)$  for every element  $g \in G \setminus C_G(C)$ , then  $\Gamma(G)$  is a connected graph, contradicting the assumption. Thus, there exists an element  $x \in G \setminus C_G(C)$  such that  $x^2 = 1$  and x acts fixed-point-freely on  $C_G(C)$ , as required.

(ii) G is finite. Then G possesses a normal cyclic subgroup C of prime order for which  $G/C_G(C)$  is a cyclic group. Hence there exists  $x \in G$  such that  $G = C_G(C)\langle x \rangle$ . Clearly,  $\Gamma(C_G(C))$  and  $\Gamma(\langle x \rangle)$  are connected so that Lemma 2.3 yields  $C_G(C) \cap \langle x \rangle = 1$  and  $\langle x \rangle$  acts fixed-point-freely on  $C_G(C)$ . The proof is complete.

Finite solvable groups with a disconnected prime graph were described by Gruenberg and Kegel in [4]. In the following Theorem, we characterize finite solvable groups G with a disconnected NCC-graph.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let G be a finite solvable group with a disconnected graph  $\Gamma(G)$ , and let N be a maximal normal subgroup of G such that the subgraph induced by vertices in N is connected in  $\Gamma(G)$ . Then  $G = N \rtimes N_G(K)$ , where K is a proper subgroup of G of prime order q, gcd(|N|,q) = 1 and K acts fixed-point-freely on N.

Proof. Let M/N be a minimal normal subgroup of G/N. Then M/N is an elementary abelian q-group for some prime q. Suppose gcd(|N|, q) = q. Then there exists an element  $a \in N$  of order q. If  $x \in M \setminus N$ , then q divide order of x and so  $x^G$  and  $a^G$  are connected in  $\Gamma(G)$  by Lemma 2.1. Thus, by the definition of N, if  $x, y \in M \setminus \{1\}$ , then  $x^G$  is connected to  $y^G$  in  $\Gamma(G)$ , contradicting the maximality of N. Thus gcd(|N|, q) = 1.

Since (|N|, q) = 1,  $M = N \rtimes K$ , where K is an elementary abelian qgroup. From the definition of N and Theorem 2.3, it follows that K acts fixedpoint-freely on N. Thus |K| = q and Frattini argument implies that,  $G = NN_G(K)$ . Since M is a Frobenius group with the kernel N and a complement  $K, N \cap N_G(K) = N_N(K) = 1$ . Thus  $G = N \rtimes N_G(K)$ , as required. QED

**Theorem 3.4.** Let G be a finite solvable group with a disconnected graph  $\Gamma(G)$ . Then there exists a nilpotent normal subgroup N of G such that one of the following holds:

- (i)  $G = N \rtimes H$  is a Frobenius group with the kernel N and a complement H;
- (ii)  $G = (N \rtimes L) \rtimes \langle x \rangle$ , where L is a nontrivial cyclic subgroup of G of odd order which acts fixed-point-freely on N,  $x \in N_G(L)$  is such that  $\langle x \rangle$  acts fixed-point-freely on L, and there exist  $a \in N \setminus \{1\}$  and  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x^i \neq 1$  and  $[a, x^i] = 1$ .

Conversely, if either (i) or (ii) holds, then  $\Gamma(G)$  is disconnected.

*Proof.* Let N be a maximal normal subgroup of G such that the subgraph induced by vertices in N is connected in  $\Gamma(G)$ . By Lemma 3.3,  $G = N \rtimes N_G(K)$ , where |K| = q for some prime q, gcd(|N|, q) = 1 and K acts fixed-point-freely on N.

First suppose that  $u^G$  and  $v^G$  are connected via a path in  $\Gamma(G)$  for all  $u, v \in N_G(K) \setminus \{1\}$ . Then, by Theorem 2.3 and the definition of  $H := N_G(K)$ ,

 $N_G(K)$  acts fixed-point-freely on N. Thus G is a Frobenius group with kernel N and complement H, hence (i) holds in this case.

Next suppose that there exist  $u, v \in N_G(K) \setminus \{1\}$  such that  $u^G$  and  $v^G$  are not connected in  $\Gamma(G)$ . Write  $L = C_G(K)$ . Since  $\Gamma(L)$  is connected, it follows that L is a proper subgroup of  $N_G(K)$  and since |K| = q,  $N_G(K) = L\langle x \rangle$ for some  $x \in N_G(K)$ . Hence L > 1,  $x \in N_G(L)$  and since L is connected, it follows by Theorem 2.3 that gcd(|L|, x) = 1 and  $\langle x \rangle$  acts fixed-point-freely on L. Thus  $N_G(K) = L \rtimes \langle x \rangle$  and L is nilpotent. As  $N \cap N_G(K) = 1$ , we obtain  $G = (N \rtimes L) \rtimes \langle x \rangle$ . Since NL is normal in G, the maximality of N implies, by Theorem 2.3, that L acts fixed-point-freely on N.

Assume L has even order and P is a Sylow 2-subgroup of L. As a characteristic subgroup of the nilpotent group L, we have  $P \leq N_G(L)$ . Suppose that  $y \in P$  is an involution. Then P is either a cyclic group or a generalized quaternion group, since NL is a Frobenius group with kernel N and complement L. Therefore  $y^x = y$ , which contradicts the fact that  $\langle x \rangle$  acts fixed-point-freely on L. So, L has odd order. Since L is nilpotent with no elementary subgroups of rank two, L is cyclic.

Finally, we show that there exist  $a \in N$  and  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x^i \neq 1$  and  $[a, x^i] = 1$ . Let  $y \in L \setminus \{1\}$ . Then Theorem 2.3 implies, by our assumptions, that  $y^G$  and  $x^G$  belong to different connected components of  $\Gamma(G)$ . Let  $b \in N \setminus \{1\}$ . By Theorem 2.6,  $b^G$  is connected to  $y^G$  or  $x^G$  via a path in  $\Gamma(G)$ . But, by Theorem 2.3 and the maximality of N, there is not path in  $\Gamma(G)$  connecting  $b^G$  and  $y^G$ , so  $b^G$  is connected to  $x^G$  via a path in  $\Gamma(G)$ . If  $\langle x \rangle$  acts fixed-point-freely on NL, then NL is nilpotent and so is connected, which contradicts the maximality of N. Hence there exist  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x^i \neq 1$  and  $a \in N$  such that  $ay \neq 1$  and  $[x^i, ay] = 1$ . It follows that if  $b \in N \setminus \{1\}$ , then we have  $b^G \leftrightarrow x^G \leftrightarrow (ay)^G$  and the maximality of N implies, in view of Theorem 2.3, that  $ay \in N \setminus \{1\}$ . Thus y = 1 and  $[x^i, a] = 1$ , as claimed. So (ii) holds in this case.

Conversely, assume that either (i) or (ii) holds. If (i) holds, then  $\{a^G \mid a \in N \setminus \{1\}\}$  and  $\{h^G \mid a \in H \setminus \{1\}\}$  are the two distinct connected components of  $\Gamma(G)$ .

Assume (ii) holds and let  $L = \langle y \rangle$ . First we show that  $C_G(y) = L$ . If  $azx^j \in C_G(y)$  with  $a \in N$  and  $z \in L$ , then

$$1 = [y, azx^{j}] = [y, x^{j}][y, az]^{x^{j}} = [y, x^{j}][y, z]^{x^{j}}[y, a]^{zx^{j}} = [y, x^{j}][y, a]^{zx^{j}}$$

But  $[y, x^j] \in L$ ,  $[y, a]^{zx^j} \in N$  and  $L \cap N = 1$ , so  $[y, x^j] = [y, a] = 1$ . Since  $y \neq 1$ , it follows from our assumptions that  $a = x^j = 1$  and  $azx^j = z \in L$ , as claimed.

Now, by Lemma 3.1,  $\operatorname{Nil}_G(y) = L$  and hence the set  $\{y^G \mid y \in L\}$  is a complete connected component of  $\Gamma(G)$ . Since  $x \notin y^G$  for each  $y \in L$ , it follows from Theorem 2.6 that  $\Gamma(G)$  has two connected components in the case too.

The proof is complete.

## 4 Induced subgraph of NCC-graph

In 2009 Herzog et al. considered the induced subgraph  $\Gamma_c(G)$  of CCC-graph with vertices set  $\{g^G \mid g \in G \setminus Z(G)\}$  and proved that if G is a periodic nonabelian group, then  $\Gamma_c(G)$  is an empty graph if and only if G is isomorphic to one of the following groups  $S_3$ ,  $D_8$  or  $Q_8$ . Recently, Mohammadian and et al. [7] classified all finite non-abelian groups G with triangle-free CCC-graphs. In this section, we consider the induced subgraph  $\Gamma_n(G)$  of NCC-graph with vertices set  $V(\Gamma(G)) \setminus \{g^G \mid g \in \operatorname{Nil}(G)\}$  and classify all finite non-nilpotent groups G with empty and triangle-free NCC-graphs. We begin the section with the following result which shows that we can use  $Z^*(G)$  for  $\operatorname{Nil}(G)$  when the group G is finite, where  $Z^*(G)$  is the hypercenter of finite group G. So, we can assume that vertices set of  $\Gamma_n(G)$  is the set  $V(\Gamma(G)) \setminus \{(z^*)^G \mid z^* \in Z^*(G)\}$ .

**Lemma 4.1** ([2, Lemma 3.1]). Let G be a finite group. Then the following assertions hold:

(i)  $\langle x, Z^*(G) \rangle$  is nilpotent for all  $x \in G$ ;

(ii) 
$$Z^*(G) = \operatorname{Nil}(G)$$
.

**Theorem 4.2** ([6, Theorem 19]). Let G be a periodic non-abelian group. Then  $\Gamma_c(G)$  is an empty graph if and only if G is isomorphic to one of the groups  $D_8$ ,  $Q_8$ , or  $S_3$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** Let G be a finite non-nilpotent group. Then  $\Gamma_n(G)$  is an empty graph if and only if  $G \cong S_3$ .

*Proof.* First we show that  $Z^*(G) = 1$ . To find a contradiction, let  $z^* \in Z^*(G)$  be a *p*-element. Let  $x \in G \setminus Z^*(G)$  be a *q*-element with  $q \neq p$ . Then  $\langle x, xz^* \rangle$  is nilpotent by Lemma 4.1, and since  $\Gamma_n(G)$  has no edges, we have  $xz^* = x^g$  for some  $g \in G$ . Thus  $|xz^*| = |x|$ , which is impossible. Therefore,  $Z^*(G) = 1$ .

Now  $Z(G) = Z^*(G) = 1$  and so  $\Gamma_c(G)$  is an induced subgraph of  $\Gamma_n(G)$ . Thus, Theorem 4.2 implies that  $G \cong S_3$ .

Now, we state two important Lemmas of T. A. Peng [9] which are useful in the proof of main Theorem of this section.

**Lemma 4.4** ([9, Lemma 2]). Let G be a finite group. A p-subgroup P of G lies in  $Z^*(G)$  if and only if  $[P, O^p(G)] = 1$ , where  $O^p(G)$  is a subgroup generated by all p'-elements of G.

QED

Nilpotent conjugacy class graph

**Lemma 4.5** ([9, Lemma 3]). Let G be a finite group. If a prime p divides  $|Z^*(G)|$ , then p divides |Z(G)|.

**Theorem 4.6** ([7, Theorem 2.3]). Let G be a finite non-abelian group of odd order. Then  $\Gamma_c(G)$  is triangle-free if and only if |G| = 21 or 27.

**Theorem 4.7** ([7, Section 3.2]). Let G be a finite non-abelian group of even order which is not a 2-group. Then  $\Gamma_c(G)$  is triangle-free if and only if G satisfies one of the following conditions:

- (1)  $Z(G) \neq 1$  and G is isomorphic to  $D_{12}$  or  $T_{12} := \langle a, b \mid a^4 = b^3 = 1, b^a = a^{-1} \rangle;$
- (2) G is a centerless group and
  - (i) If G is non-solvable, then G is isomorphic to one of the groups PSL(2,q)  $(q \in \{4,7,9\})$ , PSL(3,4), or SG(960,11357).
  - (ii) If G is solvable, then G is isomorphic to one of the groups  $S_3$ ,  $D_{10}$ ,  $A_4$ ,  $S_4$ , SG(72, 41), SG(192, 1023), or SG(192, 1025).

**Theorem 4.8.** Let G be a finite non-nilpotent group of odd order. Then  $\Gamma_n(G)$  is triangle-free if and only if |G| = 21.

Proof. First we claim that  $Z^*(G) = 1$ . To reach to a contradiction, let  $z^* \in Z^*(G)$  be a *p*-element  $x \in G \setminus Z^*(G)$  be a *q* element with  $q \neq p$ . Then  $\{x^G, (x^{-1})^G, (xz^*)^G\}$  induces a triangle, which is a contradiction. Therefore  $Z^*(G) = 1$ . Now since  $Z(G) = Z^*(G) = 1$ ,  $\Gamma_c(G)$  is an induced subgraph of  $\Gamma_n(G)$ . Thus, by Theorem 4.6, |G| = 21, as required. QED

**Theorem 4.9.** Let G be a finite non-nilpotent group of even order. Then  $\Gamma_n(G)$  is triangle-free if and only if G is isomorphic to one of the groups  $S_3$ ,  $D_{10}, D_{12}, A_4, T_{12}$ , or PSL(2,q)  $(q \in \{4,7,9\})$ .

*Proof.* First, we show that |x| has at most two distinct prime divisors for all  $x \in G \setminus Z^*(G)$ . Suppose on the contrary that there exists  $x \in G \setminus Z^*(G)$  such that  $|x| = p^{\alpha}q^{\beta}r^{\gamma}$  for some distinct primes p, q, and r. Since  $x \notin Z^*(G)$  we may assume without loss of generality that  $x^{p^{\alpha}}, x^{q^{\beta}} \notin Z^*(G)$ . Then the vertices  $x^G$ ,  $(x^{p^{\alpha}})^G$ , and  $(x^{q^{\beta}})^G$  give rise to a triangle, which is a contradiction.

Now, we claim that  $Z^*(G) = Z(G)$ . Assume  $Z^*(G) \neq 1$ . If the elements of G have prime power orders, then G is a p-group, which is a contradiction. Therefore, G has a non-hypercentral element x whose order is not a prime power. Assume that there exists  $z^* \in Z^*(G)$  which is not of prime power order. Lemma 4.5, implies that there exists  $z \in Z(G)$  which is not of prime power order. Since the order of every element of  $G \setminus Z^*(G)$ , has at most two distinct prime divisors, we deduce that  $\pi(\langle x \rangle) = \pi(\langle z \rangle) = \pi(G) = \{2, p\}$  for some odd prime p. Let Q be a Sylow 2-subgroup of G and suppose there exists  $y \in Q \setminus Z^*(G)$ . Then there exists an element z' of order p in Z(G) such that the vertices  $y^G$ ,  $(yz')^G$  and  $(yz'^{-1})^G$  induce a triangle, which is a contradiction. Thus  $Q \leq Z^*(G)$  and by Lemma 4.4, Sylow p-subgroup of G is normal. Therefore G is nilpotent, which is a contradiction.

Hence, every element of  $Z^*(G)$  has prime power order and so  $Z^*(G)$  is a q-group for prime q. Using Lemma 4.5 again, Z(G) is a q-group. Assume q is odd and let  $c \in Z(G)$  be a nontrivial element of prime order q. Since |G| is even, there exists a 2-element  $x \in G \setminus Z^*(G)$ . Then  $\{x^G, (xc)^G, (xc^{-1})^G\}$  induces a triangle unless  $(xc)^G = (xc^{-1})^G$ . Hence  $(xc)^G = (xc^{-1})^G$  and there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $x^g = xc^{-2}$ . But then  $|x| = |xc^{-2}| = q|x|$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore Z(G) is a 2-group and accordingly  $Z^*(G)$  is a 2-group.

Let  $a \in Z^*(G) \setminus Z(G)$  and  $b \in Z(G)$ . Then the vertices  $y^G$ ,  $(yb)^G$  and  $(ya)^G$  form a triangle for each *p*-element  $y \in G \setminus Z^*(G)$  unless  $(yb)^G = (ya)^G$ , in which case  $y^g = yab^{-1}$  for some  $g \in G$  and so  $|y| = |yab^{-1}| = |y||ab^{-1}|$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore  $Z(G) = Z^*(G)$ , as claimed.

Finally, since  $Z^*(G)$  coincides with Z(G),  $\Gamma_c(G)$  is an induced subgraph of  $\Gamma_n(G)$ . Thus Theorem 4.7 implies that G is isomorphic to one of the groups in the Theorem 4.7. Using GAP we can show that the  $\Gamma_n(G)$  has a triangle if and only if G is isomorphic to one of the groups  $S_4$ , SG(72, 41), SG(192, 1023), SG(192, 1025), SG(960, 11357), or PSL(3, 4). The proof is complete. QED

### References

- A. Abdollahi, M. Zarrin: Non-nilpotent graph of a group, Comm. Algebra 38, 4390–4403, 2010.
- [2] A. K. Das, D. Nongsiang: On the genus of the nilpotent graphs of finite groups, Comm. Algebra 43, 5282–5290, 2015.
- [3] The GAP Group, GAP-Groups, Algorithms and Programming, Version 4.8.7, 2017 (http://www.gap-system.org/).
- [4] K. W. Gruenberg, O. Kegel: Unpublished manuscript, 1975.
- [5] M. S. Lucido: The diameter of the prime graph of a finite group, J. Group Theory 2, 157–172, 1999.
- [6] M. Herzog, P. Longobardi, M. Maj: On a commuting graph on conjugacy classes of groups, Comm. Algebra 37(10), 3369–3387, 2009.
- [7] A. Mohammadian, A. Erfanian, M. Farrokhi D. G., B. Wilkens: Triangle-free commuting conjugacy class graphs, J. Group Theory 19, 1049–1061, 2016.
- [8] G. L. Morgan, C. W. Parker: The diameter of the commuting graph of a finite group with trivial center, J. Algebra 393, 41–59, 2013.
- [9] T. A. Peng: The hypercenter of a finite group, J. Algebra 48, 46–56, 1977.

- [10] D. J. S. Robinson: A Course in the Theory of Groups, Second Edition, Berlin, Springer-Verlag, 1982.
- [11] W. R. Scott: Group Theory, Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 1964.
- [12] J. S. Williams: Prime graph components of finite groups, J. Algebra 295, 487–513, 1981.