

TENSORNORM TECHNIQUES FOR THE (DF)-SPACE PROBLEM

A. DEFANT, K. FLORET (*)

Dedicated to the memory of Professor Gottfried Köthe

Abstract. *After the negative solution of Grothendieck's (DF)-space problem (is $E \otimes_\epsilon F$ a (DF)-space if E and F are?) it is interesting to know which classes of (DF)-spaces admit a positive solution. In this paper it is shown how to apply some recently developed tensor norm techniques to this question.*

1. The reader is assumed to have a basic knowledge of the theory of tensor norms ([10], [4], [5]) and its extension to locally convex spaces ([11], [5]). Throughout this paper all tensor norms are assumed to be finitely generated, i.e., tensor norms in the sense of Grothendieck. The problem of $E \otimes_\epsilon F$ being (DF) is somehow dual to the «problème des topologies» of Grothendieck: Is every $C \subset E \tilde{\otimes}_\pi F$ (where E, F are Fréchet spaces) contained in some $\overline{\Gamma(A \otimes B)}$ where $A \subset E$ and $B \subset F$ are bounded. Both problems were solved in the negative by Taskinen [15], [16]. More information about how these problems are related to each other, the reader finds in [1] and [2]. An alternative approach to the study of classes with a positive answer to the (DF)-space problem (within the setting of (DFT)-spaces) is given in [2].

2. If H is a finite dimensional Hilbert space and G a subspace of H (hence 1-complemented), then for every normed space E

$$E \otimes_\alpha G \xrightarrow{1} E \otimes_\alpha H \quad \text{and} \quad E \otimes_\alpha H \xrightarrow{1} E \otimes_\alpha H/G$$

is a metric injection or surjection, respectively. It follows exactly as in the proof for right-projective tensor norms ([5], section 20 and 35) that for all locally convex spaces E, F, G and all quotient mappings $Q : F \rightarrow G$ (i.e. surjective, continuous and open)

$$id_E \otimes Q : E \otimes_\alpha F \rightarrow E \otimes_\alpha G$$

is a quotient map – provided F (and hence G) is Hilbertizable.

(*) This paper was written while the first author visited Campinas under the Brazilian-German CNPq/GMD agreement.

Proposition 1. *Let α be a tensor norm.*

(1) *If E is a (DF)-space and $F = \text{ind}_{n \rightarrow} H_n$ a separated inductive limit of pre-Hilbert spaces, then*

$$E \otimes_{\alpha} F = \text{ind}_{n \rightarrow} (E \otimes_{\alpha} H_n)$$

holds topologically.

(2) *If E is a normed space and F a bornological, Hilbertizable (DF)-space, then $E \otimes_{\alpha} F$ is (DF).*

(3) *$E \otimes_{\alpha} F$ is a (DF)-space if E and F are bornological, Hilbertizable (DF)-spaces.*

Proof. (1) follows from the fact that

$$E \otimes_{\alpha} \left(\bigoplus_n H_n \right) = \bigoplus_n (E \otimes_{\alpha} H_n)$$

(see [5], 35.7), that $\bigoplus_n H_n$ is Hilbertizable and the remark just made (see also [8]). (2) and (3) are a consequence of this, since a (DF)-space is Hilbertizable if and only if it has a basis (B_n) of bounded sets such that all $\llbracket B_n \rrbracket$ are pre-Hilbert spaces (see e.g. [13], 6.4.2). ■

For $\alpha = \varepsilon$ a different proof of this result was first given by Hollstein [12].

3. For the non-Hilbertizable case recall first that a Banach space E is an \mathcal{L}_{∞} -space (in the sense of Linderstrauss-Pelczynski) if and only if $E \otimes_{\varepsilon} F$ is a (DF)-space whenever F is a (DF)-space (see [7] and also [5]). In particular (and this is the easy part of this result), $c_0 \otimes_{\varepsilon} F$ and $\ell_{\infty} \otimes_{\varepsilon} F$ are (DF)-spaces for each (DF)-space F . The proofs of the following results will essentially be based on three facts:

3.1. \mathcal{L}_p -local technique: Let E be a locally convex space, α and β tensor norms, and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ such that

$$E \otimes_{\alpha} \ell_p = E \otimes_{\beta} \ell_p$$

holds topologically. Then

$$E \otimes_{\alpha} F = E \otimes_{\beta} F$$

holds topologically for each locally convex space $E \in \text{space}(\mathcal{L}_p)$. (A locally convex E is said to be in $\text{space}(\mathcal{L}_p)$ if for each $s \in cs(E)$ there is an $r \in cs(E)$ such that the canonical map $\tilde{E}_r \rightarrow \tilde{E}_s$ is p -factorable – i.e. its bidual factors through some $L_p(\mu)$). For a proof see [5], 35.5.

3.2. Traced tensor norms: Let G be a normed space, α and β tensor norms and $\delta := \alpha \otimes_G \beta$ the traced tensor norm of α and β along G . Then, for all locally convex spaces E and F , the tensor contraction

$$(E \otimes_\beta G') \otimes_\pi (G \otimes_\alpha F) \twoheadrightarrow E \otimes_\delta F$$

$$(x \otimes g') \otimes (g \otimes y) \rightsquigarrow \langle g', g \rangle x \otimes y$$

is a quotient map.

See [3] and [5], 35.3 for a proof. Recall that the definition of $\alpha \otimes_G \beta$ was that the tensor contraction is a metric surjection for all normed spaces E and F .

3.3. If E and F are (DF)-spaces, $E \otimes_\pi F$ is a (DF)-space: This is well-known, see e.g. [14], p. 186.

4. Using the representation $\alpha/ = \varepsilon \otimes_{c_0} \alpha$ (see [5], 29.10) of the right-projective associate $\alpha/$ of the tensor norm α and looking at

$$(E \otimes_\alpha \ell_1) \otimes_\pi (c_0 \otimes_\varepsilon F) \twoheadrightarrow E \otimes_{\alpha/} F$$

immediately gives the

Remark. If E is normed and F a (DF)-space, then $E \otimes_{\alpha/} F$ is a (DF)-space for all tensor norms α .

This observation is the key to the following results. Since $E \otimes_\alpha \ell_1 = E \otimes_{\alpha/} \ell_1$ holds isometrically for all normed spaces E , the local technique from 3.1 gives that

$$E \otimes_\alpha F = E \otimes_{\alpha/} F$$

holds topologically for all locally convex spaces $F \in \text{space}(\mathcal{L}_1)$ and arbitrary E . The remark implies

Proposition 2. If F is a (DF)-space in $\text{space}(\mathcal{L}_1)$, then

$$E \otimes_\alpha F$$

is (DF) for each normed space E and each tensor norm α .

In particular, if $E, F \in \text{space}(\mathcal{L}_1)$ are (DF)-spaces, then $E \otimes_\varepsilon C'_2$ and $C_2 \otimes_\alpha F$ are (DF)-spaces as well. The formula $\alpha = \alpha \otimes_{C_2} \varepsilon$ (see [5], 29.8)

$$(E \otimes_\varepsilon C'_2) \otimes_\pi (C_2 \otimes_\alpha F) \twoheadrightarrow E \otimes_\alpha F$$

gives

Corollary 1. *If E and F are (DF)-spaces in space (\mathcal{L}_1) , then $E \otimes_\alpha F$ is a (DF)-space for all tensor norms α .*

The same reasoning and proposition 1 yields

Corollary 2. *If E is a (DF)-space in space (\mathcal{L}_1) and F a bornological, Hilbertizable (DF)-space, then $E \otimes_\alpha F$ is (DF) for all tensor norms α .*

5. The proof of the next result is based on a well-known fact due to Levy and Kadec saying that for $1 \leq q \leq 2$ the sequence space ℓ_q is an isometric subspace of some $L_1(\mu_q)$ (see e.g. [5], section 24) or dually: ℓ_p for $2 \leq p \leq \infty$ is a quotient of some $L_\infty(\mu_p)$.

Proposition 3. *If F is a (DF)-space in space (\mathcal{L}_∞) , then*

$$\ell_p \otimes_\varepsilon F$$

is a (DF)-space for $2 \leq p \leq \infty$.

Proof. Write ℓ_p as a quotient of some $L_\infty(\mu)$. By what has already been said $F \otimes_\varepsilon L_\infty(\mu)$ is a (DF)-space. Since $\ell_\infty \otimes_\varepsilon E = \ell_\infty \otimes_{\varepsilon/} E$ holds isometrically for each normed space E , local technique shows that

$$F \otimes_\varepsilon E = F \otimes_{\varepsilon/} E$$

holds topologically for each locally convex space E , and hence the conclusion follows from the fact that

$$F \otimes_\varepsilon L_\infty(\mu) = F \otimes_{\varepsilon/} L_\infty(\mu) \rightarrow F \otimes_{\varepsilon/} \ell_p = F \otimes_\varepsilon \ell_p$$

is a quotient mapping (see e.g. [5], 35.6). ■

Using $\ell_2 \otimes_{w_2} \ell_\infty = \ell_2 \otimes_\varepsilon \ell_\infty$ and $w_2 = \varepsilon \otimes_{\ell_2} \varepsilon$ (see [5], 29.3) local technique and proposition 1 imply

Corollary. *Let E be a bornological, Hilbertizable (DF)-space and $F \in \text{space}(\mathcal{L}_\infty)$ a (DF)-space, then $E \otimes_\varepsilon F$ is a (DF)-space.*

In [2] an example of an (LB)-space E_0 in space (\mathcal{L}_∞) , and a reflexive Banach space F is given such that $E_0 \otimes_\varepsilon F$ is not (DF). The relation

$$(E_0 \otimes_\varepsilon \ell_1) \otimes_\pi (c_0 \otimes_\varepsilon F) \rightarrow E_0 \otimes_{\varepsilon/} F = E_0 \otimes_\varepsilon F$$

implies that $E_0 \otimes_\varepsilon \ell_1$ is not (DF). We do not know whether $E \otimes_\varepsilon F$ is (DF) if E and F are (DF)-spaces in space (\mathcal{L}_∞) .

6. For bornological (DF)-spaces E and F the following table collects the main results:

$E \setminus F$	1	2	∞
1	YES	YES	NO
2	YES	YES	YES
∞	NO	YES	?

where, for example, the YES in the first square means that $E \otimes_{\epsilon} F$ is (DF) if E, F are in $\text{space}(\mathcal{L}_1)$ (Corollary 1).

REFERENCES

- [1] J. BONET, A. DEFANT, A. GALBIS, *Tensor products of Fréchet spaces or (DF)-spaces with a Banach space*, to appear in: J. Math. Anal. Appl.
- [2] J. BONET, J.C. DIAZ, J. TASKINEN, *Tensor stable Fréchet and (DF)-spaces*, Preprint 1990.
- [3] A. DEFANT, *Produkte von Tensornormen*, Habilitationsschrift, Oldenburg 1986.
- [4] A. DEFANT, K. FLORET, *Aspects of the metric theory of tensor products and operator ideals*, Note di Matematica 8 (1988) 181-281.
- [5] A. DEFANT, K. FLORET, *Tensor norms and operator ideals*, To appear in North-Holland Math. Stud.
- [6] A. DEFANT, W. GOVAERTS, *Tensor products and spaces of vector-valued continuous functions*, Manuscripta math. 55 (1986) 433-449.
- [7] A. DEFANT, K. FLORET, J. TASKINEN, *On the injective tensor product of (DF)-spaces*, Arch. Math. 57 (1991) 149-154.
- [8] K. FLORET, *Some aspects of the theory of locally convex inductive limits*, In "Functional Analysis: Survey and Recent Results II" (ed. K.D. Bierstedt, B. Fuchssteiner) North Holland Math. Studies 38 (1980) 205-237.
- [9] A. GROTHENDIECK, *Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucléaires*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 16 (1955).
- [10] A. GROTHENDIECK, *Résumé de la théorie métrique des produits tensoriels topologiques*, Bol. Soc. Mat. São Paulo 8 (1956) 1-79.
- [11] J. HARKSEN, *Charakterisierungen lokalkonvexer Räume mit Hilfe von Tensorprodukttopologien*, Math. Nachr. 106 (1982) 347-374.
- [12] R. HOLLSTEIN, *Tensor sequences and inductive limits with local partition of unity*, Manuscripta math. 52 (1985) 227-249.
- [13] H. JUNEK, *Operatorenideale und lokalkonvexe Räume*, Teubner-Texte 56, 1983.
- [14] G. KÖTHE, *Topological vector spaces II*, Springer 1979.
- [15] J. TASKINEN, *The projective tensor product of Fréchet-Montel spaces*, Studia Math. 91 (1988) 17-30.
- [16] J. TASKINEN, *Counterexamples to the "Problème des topologies" of Grothendieck*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. AI Math. Dissertationes 63 (1986).

Received December 28, 1990
 Andreas Defant, Klaus Floret
 Fachbereich Mathematik
 Universität
 2900 Oldenburg
 Germany

Klaus Floret IMECC / Unicamp
 C.P. 6065
 13.081 Campinas / S.P.
 Brazil