

## On sets of type $(m, h)_2$ in $PG(3, q)$ with $m \leq q$

Vito Napolitano<sup>i</sup>

*Department of Mathematics and Physics, Seconda Università degli Studi di Napoli  
Viale Lincoln 5, 81100 Caserta (Italy)  
vito.napolitano@unina2.it*

Received: 9.1.2014; accepted: 19.2.2015.

**Abstract.** A set of points of  $PG(3, q)$  of type  $(m, h)_2$ , with  $m \leq q$ , has size  $k \geq m(q+1)$ . In this paper, some characterization results of some sets of type  $(m, h)_2$ ,  $3 \leq m \leq q$ , of minimal size  $m(q+1)$  are given. Finally, sets of type  $(3, h)_2$  in  $PG(3, q)$  are studied.

**Keywords:** projective space, linear code, intersection number, linear space

**MSC 2000 classification:** primary 05B25, secondary 51E20

### 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{P} = PG(d, q)$  be the finite projective space of order  $q$  and dimension  $d \geq 3$ ,  $m_1, \dots, m_s$  be  $s$  integers such that  $0 \leq m_1 \leq \dots \leq m_s$  and for any integer  $r$ ,  $1 \leq r \leq d-1$ , let  $\mathcal{P}_r$  denote the family of all the  $r$ -dimensional subspaces of  $\mathbb{P}$ .

A subset  $\mathcal{K}$  of  $k$  points of  $\mathbb{P}$  is of *type*  $(m_1, \dots, m_s)_r$  if  $|\mathcal{K} \cap \pi| \in \{m_1, \dots, m_s\}$  for any  $\pi \in \mathcal{P}_r$ , and for every  $m_j \in \{m_1, \dots, m_s\}$  there is at least one subspace  $\pi \in \mathcal{P}_r$  such that  $|\mathcal{K} \cap \pi| = m_j$ . The non-negative integers  $m_1, \dots, m_s$  are the *intersection numbers* of  $\mathcal{K}$  (with respect to  $\mathcal{P}_r$ ).

Quadrics, algebraic varieties which are intersection of quadrics, subgeometries are subsets of points of  $\mathbb{P}$  with few intersection numbers with respect to the lines, or to hyperplanes or to a given family of  $r$ -dimensional subspaces,  $2 \leq r \leq d-2$ , so it arises in a natural way the problem of characterizing such classical objects using their intersection numbers with a prescribed family of subspaces. On the other hand,  $k$ -sets of  $\mathbb{P}$  with exactly two intersection numbers with respect to hyperplanes give rise to two-weighted linear codes (cf e.g. [6]), and so it arises also an existence problem for sets of a given type. There is a wide literature devoted to the theory of  $k$ -sets of a given type, in the references the interested reader may find some papers on this topic.

The study on  $k$ -sets with two intersection numbers  $m$  and  $h$ ,  $m < h$ , develops by increasing the values of  $m$ . There is a complete classification of  $k$ -sets

---

<sup>i</sup>This work is partially supported by G.N.S.A.G.A. of INdAM.  
<http://siba-ese.unisalento.it/> © 2015 Università del Salento

of type  $(m, h)_2$  for  $m = 0, 1$  [3, 7, 9, 10],  $m = 2$  and  $d = 3$  [4], for  $m = 3$ ,  $d = 3$  and  $h = q + 3$  [8]. In all such cases, except  $m = 3, q = 2$  which is easily solved (cf e.g. [8]), one has  $m \leq q$  and so it seems natural to study  $k$ -sets of type  $(m, h)_2$  with  $m \leq q$ .

If  $m \leq q$ , a  $m$ -plane contains at least an external line thus counting the size of  $\mathcal{K}$  via the planes on such external line gives  $k \geq m(q + 1)$ . Some examples, such as subgeometries, sets of points of  $m \leq q$  pairwise skew lines, have size  $m(q + 1)$  and so a characterization problem in the extremal case  $k = m(q + 1)$  arises.

Note that, already Beutelspacher [1] has considered a similar question for  $k$ -sets of  $\mathbb{P}$  intersected by every hyperplane in at least  $m$  points,  $m \leq q$ , giving a complete description of those with minimal size  $k = m(q + 1)$  under the extra hypothesis  $m \leq \sqrt{q} + 1$ .

In this paper, we will give some new characterizations of such sets of  $\text{PG}(3, q)$  of minimal size and we also will study  $k$ -sets of type  $(3, h)_2$  of  $\text{PG}(3, q)$ . We are going to prove the following results.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a  $k$ -set of points of  $\text{PG}(3, q)$  of type  $(m, h)_2$ , with  $m \leq q$  and  $k = m(q + 1)$ . If  $s \geq m$  for every  $s$ -secant line with  $s \geq 3$ , then either  $\mathcal{K}$  is a subset of points of a hyperbolic quadric  $\mathcal{H}$  obtained by deleting  $q + 1 - m$  pairwise skew lines of one of the two reguli of  $\mathcal{H}$ , or  $m = \sqrt{q} + 1$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  is the subgeometry  $\text{PG}(3, \sqrt{q})$  or  $m = 3$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  is the set  $K_1$  described in Example 1.1 below.*

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a  $k$ -set of points of  $\text{PG}(3, q)$  of type  $(m, h)_2$ , with  $m \leq q$ ,  $k = m(q + 1)$  and  $q \geq 3$ . If  $\mathcal{K}$  contains at least  $q - \sqrt{q} - 1$  lines then  $\mathcal{K}$  is the set of points of the union of  $m$  pairwise skew lines, or  $m = q$  is a square,  $k = q^2 + q$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  is the subgeometry  $\text{PG}(3, \sqrt{q})$  union the points of  $q - \sqrt{q} - 1$  lines.*

As remarked above,  $k$ -sets of  $\text{PG}(3, q)$  of type  $(3, q + 3)_2$  have been completely classified. The next theorem concerns with the general case  $(3, h)_2$ .

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a  $k$ -set of  $\text{PG}(3, q)$  of type  $(3, h)_2$  and let  $b_i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq q + 1$  denote the number of lines intersecting  $\mathcal{K}$  in exactly  $i$  points. Then,  $h - 3|q$  and one the following holds be true.*

(j)  $h = q + 3$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  is determined.

(jj)  $h < q + 3$ , every line has at most three points in common with  $\mathcal{K}$ ,  $b_i > 0$  for every  $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  and either

(jj1)  $q = 8$ ,  $h = 7$  and  $k = 39$  and all the planes containing a 3-line are  $h$ -planes<sup>1</sup>.

---

<sup>1</sup>As remarked in the review of the paper [8] on Mathematical Reviews (MathSciNet), an

or

(jj2) on each 3–line there is at least one 3–plane,  $k \leq 3 + q(h - 3)$  and the number  $c_3$  of 3–planes is

$$c_3 = b_2 \frac{(q+1)h - k - 2q}{3(h-3)} + b_3 \frac{(q+1)h - k - 3q}{(h-3)},$$

where

$$b_2 = \frac{[(q+1)h - k][6(q^2 + 1) - k(k-1)] + 3kq(k-3)}{2q}$$

$$b_3 = \frac{[(q+1)h - k][k(k-1) - 6(q^2 + 1)] - 2kq(k-4)}{6q}.$$

Moreover, if  $h > 13$  then

$$qh + 3 - \frac{7}{2}q < (q+1)h - \frac{7}{2}q \leq k \leq qh - 3q + 3.$$

### 1.1 Some previous results on $k$ –sets of type $(m, h)_2$ in $PG(3, q)$

In this section, we recall some known results on sets of points of  $PG(3, q)$  with two intersection numbers with respect to the planes.

**Theorem A** ([10], 1973) *A set  $\mathcal{K}$  of points of  $PG(d, q)$ ,  $d > 2$ , of type  $(1, h)_2$  is either a line or an ovoid of  $PG(3, q)$ ,  $q > 2$ , or the elliptic quadric or  $PG(3, 2)$ .*

**Theorem B** ([4], 2006) *A set  $\mathcal{K}$  of points of  $PG(3, q)$ ,  $r > 2$ , of type  $(2, h)_2$  is the set of points of the union of two skew lines.*

For  $m = 3$ , sets of points of  $PG(3, 3)$  of type  $(3, h)_2$  which are not the pointsets of the union of three lines occur (cf [8]). In the next example, they are described via the homogeneous coordinates  $(x, y, z, t)$ .

EXAMPLE 1.1. *Sets of type  $(3, 6)_2$  in  $PG(3, 3)$  containing no line.*

$$K_1 = \{A(1, 0, 0, 0), B(0, 1, 0, 0), C(0, 1, 1, 1), D(0, 0, 1, 0), E(0, 1, 0, 1), \\ F(0, 0, 0, 1), G(1, 0, 0, 1), H(1, 1, 0, 1), I(1, 0, 2, 0), L(1, 2, 2, 0), \\ M(1, 0, 2, 1), N(0, 1, 1, 0)\}.$$

$$K_2 = \{A(1, 1, 2, 1), B(1, 0, 0, 0), C(0, 1, 0, 0), D(0, 0, 1, 0), E(0, 0, 0, 1),$$

example of a 39–set of type  $(3, 7)_2$  in  $PG(3, 8)$  exists. It is associated with a quasy–cyclic  $GF(8)$  linear 2 weight code of length 39, dimension 4 and weights 32 and 36.

$$F(0, 0, 1, 2), G(1, 1, 1, 1), H(1, 1, 1, 2), I(1, 0, 2, 0), L(1, 2, 2, 0), \\ M(0, 1, 2, 2), N(0, 1, 1, 0), O(1, 0, 2, 2), P(1, 2, 1, 1), Q(1, 2, 1, 2)\}.$$

$$K_3 = \{A(1, 0, 0, 0), B(0, 1, 1, 0), C(0, 1, 0, 0), D(0, 0, 1, 0), E(0, 0, 0, 1), \\ F(1, 1, 2, 1), G(1, 1, 1, 1), H(1, 0, 1, 2), I(1, 1, 1, 2), L(1, 2, 2, 0), \\ M(0, 1, 2, 2), N(1, 1, 2, 2), O(0, 1, 2, 1), P(1, 0, 1, 1), Q(1, 0, 2, 0)\}.$$

For  $q = 2$  a set of type  $(3, h)_2$  is either a plane of  $\text{PG}(3, 2)$  or the whole space  $\text{PG}(3, 2)$  or the set of points on three pairwise skew lines (cf [8]). For  $q > 3$  sets of type  $(3, q + 3)_2$  have been completely classified.

**Theorem C** ([8], 2012) *Let  $K$  be a subset of  $\text{PG}(3, q)$  ( $q > 2$ ) of type  $(3, q + 3)$  with respect to planes. Then,*

- (i) *If  $q = 3$ ,  $K$  is the set of points on three pairwise skew lines, or one of the three sets  $K_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ .*
- (ii) *If  $q = 4$ ,  $K$  is either the set of points on three pairwise skew lines or  $\text{PG}(3, 2)$  embedded in  $\text{PG}(3, 4)$ .*
- (iii) *If  $q > 4$ ,  $K$  is the set of points on three pairwise skew lines.*

The next result states that in  $\text{PG}(3, q)$ , when  $m \geq 2$ , there is at least one line intersecting a set of type  $(m, h)_2$  in at least three points.

**Theorem D** ([5], 1980) *If  $\mathcal{K}$  is a cap of  $\text{PG}(3, q)$  of type  $(m, n)_2$  then either  $\mathcal{K}$  is an ovoid ( $m = 1$ ) or  $q = 2$ ,  $m = 0$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  is  $\text{PG}(3, 2)$  less a plane.*

In view of these results, we will assume that  $m \geq 3$  and that at least one  $s$ -line with  $s \geq 3$  exists.

## 2 Preliminary results

Let  $\mathcal{K}$  denote a  $k$ -set of type  $(m, h)_2$ . By an  $m$ -plane ( $h$ -plane) we mean a plane intersecting  $\mathcal{K}$  in  $m$ -points ( $h$ -points).

Let  $s \geq 2$  an integer, a line is a  $s$ -secant (or  $s$ -line) if it intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in  $s$  points. A line is *external* (*tangent*) if it intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in no point (one point).

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a set of type  $(m, h)_2$  in  $\text{PG}(3, q)$  with  $m \leq q$ . Then  $(h - m) | q$ , and so  $h - m \leq q$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\pi$  be an  $m$ -plane, since  $m \leq q$ , each point of  $\pi$  not belonging to  $\mathcal{K}$  is on at least one external line and each point of  $\mathcal{K}$  in  $\pi$  lies on at least one tangent line. Hence, there are both an external line and a tangent line. Let  $\ell$

be an external line and  $\alpha$  be the number of  $m$ -planes on  $\ell$ , counting  $k$  via the planes on  $\ell$  gives:

$$k = (q + 1)h - \alpha(h - m).$$

Let  $\ell'$  be a tangent line, and let  $\beta$  denote the number of  $m$ -planes on  $\ell'$ , counting  $k$  via the planes on  $\ell'$  gives

$$k = (q + 1)h - q - \beta(h - m).$$

Comparing these two values of  $k$  gives  $(h - m)|q$  and so  $h - m \leq q$ .

QED

From the results contained in [9] it follows that  $1 + mq \leq k \leq hq$  and

$$k^2(q + 1) - k[(h + m)(q^2 + q + 1) - q^2] + mh(q^3 + q^2 + q + 1) = 0. \quad (1)$$

For  $k = m(q + 1)$  Equation (1) gives  $h = m + q$ . Vice versa, for  $h = m + q$  it has the two solutions

$$k_- = m(q + 1) \text{ and } k_+ = (q + m)(q - 1) + 2 + \frac{2(m - 1)}{q + 1}.$$

So, for  $h = m + q$  and  $m \leq q$  either  $k = m(q + 1)$  or  $q = 2m - 3$  and  $k = 3(2m^2 - 6m + 5)$ .

Let  $\ell$  be an  $s$ -line,  $s \leq m$ , and let  $\alpha$  denote the number of  $m$ -planes on  $\ell$ , then

$$m(q + 1) = k = s + \alpha(m - s) + (q + 1 - \alpha)(q + m - s)$$

from which it follows that

$$\alpha = q + 1 - s.$$

Thus, *the number of  $h$ -planes passing through a  $s$ -line is  $s$ .*

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1

In this section  $\mathcal{K}$  denotes a subset of  $m(q + 1)$  points of  $PG(3, q)$  of type  $(m, n)_2$  such that each line intersecting  $\mathcal{K}$  in at least three points intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in at least  $m$  points.

**Lemma 1.** *If there is an  $s$ -secant secant line  $\ell$  with  $s \geq 3$  such that  $s \geq m + 1$ , then  $\ell$  is contained in  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  is the set of points of the union of  $m$  lines of a regulus containing  $\ell$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\ell$  be an  $s$ -secant line with  $s \geq m + 1$ . Any plane on  $\ell$  is an  $h$ -plane, so  $k = s + (q + 1)(h - s) = (q + 1)h - sq$ . By assumptions,  $k = m(q + 1)$ , thus

$$m(q + 1) = (q + 1)h - sq.$$

It follows that  $q + 1 | s$  and so  $s = q + 1$  and  $h = m + q$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{K}$  contains at least one line.

Let  $\ell$  be a line contained in  $\mathcal{K}$ . Being  $m \geq 3$  each plane through  $\ell$  contains at least two points of  $\mathcal{K}$  outside  $\ell$ . Let  $\pi$  be a plane on  $\ell$ , and  $p$  and  $q$  two distinct points of  $\mathcal{K} \cap (\pi \setminus \{\ell\})$ . The line connecting  $p$  and  $q$  is an  $m$ -secant line  $\ell'$  and so  $\pi \cap \mathcal{K} = \ell \cup \ell'$ .

Let  $\pi_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, q + 1$ , denote the  $q + 1$  planes containing  $\ell$ , and for each  $i = 1, \dots, q + 1$  let  $\ell_i$  denote the  $m$ -line in  $\pi_i$ .

All the lines  $\ell_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, q + 1$  intersect  $\ell$  and are pairwise skew. Indeed, if there were two lines  $\ell_i$  and  $\ell_j$  intersecting each other in a point, say  $p$ , then  $p \in \ell$ . Let  $\pi$  be the  $h$ -plane containing  $\ell_i$  and  $\ell_j$ . Since  $h = q + m$ , each point of  $\pi \cap (\ell_i \setminus \mathcal{K})$  (and of  $\pi \cap (\ell_j \setminus \mathcal{K})$ ) belongs to exactly one  $m$ -line and  $q$  tangent lines. It follows that there is a point  $x$  of  $\mathcal{K}$  in  $\pi$  outside  $\ell_i$  and  $\ell_j$ . Connecting  $x$  with a point of  $(\ell_i \cap \mathcal{K}) \setminus \{p\}$  gives a line  $\ell'$  intersecting  $\ell_j$  in a point  $\mathcal{K}$  and so  $\ell'$  is an  $m$ -line. Since  $\ell'$  is skew to  $\ell$ , all the planes on  $\ell'$  are  $(q + m)$ -planes, contradicting the fact that the number of  $h$ -planes on an  $m$ -line is  $m$ .

Since the lines  $\ell_i$  are pairwise skew and all of them intersect  $\ell$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{K}$  is the set of points on  $q + 1$  pairwise skew  $m$ -lines.

Let  $i \neq j$ , consider a point  $x \in \ell_i \setminus \{\ell \cap \ell_i\}$  and a point  $y \in \ell_j \setminus \{\ell \cap \ell_j\}$ . Since  $\ell_i$  and  $\ell_j$  are skew and have  $\ell$  as a transversal,  $xy$  must be skew to  $\ell$ . If  $xy$  contains another point of  $\mathcal{K}$  distinct from  $x$  and  $y$ , the line  $xy$  meets  $\mathcal{K}$  in  $\beta \geq m$  points, by assumption. Then every plane  $\pi$  through  $xy$  meets  $\ell$  in a single point, forcing  $\pi$  to be an  $h$ -plane, as it contains at least  $\beta - 1 > m$  points. Thus,  $k = m(q + 1) = (h - \beta)(q + 1) + \beta = (m + q - \beta)(q + 1) + \beta = m(q + 1) + q(q + 1) - \beta q$ . This forces  $\beta = q + 1$ . i.e.  $xy$  is contained in  $\mathcal{K}$ . Therefore the line  $xy$  must either be a 2-line or fully contained in  $\mathcal{K}$ .

Consider one of the lines  $\ell_i$ , w.l.o.g. let such a line be  $\ell_1$ . We prove that, the intersection of  $\mathcal{K}$  and an  $h$ -plane through  $\ell_1$  consists of the points of  $\ell_1$  union those of a line contained in  $\mathcal{K}$ . Namely, this is true for the plane containing  $\ell$  and  $\ell_1$ . Let  $\pi$  an  $h$ -plane through  $\ell_1$  different from the plane containing  $\ell$  and  $\ell_1$ . Such a plane intersects each of the lines  $\ell_i$ ,  $i \neq 1$ , in a point of  $\mathcal{K}$ . Let  $x$  and  $y$  be two points of  $\pi \cap \mathcal{K} \setminus \{\ell_1\}$ . The line  $xy$  intersects  $\ell_1$  in a point  $p$  of

$\mathcal{K}$ , indeed being  $\pi$  an  $h$ -plane it has no external line and so in  $\pi$  every point of  $\ell_1$  not in  $\mathcal{K}$  lies on one  $m$ -line (clearly,  $\ell_1$ ) and  $q$  tangent lines. Thus, from the above argument the line  $xy$  is fully contained in  $\mathcal{K}$ . Since the number of  $h$ -planes on  $\ell_1$  is  $m$ , there are exactly  $m$  lines intersecting  $\ell_1$  and contained in  $\mathcal{K}$ , say  $L, L_2, \dots, L_m$ . For every  $i = 2, \dots, m$ , the line  $L_i$  is skew with  $L$ , otherwise the plane containing  $L$  and  $L_i$  should contain  $\ell_1$  and so its size should be greater than  $q + m$ . Similarly, since any line  $L_i$  intersects every line  $\ell_j$  it follows that the lines  $L_i$  and  $L_j$  are pairwise skew. So on each point of  $\ell_1 \cap \mathcal{K}$  there is exactly one line contained in  $\mathcal{K}$ . Since  $m \geq 3$  there are at least three lines contained in  $\mathcal{K}$ , thus the lines  $\ell_i$  are the transversal lines of the regulus generated by these three lines and so  $\mathcal{K}$  is the set of points of a hyperbolic quadric with  $q + 1 - m$  lines of one of its reguli deleted.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *If any secant line with more than two points in  $\mathcal{K}$  intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in exactly  $m$  points, then either  $m = \sqrt{q}$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  is  $\text{PG}(3, \sqrt{q})$  or  $m = 3$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  is the set  $K_1$  described in Example 1.1.*

*Proof.* By the assumptions,  $\mathcal{K}$  contains no line. Since  $k = m(q + 1)$  a  $h$ -plane contains no external line. Let  $\ell$  be an  $m$ -line. On  $\ell$  there are exactly  $m \geq 3$  planes intersecting  $\mathcal{K}$  in  $h$  points.

Each  $h$ -plane  $\pi$  contains at least one  $m$ -line, otherwise  $\mathcal{K} \cap \pi$  should be an arc with  $q + m \geq q + 3$  points.

Since external lines lie only on  $m$ -planes, an  $h$ -plane  $\pi$  intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in a blocking set, and so if  $\ell$  is an  $m$ -line of  $\pi$ , being  $h = q + m$ , on each point of  $\ell \setminus \mathcal{K}$  there are exactly one  $m$ -line and  $q$  tangent lines. It follows that in an  $h$ -plane  $\pi$  if there are two  $m$ -lines, they intersect each other in a point of  $\mathcal{K} \cap \pi$ .

Let  $\pi$  be an  $h$ -plane, and let  $\ell$  be an  $m$ -line of  $\pi$ . The  $q$  points of  $\pi \cap \mathcal{K} \setminus \ell$  do not lie on a single line, so they give rise to at least  $q$  secant lines. The points of  $X := \pi \cap \mathcal{K} \setminus \ell$  together with the secant lines connecting any two of its points may be seen as a linear space on  $q$  points. Since all these lines have a constant number  $m$  of points, by the de Bruijn–Erdős Theorem [2] it follows that either  $q = m = 3$  and  $X$  is a triangle or there is a point of  $\ell$  on at least three  $m$ -secant lines.

If  $X$  is a triangle then  $k = 3(q + 1) = 12$  and  $m = 3, h = 6$  and so by the result in [8]  $\mathcal{K}$  is the set  $K_1$  described in Example 1.1.

Finally, assume that there is a point  $p$  on  $\ell$  on at least three  $m$  lines. Let  $\ell_1$  and  $\ell_2$  two  $m$ -lines sharing with  $\ell$  the point  $p$  of  $\mathcal{K}$ . Connecting a point of  $(\ell_1 \setminus \{p\}) \cap \mathcal{K}$  with a point of  $\ell \cap \mathcal{K}$  gives an  $m$ -line intersecting  $\ell_2$  in a point of  $\mathcal{K}$  otherwise  $\ell_2$  should contain a point not in  $\mathcal{K}$  on a 2-secant line. The same occur connecting a point of  $\ell_1$  with a point of  $\mathcal{K} \cap \pi$  outside  $\ell \cup \ell_2$  so on each

point of  $\ell_1$  there are exactly  $m$  lines intersecting  $\mathcal{K} \cap \pi$  in  $m$  points. It follows that  $h = q + m = 1 + m(m - 1)$  and so  $q = (m - 1)^2$ .

Hence,  $m = 1 + \sqrt{q}$  and so by the results in [1] the assertion follows.  $\square$  **QED**

## 4 Proof of Theorem 2

Let  $\mathcal{K}$  denote a set of points of  $PG(3, q)$  of type  $(m, h)_2$ . The next lemma gives the proof of Theorem 2.

**Lemma 3.** *If  $m \leq q$ ,  $q \geq 3$ , and there are at least  $q - \sqrt{q} - 1$  lines contained in  $\mathcal{K}$ , then  $k = m(q + 1)$  if and only if  $\mathcal{K}$  is either the set of the points of the union of  $m$  pairwise skew lines or  $q$  is a square,  $m = q$ ,  $k = q^2 + q$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  is the set of points of  $PG(3, \sqrt{q})$  union the points of  $q - \sqrt{q} - 1$  lines.*

*Proof.* Since  $h \leq m + q \leq 2q$ , the lines contained in  $\mathcal{K}$  are pairwise skew. Assume  $k = m(q + 1)$ , and so  $h = q + m$ .

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  the set of all the lines contained in  $\mathcal{K}$  and let  $\alpha$  denote the size of  $\mathcal{L}$ . Namely,  $\alpha \leq m$ .

Consider the set  $\mathcal{K}' = \mathcal{K} \setminus \bigcup_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} \ell$ . If it is the empty set then  $m = \alpha$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  is the set of the points of the union of  $m$  pairwise skew lines.

Thus, let  $\mathcal{K}'$  be different from the empty set. By its definition,  $\mathcal{K}'$  contains no line, has size  $(m - \alpha)(q + 1)$  and it is of type  $(m - \alpha, q + m - \alpha)_2$ .

An external line lies only on  $(m - \alpha)$ -planes, so any  $(h - \alpha)$ -plane is a blocking set, thus

$$q + m - \alpha \geq q + m - \alpha \geq q + \sqrt{q} + 1$$

from which it follows that  $\alpha \leq m - \sqrt{q} - 1 \leq q - \sqrt{q} - 1$ . Therefore,  $\alpha = q - \sqrt{q} - 1$ , and so  $q$  is a square.

Hence,  $m - \alpha = m - q + \sqrt{q} + 1 \leq q - q + \sqrt{q} + 1 = \sqrt{q} + 1$ , and by the results in [1] it follows that  $\mathcal{K}'$  is  $PG(3, \sqrt{q})$ .  $\square$  **QED**

## 5 On sets of type $(3, h)_2$

Let  $\mathcal{K}$  denote a subset of points of  $PG(3, q)$  of size  $k$  and of type  $(3, h)_2$ . As remarked in the Introduction, the sets of type  $(3, h)_2$  in  $PG(3, 2)$  are the planes, the set of the points of the union of three pairwise skew lines and the whole space  $PG(3, 2)$ , so we may assume  $q \geq 3$ . We are going to prove Theorem 3.

For  $m = 3$ , Equation (1) becomes:



$$k^2(q+1) - k[(h+3)(q^2+q+1) - q^2] + 3h(q^3+q^2+q+1) = 0. \quad (2)$$

The following Lemmata give the proof of Theorem 3. Recall, that by Theorem (C) when  $h = q+3$  the set  $\mathcal{K}$  is determined, so in the following (j) Theorem 3 will follow whenever one has  $h = q+3$ .

**Lemma 4.** *Either  $h = q+3$  or  $\mathcal{K}$  contains no line.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\mathcal{K}$  contains a line. Then  $h \geq q+2$ . Since  $(h-3)|q$  and  $q \geq 3$  it follows that  $h = q+3$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.** *If  $h < q+3$  then  $s \leq 3$  for every  $s$ -line.*

*Proof.* Let  $\ell$  be a line intersecting  $\mathcal{K}$  in  $s$  points, with  $4 \leq s \leq q$ . Thus,  $k = (q+1)h - sq$ , and Equation (1.1) becomes

$$(q+1)^3 h^2 + s^2 q^2 (q+1) - 2shq(q+1)^2 - [h(q^2+q+1) + 2q^2 + 3q + 3](q+1)h + [h(q^2+q+1) + 2q^2 + 3q + 3]sq + 3h(q^3+q^2+q+1) = 0$$

and so

$$h^2(q+1) - h[(s-1)q^2 + (3s+2)q + (s+3)] + s(s+2)q^2 + s(s+3)q + 3s = 0.$$

The discriminant of such equation is

$$\Delta = (s-1)^2 q^4 + (2s^2 - 10s - 4)q^3 + (3s^2 - 4s - 2)q^2 + (2s^2 - 2s + 12)q + (s-3)^2.$$

Since  $\Delta > [(s-1)q^2 - (s+2)q]^2$  and  $q \geq 3$  it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} h_+ &= \frac{(s-1)q^2 + (3s+2)q + (s+3) + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2q+2} > \\ &= \frac{(s-1)q^2 + (3s+2)q + (s+3) + (s-1)q^2 - (s+2)q}{2q+2} = \\ &= \frac{2(s-1)q^2 + 2sq + (s+3)}{2q+2} = (s-1)q + 1 + \frac{s+1}{2q+2} \end{aligned}$$

which cannot occur since  $h \leq q+3$ .

Now, we prove that  $h_- = \frac{(s-1)q^2 + (3s+2)q + (s+3) - \sqrt{\Delta}}{2q+2} \leq s+3$ .

Assume to the contrary that  $h_- = \frac{(s-1)q^2 + (3s+2)q + (s+3) - \sqrt{\Delta}}{2q+2} \geq s+4$ .

Thus,  $(s-1)q^2 + (s-6)q - (s+5) \geq \sqrt{\Delta}$ , which gives

$$(s-4)q^3 + (s^2 + 4s - 12)q^2 + (s^2 - s - 12)q - (s+4) \leq 0$$

which is not possible since  $4 \leq s \leq q$ .

From  $3q+3 \leq k = (q+1)h - sq$ , it follows that  $h \geq s+2$ .

If  $h = s+2$ , then  $3q+3 \leq (q+1)(s+2) - sq = 2q+s+2$  and so  $s = q+1$ .

A contradiction to Lemma 4.

If  $h = s+3$  then:  $k = 3(q+1) + s = 3q+h$ .

For  $k = 3q+h$  Equation (2) gives:

$$(3q+h)^2(q+1) - (3q+h)[hq^2 + hq + h + 2q^2 + 3q + 3] + 3h(q^3 + q^2 + q + 1) = 0$$

$$(3q+h)(3q^2 + 3q + hq + h - hq^2 - hq - h - 2q^2 - 3q - 3) + 3q^3h + 3q^2h + 3qh + 3h = 0$$

$$(3q+h)(q^2 - hq^2 - 3) + 3q^3h + 3q^2h + 3qh + 3h = 0$$

$$3q^3 - 3hq^3 - 9q + hq^2 - h^2q^2 - 3h + 3q^3h + 3q^2h + 3qh + 3h = 0$$

$$3q^3 - 9q + hq^2 - h^2q^2 + 3q^2h + 3qh = 0$$

$$3q^2 - 9 + 4hq - h^2q + 3h = 0$$

$$3h - 9 = h^2q - 4hq - 3q^2$$

and so

$$3 = \frac{q}{h-3}(h^2 - 4h - 3q). \quad (3)$$

Since  $h-3|q$ , the ratio  $\frac{q}{h-3}$  is an integer and so either  $\frac{q}{h-3} = 1$  or  $\frac{q}{h-3} = 3$ .

In the former case, it follows that  $h = q+3$  against the assumptions of the Lemma.

In the latter case, being  $q = 3h - 9$  Equation 3 gives  $1 = h^2 - 4h - 3q$  and so  $h^2 - 13h + 26 = 0$  whose roots are not integers.  $\square$

By the results contained in [5] and the previous lemma it there exists at least one line intersecting  $\mathcal{K}$  in exactly 3 points. Thus, counting the size of  $\mathcal{K}$  via the planes on a 3-line gives  $k \leq 3 + q(h - 3)$ .

Now, we prove that there is at least one 2-line.

As usual, let  $b_i$  denote the number of lines intersecting  $\mathcal{K}$  in exactly  $i$  points (i.e. the number of  $i$ -secant lines), and let  $c_3$  denote the number of 3-planes. Let  $x_3$  and  $y_3$  denote the number of  $T$ -planes and  $L$ -planes, respectively. Thus,  $x_3 + y_3 = c_3$ .

Being  $m = 3 \leq q$ , it follows that  $b_0, b_1 > 0$ .

Assume  $b_2 = 0$ . Using the usual counting argument

$$\begin{aligned} b_0 + b_1 + b_3 &= (q^2 + 1)(q^2 + q + 1) \\ b_1 + 3b_3 &= k(q^2 + q + 1) \\ 3b_3 &= k(k - 1)(q + 1). \end{aligned}$$

From the last two equations it follows that  $b_1 = k(q^2 + q + 1 - k(q + 1))$ , which gives  $k(q + 1) \leq q^2 + q + 1$  being  $b_1 \geq 0$ . So, from  $k \geq 3q + 3$  a contradiction follows.

Hence  $b_i > 0$  for every  $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ .

Since there are 2-secant lines, there are two types of 3-planes: the  $T$ -planes i.e. those intersecting  $\mathcal{K}$  in three non-collinear points and the  $L$ -planes that is those intersecting  $\mathcal{K}$  in three collinear points.

The usual counting argument (cf e.g. [9]) on the types gives:

$$c_3 = \frac{(q^2 + 1)[h(q + 1) - k] - kq}{h - 3}.$$

Double counting give:

$$b_2 \frac{(q + 1)h - k - 2q}{h - 3} = 3x_3 \quad (4)$$

$$b_3 \frac{(q + 1)h - k - 3q}{h - 3} = y_3. \quad (5)$$

If  $x_3 = 0$ , then  $k = (q + 1)h - 2q$ . Counting  $k$  via the planes on a 3-line, gives  $k = 3 + x(h - 3)$ , where  $x$  is the number of  $h$ -planes on the 3-line.

Thus,

$$q(h - 3) + q + h - 3 = (q + 1)h - 2q - 3 = k - 3 = x(h - 3)$$

and so  $x > q + 1$ , which is not possible.

If  $y_3 = 0$ , then  $k = (q+1)h - 3q$ . Moreover, all the planes containing a 3-line are  $h$ -planes.

**Lemma 6.** *If  $k = (q+1)h - 3q$  then either  $h = q + 3$ ,  $q = 3$ ,  $k = 15$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  is one of the two sets  $\Omega_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  or  $q = 8$ ,  $h = 7$  and  $k = 39$ .*

*Proof.* Arguing as in the proof of the previous lemma with  $s = 3$  gives

$$h = \frac{2q^2 + 11q + 6 \pm \sqrt{4q^4 - 16q^3 + 13q^2 + 24q}}{2q + 2}.$$

Since the discriminant  $\Delta = 4q^4 - 16q^3 + 13q^2 + 24q > (2q^2 - 5q)^2$ , it follows that  $h_+ = \frac{2q^2 + 11q + 6 + \sqrt{4q^4 - 16q^3 + 13q^2 + 24q}}{2q + 2} > 2q + 1 + 2/(q+1) > q + 3$

and so  $h = h_- = \frac{2q^2 + 11q + 6 - \sqrt{4q^4 - 16q^3 + 13q^2 + 24q}}{2q + 2}$ .

From  $2q + 1 + \frac{2}{q+1} + h_- < h_+ + h_- = 2q + 9 - \frac{3}{q+1}$  it follows that  $h = h_- \leq 7$ .

If  $h \leq 6$ , then

$$h_- = \frac{2q^2 + 11q + 6 - \sqrt{4q^4 - 16q^3 + 13q^2 + 24q}}{2q + 2} \leq 6$$

$$2q^2 + 11q + 6 - \sqrt{4q^4 - 16q^3 + 13q^2 + 24q} \leq 12q + 12$$

$$2q^2 - q - 6 \leq \sqrt{4q^4 - 16q^3 + 13q^2 + 24q}$$

$$4q^4 + q^2 + 36 - 4q^3 - 24q^2 + 12q \leq 4q^4 - 16q^3 + 13q^2 + 24q$$

$$12q^3 - 36q^2 - 12q + 36 \leq 0$$

$$q^2(q - 3) - (q - 3) \leq 0$$

$$(q^2 - 1)(q - 3) \leq 0$$

thus  $q = 2, 3$ .

Being  $q \geq 3$ , it follows that  $q = 3$  and so  $h = 6$  (since  $h - 3|q$ ), that is  $h = q + 3$  against the assumptions.

If  $h = 7$ ,

$$\frac{2q^2 + 11q + 6 - \sqrt{4q^4 - 16q^3 + 13q^2 + 24q}}{2q + 2} = 7$$

$$2q^2 + 11q + 6 - \sqrt{4q^4 - 16q^3 + 13q^2 + 24q} = 14q + 14$$

$$2q^2 - 3q - 8 = \sqrt{4q^4 - 16q^3 + 13q^2 + 24q}$$

$$4q^4 + 9q^2 + 64 - 12q^3 - 32q^2 + 48q = 4q^4 - 16q^3 + 13q^2 + 24q$$

$$4q^3 - 36q^2 + 24q + 64 = 0$$

$$(q - 2)(q^2 - 7q - 8) = 0$$

from which it follows that  $q = 2, 8$ . Hence,  $q = 8, h = 7$  e  $k = 39$ .  $\square$

Thus, we may assume that both  $x_3$  and  $y_3$  are different from 0. Hence, equations (4) and (5) give

$$b_2[(q + 1)h - k - 2q] + 3b_3[(q + 1)h - k - 3q] = 3c_3(h - 3)$$

From which it follows

$$[(q + 1)h - k - 2q]b_2 + 3[(q + 1)h - k - 3q]b_3 = 3(q^2 + 1)[h(q + 1) - k] - 3kq.$$

Being

$$2b_2 + 6b_3 = k(k - 1)$$

we have a system of two linear equations in the unknowns  $b_2$  and  $b_3$  with determinant  $6q$ , and so:

$$b_2 = \frac{[(q + 1)h - k][6(q^2 + 1) - k(k - 1)] + 3kq(k - 3)}{2q}$$

$$b_3 = \frac{[(q + 1)h - k][k(k - 1) - 6(q^2 + 1)] - 2kq(k - 4)}{6q}.$$

Being  $b_2 > 0$  it follows that

$$3kq(k - 3) > [(q + 1)h - k][k(k - 1) - 6(q^2 + 1)]. \quad (6)$$

From  $3q + 3 \leq k \leq 3 + q(h - 3)$  it follows that  $h - 3 \geq 3$ .

**Lemma 7.**  $h > 13 \Rightarrow k \geq (q+1)h - \frac{7}{2}q$ .

*Proof.* If  $[(q+1)h - k] > 9q$  then (6) gives:

$$2k^2 - 18(q^2 + 1) < 0$$

which cannot occur, since  $k \geq 3(q+1)$ . Thus,  $k \geq (q+1)h - 9q$ .

Assume  $k \leq 5q$ , then  $(q+1)h \leq 14q$  and so  $14 \leq h \leq 14 - \frac{14}{q+1}$  which is a contradiction. Hence  $k > 5q$ .

If  $[(q+1)h - k] \geq 4q$ , from (6) it follows that

$$k^2 + 5k - 24(q^2 + 1) < 0$$

and so  $k < 5q$ , a contradiction.

Therefore,  $[(q+1)h - k] < 4q$ , that is  $k > (q+1)h - 4q$ .

Write  $k = (q+1)h - 4q + x$ ,  $0 < x < q$  and  $x$  is divisible for  $h-3$ .

When  $x < q/2$  it follows that  $k < (q+1)h - \frac{7}{2}q$  and so  $(q+1)h - k > \frac{7}{2}q$  and from Equation (6) one gets

$$6k(k-3) > 7[k(k-1) - 6(q^2+1)]$$

and so

$$k^2 + 11k - 42(q^2 + 1) < 0$$

which gives  $k < 7q$ . But  $7q > k > (q+1)h - 4q$  gives  $h < 11$ , contracting our assumptions.

Thus,  $x \geq q/2$  and  $k \geq (q+1)h - 4q + q/2 = (q+1)h - \frac{7}{2}q$ .  $\square$

Thus, Theorem 3 is proved.

## References

- [1] A. BEUTELSPACHER: On Baer Subspaces of Finite Projective Spaces, *Math. Z.* **184** (1983), 301–319.
- [2] N. G. DE BRUIJN, P. ERDÖS: On a combinatorial problem, *Indag. Math.* **10** (1948), 421–423.
- [3] N. DURANTE, V. NAPOLITANO, D. OLANDA: On  $k$ -sets of class  $[1, h]$  in a planar space, *Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena* **50** (2002), no. 2, 305312.
- [4] N. DURANTE, D. OLANDA: On  $k$ -sets of type  $(2, h)$  in a planar space, *Ars. Combin.* **78** (2006), 201–209.

- [5] O. FERRI: Le calotte a due caratteri rispetto ai piani in uno spazio di Galois  $S_{r,q}$ , Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma, **IV** Ser. 6 (1980), 55–63.
- [6] R. CALDERBANK, W.M. KANTOR: The geometry of two-weight codes, Bull. London Math. Soc. **18** (1986), 97–122.
- [7] V. NAPOLITANO:  $k$ -sets of type  $(1, h)$  in finite planar spaces, Note Mat. , **29** no. 2 (2008), 193–201.
- [8] V. NAPOLITANO, D. OLANDA: Sets of type  $(3, h)_2$  in  $PG(3, q)$ , Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl. **23** (2012), 395–403 (DOI 10.4171/RLM/635).
- [9] M. TALLINI SCAFATI: Sui  $k$ -insiemi di uno spazio di Galois  $S_{r,q}$  a due soli caratteri nella dimensione  $d$ , Rend. Acc.Naz. Lincei **8** vol.LX (1976), 782-788.
- [10] J.A. THAS: A combinatorial problem, Geom. Dedicata **1**, (1973), no.2, 236-240.

