

DEFORMATIONS OF ZERO-DIMENSIONAL INTERSECTION SCHEMES AND RESIDUES

ERNST KUNZ, ROLF WALDI

Dedicated to the memory of Professor Gottfried Köthe

1. INTRODUCTION

Let K be an algebraically closed field and $\mathbb{A}_K^n = \text{Spec } K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ the affine n -space over K ($n > 0$). For a closed point $P \in \mathbb{A}_K^n$ let

$$\mathcal{O}_P = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]_{\mathfrak{m}_P}$$

be the local ring of \mathbb{A}_K^n at P where \mathfrak{m}_P is the maximal ideal of $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ corresponding to P . If non-constant polynomials $f_1, \dots, f_n \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ are given, we denote by $S := f_1 \cap \dots \cap f_n$ the intersection scheme of the hypersurfaces $f_i = 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). We assume that these hypersurfaces have no common points at infinity which is equivalent with the fact that their degree forms Gf_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) form a regular sequence of $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. Moreover it follows then that S is zero-dimensional. So S may be regarded as a finite set of closed points $P_j \in \mathbb{A}_K^n$ ($j = 1, \dots, h$), namely the set of common zeros of the f_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$), called the *support* of S , together with a local ring

$$\mathcal{O}_{S, P_j} := \mathcal{O}_{P_j} / (f_1, \dots, f_n)$$

at each point $P_j \in \text{Supp } S$. The rings \mathcal{O}_{S, P_j} ($j = 1, \dots, h$) are finite-dimensional K -algebras and

$$\mu_{P_j}(f_1, \dots, f_n) := \dim_K \mathcal{O}_{S, P_j}$$

is the intersection multiplicity of the hypersurfaces $f_i = 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) at P_j . We set $\mu_P(f_1, \dots, f_n) = 0$, if $P \notin \text{Supp } S$. We may also look at $f_1 \cap \dots \cap f_n$ as being a zero-dimensional intersection of n projective hypersurfaces where the hyperplane at infinity is chosen to avoid all intersection points. The number $d := \prod_{i=1}^n \deg f_i$ is called the *degree* of $f_1 \cap \dots \cap f_n$.

Definition 1.1. We say that $f_1 \cap \dots \cap f_n$ is a transversal complete intersection at $P \in \mathbb{A}_K^n$ (or that the hypersurfaces $f_i = 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) have a normal crossing at P) if

$\mu_P(f_1, \dots, f_n) = 1$. We also say that $S = f_1 \cap \dots \cap f_n$ is a transversal complete intersection if it is so at each $P \in \text{Supp } S$.

It is known and easy to see that S is a transversal complete intersection at P if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- a) $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ is a regular system of parameters of \mathcal{O}_P .
- b) The Jacobian determinant

$$J := \frac{\partial (f_1, \dots, f_n)}{\partial (X_1, \dots, X_n)}$$

does not vanish at P .

Moreover by Bézout’s theorem S is globally a transversal complete intersection if and only if $\text{Supp } S$ consists of d distinct points. It is often advantageous to be in this situation. Therefore in algebraic geometry for $K = \mathbb{C}$ it is a standard method to change the coefficients of the polynomials f_i «a little» in order to pass from the general case to the case of a transversal complete intersection. Then continuity arguments may be applied to transfer result which are known in this situation to the general case.

For an arbitrary K this method is not directly applicable, however we will show in the present note how it can be modified to work for a general algebraically closed field K . As an illustration we then apply it to the theory of residues of differential n -forms on \mathbb{A}_K^n .

2. DEFORMATIONS

We write $K[X]$ for $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ in the sequel. Let $S = f_1 \cap \dots \cap f_n$ be a zero-dimensional intersection scheme as in the introduction. In particular $\{Gf_1, \dots, Gf_n\}$ is a regular sequence and $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ a quasiregular sequence of $K[X]$ where the latter means that $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ is a regular sequence of \mathcal{O}_P for each $P \in \text{Supp } S$.

Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a complete noetherian local K -algebra with residue field K , for example a power series algebra over K . Assume R is a domain and let L be the algebraic closure of the quotient field $Q(R)$ of R . The canonical epimorphism $R \rightarrow K$ modulo \mathfrak{m} induces an epimorphism

$$\varphi : R[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow K[X_1, \dots, X_n] \quad (\varphi(X_i) = X_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)) .$$

Choose $f_1^*, \dots, f_n^* \in R[X]$ such that

$$\deg f_i^* = \deg f_i \text{ and } \varphi(f_i^*) = f_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

Then it is clear that $\varphi(Gf_i^*) = Gf_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). Since $\{Gf_1, Gf_n\}$ was a regular sequence in $K[X]$, the same holds for $\{Gf_1^*, \dots, Gf_n^*\}$ in $R[X]$ by the local flatness criterion ([M], Thm. 22.5 Cor.). Then $\{Gf_1^*, \dots, Gf_n^*\}$ is likewise a regular sequence in $L[X]$. In particular the intersection scheme $S^* := f_1^* \cap \dots \cap f_n^*$ in \mathbb{A}_L^n is zerodimensional.

Definition 2.1. *The scheme S^* is called a deformation of S with base ring R .*

Contrary to what was said above about $K = \mathbb{C}$ the deformation S^* «lives» in general in an affine space different from \mathbf{A}_K^n . However S and S^* are closely connected as we are going to show now.

Let

$$A := K[X]/(f_1, \dots, f_n) \text{ and } A^* := L[X]/(f_1^*, \dots, f_n^*)$$

be the affine coordinate algebras of S resp. S^* and let

$$B := R[X]/(f_1^*, \dots, f_n^*).$$

By the Chinese remainder theorem

$$(1) \quad A = \prod_{P \in \text{Supp } S} \mathcal{O}_{S,P}, \quad A^* = \prod_{Q \in \text{Supp } S^*} \mathcal{O}_{S^*,Q}.$$

Further $A = B/\mathfrak{m} B$ and $A^* = L \otimes_R B$.

Proposition 2.2. *A^* is an L -algebra of dimension d and B is a free R -module of rank d .*

Proof. Since the hyperplanes $f_i^* = 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) have no common points at infinity the first assertion in connection with (1) is Bézout's theorem. In order to prove the second it suffices to show that B is generated as an R -module by d elements. Let $\text{gr} B$ be the graded ring with respect to the degree filtration on $R[X]$. By [KK], 1.11 it is enough to prove that $\text{gr} B = R[X]/(Gf_1^*, \dots, Gf_n^*)$ is generated as an R -module by d elements.

But $\text{gr} B/\mathfrak{m} \text{gr} B = K[X]/(Gf_1, \dots, Gf_n) = \text{gr} A$ is a K -algebra of dimension d , the Gf_i forming a regular sequence of $K[X]$. By Nakayama it suffices to show that $\text{gr} B$ is a finitely generated R -module.

This is certainly true for its homogeneous components $\text{gr}^i B$ ($i \in \mathbb{N}$). But $\text{gr}^i B/\mathfrak{m} \text{gr}^i B$ vanishes for large i being the homogeneous component of degree i of the finite-dimensional K -algebra $\text{gr} B/\mathfrak{m} \text{gr} B$. Again by Nakayama $\text{gr}^i B$ vanishes for large i and $\text{gr} B$ is finitely generated over R . Q.E.D.

Proposition 2.3. *Under the assumptions of 2.2 we have*

a) *For $P = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \text{Supp } S$*

$$\mathfrak{A}_P := (\mathfrak{m}, X_1 - a_1, \dots, X_n - a_n)$$

is a maximal ideal of $R[X]$ with $f_i^ \in \mathfrak{A}_P$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) and the map*

$$\text{Supp } S \rightarrow \text{Max } B \quad (P \mapsto \mathfrak{A}_P / (f_1^*, \dots, f_n^*))$$

is a bijection.

b) *There is a canonical decomposition*

$$B = \prod_{P \in \text{Supp } S} B_P$$

with $B_P := R[X]_{\mathfrak{A}_P} / (f_1^*, \dots, f_n^*)$, and B_P is a free R -module of rank $\mu_P(f_1, \dots, f_n)$.

Proof. a) Since B is finite over R by 2.2 the maximal ideals of B lie over \mathfrak{m} , hence $\text{Max } B$ and $\text{Max } A$ are in one-to-one correspondence. Further $\text{Max } A$ and $\text{Supp } S$ are in natural one-to-one correspondence, hence a) follows.

b) Since B is finite over a complete local ring we have by the Chinese remainder theorem

$$B = B_1 \times \dots \times B_h$$

where the B_j are the localizations of B at its maximal ideals. If the maximal ideal is $\mathfrak{A}_{P_j} / (f_1^*, \dots, f_n^*)$ for $P_j \in \text{Supp } S$, then $B_j = B_{P_j}$.

As a homomorphic image of B each B_P ($P \in \text{Supp } (S)$) is finite over R . Let \mathfrak{m}_P be the maximal ideal of P in A . Since $B_P / \mathfrak{m}_P B_P = A_{\mathfrak{m}_P} = \mathcal{O}_{S,P}$ we obtain by Nakayama that B_P is generated by $\mu_P(f_1, \dots, f_n)$ elements. But $B = \prod_{P \in \text{Supp } S} B_P$ is free of rank $d = \sum_{P \in \text{Supp } S} \mu_P(f_1, \dots, f_n)$. Hence each factor B_P must be free of rank $\mu_P(f_1, \dots, f_n)$.

For the next proposition we need a lemma

Lemma 2.4. *The integral closure \overline{R} of R in L is a local ring with residue field K .*

Proof. Write $\overline{R} = \cup S$ where S runs over all rings with $R \subset S \subset \overline{R}$ such that S is finite over R . By the Chinese remainder theorem each such S is a direct product of its localizations at the maximal ideals. Being a domain S can therefore have only one maximal ideal, call it \mathfrak{m}_S .

Each $\mathfrak{A} \in \text{Max } \overline{R}$ lies over a maximal ideal of S , hence $\mathfrak{A} \cap S = \mathfrak{m}_S$ and $\mathfrak{A} = \cup \mathfrak{m}_S$. Therefore \overline{R} has only one maximal ideal. Its residue field is an algebraic extension of K . Since K is algebraically closed it must be K .

Proposition 2.5. *Under the assumptions of 2.2 let $Q = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \text{Supp } S^*$. Then*

a) $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \overline{R}$.

b) If a_i denotes the residue class of α_i in K ($i = 1, \dots, n$) then $P := (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \text{Supp } S$, hence there is a natural map

$$\eta : \text{Supp } S^* \rightarrow \text{Supp } S \quad ((\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \mapsto (a_1, \dots, a_n))$$

c) η is surjective and for each $P \in \text{Supp } S$ there is a canonical isomorphism of L -algebras

$$L \otimes_R B_P \cong \prod_{Q \in \eta^{-1}(P)} A_Q^*$$

where $A_Q^* = \mathcal{O}_{S^*,Q}$ is the localization of A^* at the maximal ideal corresponding to Q .

Proof. a) Let $\mathfrak{m}_Q \in \text{Max } A^*$ be the maximal ideal which corresponds to Q and let $\varepsilon_Q : A^* \rightarrow A^*/\mathfrak{m}_Q$ be the canonical epimorphism. Clearly $A^*/\mathfrak{m}_Q = L$. Let x_i^* denote the residue class of X_i in A^* . Then $\varepsilon_Q(x_i^*) = \alpha_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). Since x_i^* is integral over R by 2.2 its image $\alpha_i \in L$ must be integral over R , too, hence $\alpha_i \in \bar{R}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$).

b) Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} R[X] & \xrightarrow{\text{mod}(f_1^*, \dots, f_n^*)} & B & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_Q} & R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] \subset \bar{R} \\ \varphi \downarrow & & \bar{\varphi} \downarrow & & \varepsilon \downarrow \\ K[X] & \xrightarrow{\text{mod}(f_1, \dots, f_n)} & A & \xrightarrow{\bar{\varepsilon}_Q} & K \end{array}$$

where ε is the canonical epimorphism onto the residue field, $\bar{\varphi}$ is induced by φ and $\bar{\varepsilon}_Q$ is induced by ε_Q . Let x_i denote the image of X_i in A . Then $\bar{\varepsilon}_Q(x_i) = \alpha_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$).

c) By 2.3c) we have

$$A^* = L \otimes_R B = \prod_{P \in \text{Supp } S} L \otimes_R B_P.$$

Here $L \otimes_R B_P$ is an L -algebra of dimension $\mu_P(f_1, \dots, f_n) \neq 0$, hence it has at least one maximal ideal. Let $\mathfrak{m}_Q \in \text{Max } A^*$ be the corresponding maximal ideal of A^* .

If $Q = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ and $P = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$, then ε_Q induces an R -epimorphism $B_P \rightarrow R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]$, since all factors of A^* different from $L \otimes_R B_P$ are mapped to 0 by ε_Q . Then $\bar{\varepsilon}_Q$ induces a K -epimorphism $\mathcal{O}_{S,P} = B_P/\mathfrak{m} B_P \rightarrow K$. But there is only one such epimorphism, the canonical epimorphism onto the residue field. It maps x_i to α_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$), hence $\eta(Q) = P$ and η is surjective.

It is now clear that the maximal ideals of $L \otimes_R B_P$ are of the form \mathfrak{m}_Q for $Q \in \eta^{-1}(P)$. Therefore the product decomposition of c) follows again from the Chinese remainder theorem.

Corollary 2.6. For each $P \in \text{Supp } S$

$$\mu_P(f_1, \dots, f_n) = \sum_{Q \in \eta^{-1}(P)} \mu_Q(f_1^*, \dots, f_n^*).$$

3. SMOOTHING INTERSECTION SCHEMES

We shall show now that $S = f_1 \cap \dots \cap f_n$ can be «smoothed» in the following sense:

Theorem 3.1. For $S = f_1 \cap \dots \cap f_n$ as in the introduction there exists a deformation $S^* = f_1^* \cap \dots \cap f_n^*$ of S such that

- a) S^* is a transversal complete intersection.
- b) $Gf_i^* = Gf_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$).

Proof. We may assume without loss of generality that $\deg f_i > 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$, $\deg f_i = 1$ for $i = m + 1, \dots, n$. Necessarily $\{f_{m+1}, \dots, f_n\}$ are linearly independent over K , so we may also assume that $f_i = X_i$ for $i = m + 1, \dots, n$. In case $m = 0$ the proof is trivial with $f_i^* = f_i = X_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). So let $m > 0$.

Choose an indeterminates u, u_1, \dots, u_m , let $R = K[[u, u_1, \dots, u_m]]$ be the power series algebra over K in these indeterminates, w its maximal ideal and L the algebraic closure of $Q(R)$. Set

$$f_i^* = f_i + uX_i + u_i f_i^* = f_i + uX_i + u_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, m)$$

$$f_i^* = f_i = X_i \quad (i = m + 1, \dots, n).$$

Then $Gf_i^* = Gf_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, as $\deg f_i > 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Since $\{Gf_1, \dots, Gf_n\}$ was a regular sequence in $K[X]$ it is clear that $\{Gf_1^*, \dots, Gf_n^*\}$ is a regular sequence in $L[X]$ and $S^* := f_1^* \cap \dots \cap f_n^*$ is a deformation which already satisfies 3.1 b). In order to prove a) we wish to show that the Jacobian determinant $J^* := \frac{\partial(f_1^*, \dots, f_n^*)}{\partial(X_1^*, \dots, X_n^*)} = \frac{\partial(f_1^*, \dots, f_m^*)}{\partial(X_1^*, \dots, X_m^*)}$ does not vanish at any $Q \in \text{Supp } S^*$.

For $P = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \text{Supp } S$ let $\mathfrak{m}_P := (\mathfrak{m}, X_1 - a_1, \dots, X_n - a_n) \subset R[X]$ and let $B_P = R[X]_{\mathfrak{m}_P} / (f_1^*, \dots, f_n^*)$. Remember that by 2.3 b) the ring B_P is finite over R , hence B_P is a complete local ring. After a translation we may assume that $a_1 = \dots = a_n = 0$. Then

$$B_P = \widehat{B}_P = R[[X_1, \dots, X_n]] / (f_1^*, \dots, f_n^*).$$

But $f_i^* = u_i + \varphi_i^*$ with $\varphi_i^* \in K[[u, X_1, \dots, X_n]]$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $f_i^* = X_i$ for $i = m + 1, \dots, n$. It follows that the canonical homomorphism

$$K[[u, X_1, \dots, X_m]] \rightarrow B_P$$

is an isomorphism. In particular B_P is a domain. By 2.3 c) we know that B is the direct product of the B_P for $P \in \text{Supp } S$.

In the Jacobian determinant J^* the indeterminates u_i ($i = 1, \dots, m$) do not occur and as a function of the u_i ($i = 1, \dots, m$) it is a polynomial in $K[X_1, \dots, X_n][u]$ whose degree form with respect to u is u^m . Hence the image of J^* in B_P does not vanish for any $P \in \text{Supp } S$ and the image of J^* in B is not a zerodivisor. Then also its image in

$$A^* := L[X] / (f_1^*, \dots, f_n^*) = L \otimes_R B$$



is not a zerodivisor, L/R being a flat extension. Call this image j^* .

We can write $A^* = \prod_{Q \in \text{Supp } S^*} A_Q^*$ with $A_Q^* = \mathcal{O}_{S^*,Q}$. The A_Q^* are artinian local rings. Since j^* is not a zerodivisor in A^* it follows that the image of j^* in A_Q^* is a unit for any $Q \in \text{Supp } S^*$, hence the image of j^* in the residue field of A_Q^* does not vanish. But this image is just $J^*(Q)$.

Corollary 3.2. (*«Dynamical description» of the intersection multiplicity*). *Let S^* be as in the theorem and let $\eta : \text{Supp } S^* \rightarrow \text{Supp } S$ be the map described in 2.5. Then $\eta^{-1}(P)$ consists for each $P \in \text{Supp } S$ of exactly $\mu_P(f_1, \dots, f_n)$ distinct points.*

4. RESIDUES

For $S = f_1 \cap \dots \cap f_n$ as in the introduction, a differential form $\omega = g dX_1 \dots dX_n \in \Omega_{K[X]/K}^n$ ($g \in K[X]$), and a closed point $P \in \mathbb{A}_K^n$ we consider now the residue

$$\text{Res}_P \left[\begin{matrix} \omega \\ f_1, \dots, f_n \end{matrix} \right].$$

We may regard these residues as generalizations of the intersection multiplicity $\mu_P(f_1, \dots, f_n)$. At least in characteristic 0 the intersection multiplicity is the residue for $\omega = df_1 \dots df_n$ (see (9) below). As ω varies the residues describe the behavior of the intersection S at P more closely than the intersection multiplicity does alone.

We write $f = \{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ in the sequel. Let us recall the construction of the residue (due to [SS1], [SS2]) and its principal properties. The «canonical module» $\omega_{A/K} := \text{Hom}_K(A, K)$ of A/K is a free A -module of rank 1. A basis element $\sigma : A \rightarrow K$ of $\omega_{A/K}$ is called a «trace» of A/K . To the presentation $A = K[X]/(f)$ there is always associated a trace which is obtained as follows ([SS1], § 4; see also [K], app. F). Let x_i be the image of X_i in A ($i = 1, \dots, n$), set $A^e := A \otimes_K A$, and let I be the kernel of $A^e \rightarrow A$ ($a \otimes b \mapsto ab$). Then $\text{Ann}_{A^e} I$ is in a natural manner an A -module and there exists a canonical isomorphism of A -modules

$$\phi : \text{Ann}_{A^e} I \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_A(\omega_{A/K}, A)$$

with $\phi(\sum a_i \otimes b_i)(\ell) = \sum \ell(a_i) b_i$ for all $\sum a_i \otimes b_i \in \text{Ann}_{A^e} I$ and all $\ell \in \omega_{A/K}$. In $A[X] := A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ there are equations

$$(2) \quad f_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} (X_j - x_j) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n; a_{ij} \in A[X]).$$

Let Δ_x^f denote the image of $\det(a_{ij})$ in $A^e = A[X]/(f)A[X]$. Then $\text{Ann}_{A^e} I = A \cdot \Delta_x^f$. The (unique) element $\tau_f^x \in \omega_{A/K}$ with $\phi(\Delta_x^f)(\tau_f^x) = 1$ is then a trace of A/K .

Its relation to the standard trace $\sigma_{A/K}$ of the algebra A/K (which in general is not a «trace» in our present sense) is as follows: let $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$ denote the image of the Jacobian determinant J in A . Then in $\omega_{A/K}$

$$(3) \quad \sigma_{A/K} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \cdot \tau_f^x$$

(see [SS1], 4.2 or [K], F. 23).

Consider now the decomposition $A = \prod_{P \in \text{Supp } S} A_P$ and read (2) as equations in $A_P[X]$. Then in the same way as $\tau_f^x : A \rightarrow K$ a trace $(\tau_f^x)_P : A_P \rightarrow K$ can be constructed. It is easily seen that $(\tau_f^x)_P$ is the restriction of τ_f^x to the direct factor A_P . Hence for $a = (\{a_P\}_{P \in \text{Supp } S})$, $a_P \in A_P$ we have

$$(4) \quad \tau_f^x(a) = \sum_{P \in \text{Supp } S} (\tau_f^x)_P(a_P).$$

Moreover for the standard trace $\sigma_{A_P/K}$

$$(5) \quad \sigma_{A_P/K} = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right)_P \cdot (\tau_f^x)_P$$

where $(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x})_P$ is the image of J in A_P . We mention that for these statements K need not be a field but can be an arbitrary commutative ring. However it is necessary that f is a quasi-regular sequence in $K[X]$ and A is at least a finitely generated projective K -module (see [SS1] and [K], app. F).

Now for $\omega = g dX$ as above let γ (resp. γ_P) be the image of g in A (in A_P). Then

$$\int \begin{bmatrix} \omega \\ f \end{bmatrix} := \tau_f^x(\gamma)$$

is called the *integral* of ω with respect to (the «integration path») f and for $P \in \text{Supp } S$

$$\text{Res}_P \begin{bmatrix} \omega \\ f \end{bmatrix} := (\tau_f^x)_P(\gamma_P)$$

is called the *residue* of ω at P with respect to f . We set $\text{Res}_P \begin{bmatrix} \omega \\ f \end{bmatrix} = 0$ if $P \notin \text{Supp } S$.

It can be shown that integral and residue do not depend on the coordinates X_1, \dots, X_n used in their construction. They are K -linear in ω and there is a «transformation formula» ([SS2], 1.1) or [K], F. 26) which describes how they depend on f . Clearly by (4)

$$(6) \quad \int \begin{bmatrix} \omega \\ f \end{bmatrix} = \sum_P \text{Res}_P \begin{bmatrix} \omega \\ f \end{bmatrix}$$

and by construction for $\omega = g dx$

$$(7) \quad \int \begin{bmatrix} \omega \\ f \end{bmatrix} = 0 \quad \text{for } g \in (f)$$

$$(8) \quad \text{Res}_P \begin{bmatrix} \omega \\ f \end{bmatrix} = 0 \quad \text{for } g \in (f)A_P.$$

Moreover by (5)

$$\text{Res}_P \begin{bmatrix} df_1 \dots df_n \\ f \end{bmatrix} = \left(\tau_f^x \right)_P \left(\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right)_P \right) = \sigma_{A_P/K}(1) = \dim_K A_P \cdot 1_K$$

hence

$$(9) \quad \text{Res}_P \begin{bmatrix} df \\ f \end{bmatrix} = \mu_P(f) \cdot 1_K.$$

For transversal complete intersections there is a simple formula for the residue.

Proposition 4.1. *Let S be a transversal complete intersection at P . Then*

$$\text{Res}_P \begin{bmatrix} \omega \\ f \end{bmatrix} = \frac{g(P)}{J(P)}.$$

Proof. The assumption implies that $A_P = K$, $\sigma_{A_P/K} = \text{id}_K$, and that $J(P) \neq 0$. Hence the definition of the residue in connection with (5) shows that

$$\text{Res}_P \begin{bmatrix} g dX \\ f \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{J(P)} \sigma_{A_P/K}(g(P)) = \frac{g(P)}{J(P)}.$$

By smoothing $f_1 \cap \dots \cap f_n$ we shall deduce a similar formula for the residue in the general case. Let $S^* = f_1^* \cap \dots \cap f_n^*$ be a deformation of S as in section 2. We keep the

notations introduced there. The previous constructions can be applied to $B = R[X]/(f^*) = \prod_{P \in \text{Supp } S} B_P$, hence there are traces

$$\tau_{f^*}^x : B \rightarrow R, \left(\tau_{f^*}^x\right)_P : B_P \rightarrow R.$$

For $\beta \in B (\beta \in B_P)$ let $\beta^\sim \in A (\beta^\sim \in A_P)$ denote the image by the reduction modulo \mathfrak{m} B . In particular $\beta^\sim \in K$ for $\beta \in R$. It is known that the above traces are compatible with base change ([K], F. 27) which implies in our present situation the following formulas:

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} \tau_{f^*}^x(\beta^\sim) &= \left(\tau_{f^*}^x\right)(\beta)^\sim \quad \text{for } \beta \in B \\ \left(\tau_{f^*}^x\right)_P(\beta^\sim) &= \left(\tau_{f^*}^x\right)_P(\beta)^\sim \quad \text{for } \beta \in B_P. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover $L \otimes_R \tau_{f^*}^x$ is the trace of A^*/L associated to the presentation $A^* = L[X]/(f^*)$. We denote this trace again by $\tau_{f^*}^x$ and write $\left(\tau_{f^*}^x\right)_P$ for $L \otimes \left(\tau_{f^*}^x\right)_P$. Then $\left(\tau_{f^*}^x\right)_P$ is the restriction of $\tau_{f^*}^x$ to the direct factor $L \otimes_R B_P$ of A^* . Since

$$L \otimes_R B_P = \prod_{Q \in \eta^{-1}(P)} A_Q^*$$

we have for $\{\alpha_Q\} \in \prod A_Q^* (\alpha_Q \in A_Q^*)$

$$(11) \quad \left(\tau_{f^*}^x\right)_P(\{\alpha_Q\}) = \sum_{Q \in \eta^{-1}(P)} \left(\tau_{f^*}^x\right)_Q(\alpha_Q).$$

Now combining (10) and (11) with the definition of residues we obtain

Theorem 4.2. *Let $S^* = f_1^* \cap \dots \cap f_n^*$ be a deformation of S . For $\omega = g dX_1 \dots dX_n$ let $g^* \in R[X]$ be a preimage of g and let $\omega^* := g^* dX_1 \dots dX_n \in \Omega_{L[X]/L}^n$. Then*

$$\int \left[\begin{matrix} \omega^* \\ f^* \end{matrix} \right] \in R, \quad \sum_{Q \in \eta^{-1}(P)} \text{Res}_Q \left[\begin{matrix} \omega^* \\ f^* \end{matrix} \right] \in R$$

and

$$\int \left[\begin{matrix} \omega \\ f \end{matrix} \right] = \int \left[\begin{matrix} \omega^* \\ f^* \end{matrix} \right]^\sim, \quad \text{Res}_P \left[\begin{matrix} \omega \\ f \end{matrix} \right] = \left(\sum_{Q \in \eta^{-1}(P)} \text{Res}_Q \left[\begin{matrix} \omega^* \\ f^* \end{matrix} \right] \right)^\sim.$$

Proof. Let γ_P^* (resp. γ_Q^*) be the image of g^* in B_P (in A_Q^*). Then $(\gamma_P^*)^\sim$ is the image of g in A_P and by (10) and (11)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}_P \begin{bmatrix} \omega \\ f \end{bmatrix} &= \left(\tau_f^x \right)_P \left((\gamma_P^*)^\sim \right) = \left(\left(\tau_{f^*}^x \right)_P (\gamma_P^*) \right)^\sim = \left(\sum_{Q \in \eta^{-1}(P)} \left(\tau_{f^*}^x \right)_Q (\gamma_Q^*) \right)^\sim = \\ &= \left(\sum_{Q \in \eta^{-1}(P)} \text{Res}_Q \begin{bmatrix} \omega^* \\ f^* \end{bmatrix} \right)^\sim. \end{aligned}$$

The statement about the integral follows now from (6).

Corollary 4.3. *If S^* is a transversal complete intersection and $J^* := \frac{\partial(f_1^*, \dots, f_n^*)}{\partial(X_1, \dots, X_n)}$, then*

$$\text{Res}_P \begin{bmatrix} \omega \\ f \end{bmatrix} = \left(\sum_{Q \in \eta^{-1}(P)} \frac{g^*(Q)}{J^*(Q)} \right)^\sim \quad \text{and} \quad \int \begin{bmatrix} \omega \\ f \end{bmatrix} = \left(\sum_{Q \in \text{Supp } S^*} \frac{g^*(Q)}{J^*(Q)} \right)^\sim.$$

For $f_1 \cap \dots \cap f_n$ as at the beginning write $Gf_i = \sum_{j=1}^n d_{ij} X_j$ ($i = 1, \dots, n; d_{ij} \in K[X]$ homogeneous of degree $\deg f_i - 1$) and let d_X^{Gf} denote the image of $\det(d_{ij})$ in $\text{gr } A$. It is known ([KK], 2.8) that this image generates $\text{gr}^\rho A$ where $\rho := \sum \deg f_i - n$, and that $\text{gr}^\rho A$ is the socle of $\text{gr } A$ and a one-dimensional vector space over K . Therefore for each $h \in K[X]$ with $\deg h = \rho$ there is a unique $\kappa \in K$ such that

$$(12) \quad Gh \equiv \kappa \cdot \det(d_{ij}) \pmod{(Gf_1, \dots, Gf_n)}.$$

We set $\kappa = 0$ if $\deg h < \rho$. Moreover, since $\text{gr}^\rho A$ is the homogeneous component of highest degree of $\text{gr } A$, it follows from [KK], 1.11a) that for each $g \in K[X]$ there is an $h \in K[X]$ with

$$(13) \quad \deg h \leq \rho \quad \text{and} \quad g \equiv h \pmod{(f_1, \dots, f_n)}.$$

A classical theorem of Jacobi states

Theorem 4.4. *If $f_1 \cap \dots \cap f_n$ is a transversal complete intersection and $h \in K[X]$ a polynomial with $\deg h \leq \rho$, then*

$$\sum_{P \in \text{Supp } S} \frac{h(P)}{J(P)} = \kappa.$$

The terms on the left hand side of this formula are residues by 4.1. The same is true for κ as well:

Proposition 4.5. *Let O denote the origin of \mathbb{A}_K^n . Then*

$$\kappa = \operatorname{Res}_O \begin{bmatrix} Gh dX_1 \dots dX_n \\ Gf_1, \dots, Gf_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof. It is known that $\tau_{Gf}^x(d_X^{Gf}) = 1$ and τ_{Gf}^x is homogeneous of degree $-\rho$ ([KK], 2.8). Hence by (12) and the definition of the residue

$$\operatorname{Res}_O \begin{bmatrix} Gh dX \\ Gf \end{bmatrix} = \tau_{Gf}^x \left(\kappa \cdot d_X^{Gf} \right) = \kappa$$

in case $\deg h = \rho$, and the residue vanishes if $\deg h < \rho$.

Now we have shown that the Jacobian formula is a special case of

Residue Theorem 4.6. *For $\omega = g dX$ choose $h \in K[X]$ with $\deg h \leq \rho$ and $g \equiv h \pmod{(f)}$. Then*

$$(14) \quad \int \begin{bmatrix} \omega \\ f \end{bmatrix} = \int \begin{bmatrix} h dX \\ f \end{bmatrix} = \sum_P \operatorname{Res}_P \begin{bmatrix} h dX \\ f \end{bmatrix} = \operatorname{Res}_O \begin{bmatrix} Gh dX \\ Gf \end{bmatrix}.$$

Conversely let $S^* := f_1^* \cap \dots \cap f_n^*$ be a deformation of $f_1 \cap \dots \cap f_n$ as in 3.1, in particular a transversal complete intersection. For h as in 4.6 choose h^* in 4.3 to be h . Then by 4.3 the Jacobian formula for $f_1^* \cap \dots \cap f_n^*$ implies the residue theorem 4.6: the first equality in (14) follows from (7). Further

$$(15) \quad \int \begin{bmatrix} h dX \\ f \end{bmatrix} = \left(\sum_Q \frac{h(Q)}{J^*(G)} \right) \sim \left(\operatorname{Res}_O \begin{bmatrix} Gh dX \\ Gf \end{bmatrix} \right) \sim \operatorname{Res}_O \begin{bmatrix} Gh dX \\ Gf \end{bmatrix}$$

where we have used that $Gf^* = Gf$ and that $\operatorname{Res}_O \left[\begin{smallmatrix} Gh dX \\ Gf \end{smallmatrix} \right]$ considered as a residue in \mathbb{A}_L^n is the same as the residue in \mathbb{A}_K^n (base change). Thus the proof of the residue theorem can be reduced to that of the Jacobian formula by smoothing $f_1 \cap \dots \cap f_n$. However the proof of the residue theorem given in [KK], 4.8 by deforming S to $\operatorname{Spec}(\operatorname{gr} A)$ does not require to show that Jacobian formula in advance. The present residue theorem is also a very special case of a general residue theorem in Grothendieck duality theory ([HK]).

In (15) we have used the Jacobian formula for S^* . It has turned out that $\sum_Q \frac{h(Q)}{J^*(G)}$ is already an element of K . Thus we obtain the following formula for the computation of the integral.

Proposition 4.7. *For ω and h as in 4.6, and S^* as above*

$$\int \begin{bmatrix} \omega \\ f \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{Q \in \operatorname{Supp} S^*} \frac{h(Q)}{J^*(G)}.$$

REFERENCES

- [HK] R. HÜBL, E. KUNZ, *Regular differential forms and duality for projective morphisms*, J. reine ang. Math., **410** (1990), pp. 84-108.
- [K] E. KUNZ, *Kähler Differentials*, Vieweg. Braunschweig-Wiesbaden, 1986.
- [KK] M. KREUZER, E. KUNZ, *Traces in strict Frobenius algebra and strict complete intersections*, J. reine ang. Math., **381** (1987), pp. 181-204.
- [M] H. MATSUMURA, *Commutative ring theory*, Cambridge, 1986.
- [SS1] G. SCHEJA, U. STORCH, *Über Spurfunktionen bei vollständigen Durchschnitten*, J. reine ang. Math., **278/279** (1975), pp. 174-190.
- [SS2] G. SCHEJA, U. STORCH, *Residuen bei vollständigen Durchschnitten*, Math. Nachr., **91** (1979), pp. 157-170.

Received October 31, 1990
E. Kunz, R. Waldi
Universität Regensburg
Fakultät für Mathematik
Universitätsstrasse 31
D - 8400 Regensburg
Germany