# Automorphisms of Group Extensions

### Derek J.S. Robinson

Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801 USA dsrobins@illinois.edu

**Abstract.** After a brief survey of the theory of group extensions and, in particular, of automorphisms of group extensions, we describe some recent reduction theorems for the inducibility problem for pairs of automorphisms.

Keywords: Group extension, automorphism

MSC 2000 classification: 20D45, 20E36

# **1** Background from Extension Theory

A group extension  $\mathbf{e}$  of N by Q is a short exact sequence of groups and homomorphisms

$$\mathbf{e}: \quad N \xrightarrow{\mu} G \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} Q,$$

so that  $N \simeq \text{Im } \mu = \text{Ker } \varepsilon$ ,  $G/\text{Ker } \varepsilon \simeq Q$ . Usually one writes N additively, G and Q multiplicatively.

A morphism of extensions is a triple  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  of homomorphisms such that the diagram

$$\mathbf{e}_{1}: \quad N_{1} \xrightarrow{\lambda_{1}} G_{1} \xrightarrow{\mu_{1}} Q_{1}$$
$$\downarrow^{\alpha} \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{\beta} \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{\gamma}$$
$$\mathbf{e}_{2}: \quad N_{2} \xrightarrow{\lambda_{2}} G_{2} \xrightarrow{\mu_{2}} Q_{2}$$

commutes. If  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  – and hence  $\beta$  – are isomorphisms, then  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  is an *isomorphism* of *extensions*. If  $\alpha, \gamma$  are identity maps, it is called an *equivalence*. Let

 $[\mathbf{e}]$ 

denote the equivalence class of  ${\bf e}$  and write

$$\mathcal{E}(Q, N) = \{ [\mathbf{e}] \mid \mathbf{e} \text{ an extension of } N \text{ by } Q \}$$

for the category of equivalence classes and morphisms of extensions of N by Q. The main object of extension theory is to describe the set  $\mathcal{E}(Q, N)$ .

#### Automorphisms

http://siba-ese.unisalento.it/ © 2013 Università del Salento

An isomorphism  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  from **e** to **e** is called an *automorphism* of **e**,

$$N \xrightarrow{\mu} G \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} Q$$

$$\downarrow^{\alpha} \qquad \downarrow^{\beta} \qquad \downarrow^{\gamma}$$

$$N \xrightarrow{\mu} G \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} Q$$

The pair  $(\alpha, \gamma) \in \operatorname{Aut}(N) \times \operatorname{Aut}(Q)$  is then said to be *induced* by  $\beta$  in **e**. The automorphisms of **e** clearly form a group Aut(**e**) and

$$\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{e}) \simeq N_{\operatorname{Aut}(G)}(\operatorname{Im} \mu) \leq \operatorname{Aut}(G).$$

We would like to understand the group  $Aut(\mathbf{e})$  and, in particular, to determine which pairs  $(\alpha, \gamma)$  are *inducible in*  $\mathbf{e}$ .

### Couplings and factor sets

Given an extension  $\mathbf{e}: N \xrightarrow{\mu} G \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} Q$ , choose a transversal function

$$\tau: Q \to G,$$

i.e., a map such that  $\tau \varepsilon =$  the identity map on Q. Conjugation in Im  $\mu$  by  $x^{\tau}$ ,  $(x \in Q)$ , induces an automorphism  $x^{\xi}$  in N,

$$(a^{x^{\xi}})^{\mu} = (x^{\tau})^{-1} a^{\mu} x^{\tau}, \ (a \in N),$$

so we have a function

$$\xi: Q \to \operatorname{Aut}(N).$$

Note that  $x^{\xi}$  depends on the choice of  $\tau$ , but  $x^{\xi}(\operatorname{Inn}(N))$  does not. Define  $x^{\chi} = x^{\xi}(\operatorname{Inn}(N)) \in \operatorname{Out}(N)$ . Then

$$\chi: Q \to \operatorname{Out}(N)$$

is a homomorphism which is independent of  $\tau$ . This is the *coupling* of the extension **e**. Equivalent extensions have the same coupling, so we can form

$$\mathcal{E}_{\chi}(Q,N),$$

the subcategory of extensions of N by Q with coupling  $\chi$ .

The function  $\tau$  is usually not a homomorphism, but

$$x^{\tau}y^{\tau} = (xy)^{\tau}(\varphi(x,y))^{\mu}$$

where  $\varphi(x,y) \in N$ . The associative law  $(x^{\tau}y^{\tau})z^{\tau} = x^{\tau}(y^{\tau}z^{\tau})$  implies that

$$\varphi(x, yz) + \varphi(y, z) = \varphi(xy, z) + \varphi(x, y) \cdot z^{\xi} \qquad (*)$$

for  $x, y, z \in Q$ . Such a function  $\varphi : Q \times Q \to N$  is called a *factor set*. We may assume that  $1_Q^{\tau} = 1_G$ , in which case  $\varphi(1, x) = 0 = \varphi(x, 1)$  for all  $x \in Q$ , and  $\varphi$  is called a *normalized* factor set.

From  $x^{\tau}y^{\tau} = (xy)^{\tau}\varphi(x,y)^{\mu}$  we deduce that

$$x^{\xi}y^{\xi} = (xy)^{\xi}\overline{\varphi(x,y)}, \ (x,y \in Q) \qquad (**)$$

where  $\overline{a}$  denotes conjugation by a in N. Call  $\xi$  and  $\varphi$  associated functions for the extension **e**.

#### **Constructing extensions**

Suppose we are given groups N, Q and functions  $\xi : Q \to \operatorname{Aut}(N)$  and  $\varphi : Q \times Q \to N$  (normalized), satisfying (\*) and (\*\*). Then we can construct an extension

$$\mathbf{e}(\xi,\varphi) : N \xrightarrow{\mu} G(\xi,\varphi) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} Q_{\xi}$$

where  $G(\xi, \varphi) = Q \times N$ , with group operation

$$(x,a)(y,b)=(xy,\,\varphi(x,y)+ay^{\xi}+b),\ \ (x,y\in Q,\,a,b\in N).$$

Also  $a^{\mu} = (1, a)$  and  $(x, a)^{\varepsilon} = x$ . Then the transversal function  $x \mapsto (x, 0)$  yields associated functions  $\xi, \varphi$  for  $\mathbf{e}(\xi, \varphi)$ .

If N is abelian, it is a Q-module via the coupling  $\xi = \chi : Q \to \text{Out}(N) = \text{Aut}(N)$  and  $\varphi \in Z^2(Q, N)$  is a 2-cocycle, while there is a bijection

$$\mathcal{E}_{\chi}(Q,N) \longleftrightarrow H^2(Q,N).$$

# 2 The Automorphism Group of an Extension

Consider an extension

$$\mathbf{e}:N \xrightarrow{\mu} G \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} Q$$

with coupling  $\chi$ . Assume  $\mu : N \hookrightarrow G$  is inclusion and  $\varepsilon : G \to Q = G/N$  is the canonical map. If  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\mathbf{e})$ , then  $\alpha$  induces automorphisms  $\alpha|_N$  in N,  $\alpha|_Q$  in Q, while  $\alpha \mapsto (\alpha|_N, \alpha|_Q)$  is a homomorphism,

$$\Psi: \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{e}) \to \operatorname{Aut}(N) \times \operatorname{Aut}(Q)$$

If  $\alpha \in \text{Ker } \Psi$ , then  $\alpha$  is trivial on N and G/N, so  $[G, \alpha] \leq A = Z(N)$ , while the map  $gN \mapsto g^{-1}g^{\alpha}$ ,  $(g \in G)$ , is a *derivation* or 1-cocycle from Q to Z(N) = A. In fact Ker  $\Psi \simeq Z^1(Q, A)$  and there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to Z^1(Q, A) \to \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{e}) \xrightarrow{\Psi} \operatorname{Aut}(N) \times \operatorname{Aut}(Q).$$

It is more difficult to identify Im  $\Psi$ . This is where the *Wells sequence* comes into play.

**Theorem 1.** (C. Wells [12]) Let  $\mathbf{e} : N \to G \to Q$  be an extension with coupling  $\chi : Q \to \operatorname{Out}(N)$  and let A = Z(N). Then there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to Z^1(Q, A) \to \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{e}) \xrightarrow{\Psi} \operatorname{Comp}(\chi) \xrightarrow{\Lambda} H^2(Q, A)$$

where  $\operatorname{Comp}(\chi)$  is the subgroup of  $\chi$ -compatible pairs  $(\vartheta, \varphi) \in \operatorname{Aut}(N) \times \operatorname{Aut}(Q)$ , i.e., pairs satisfying  $\varphi \chi = \chi \overline{\vartheta}$ , with  $\overline{\vartheta}$  conjugation by  $\vartheta$  in  $\operatorname{Out}(N)$ .

To see where the compatibility condition comes from, let  $\alpha \in Aut(\mathbf{e})$  induce  $(\vartheta, \varphi)$ , so that  $(\alpha)\Psi = (\vartheta, \varphi)$ . From

$$(a^{x^{\tau}})^{\alpha} = (a^{\alpha})^{(x^{\tau})^{\alpha}}, \ (a \in N, x \in Q),$$

we get  $x^{\xi} \vartheta \equiv \vartheta(x^{\varphi})^{\xi} \mod \operatorname{Inn}(N)$ . Thus  $\vartheta^{-1}x^{\chi}\vartheta = (x^{\varphi})^{\chi}$  in  $\operatorname{Out}(N)$ , i.e.  $\chi \overline{\vartheta} = \varphi \chi$ .

### The Wells map $\Lambda$

Let  $(\vartheta, \varphi) \in \text{Comp}(\Lambda)$ . In order to understand where  $(\vartheta, \varphi)\Lambda \in H^2(Q, A)$ comes from, we take note of two actions on the set  $\mathcal{E}_{\chi}(Q, N)$ .

(i)  $H^2(Q, A)$  acts regularly on  $\mathcal{E}_{\chi}(Q, N)$  by adding a fixed 2-cocycle to each factor set.

(ii) Aut(N) × Aut(Q) acts in the natural way on  $\mathcal{E}_{\chi}(Q, N)$ . Hence, given  $(\vartheta, \varphi) \in \text{Comp}(\chi)$  and  $[\mathbf{e}] \in \mathcal{E}_{\chi}(Q, N)$ , by regularity there is a unique  $h \in H^2(Q, A)$  such that  $[\mathbf{e}] = ([\mathbf{e}] \cdot (\vartheta, \varphi)) \cdot h$ . Define

$$(\vartheta,\varphi)\Lambda = h,$$

so that

$$[\mathbf{e}] = ([\mathbf{e}] \cdot (\vartheta, arphi)) \cdot (\vartheta, arphi) \Lambda.$$

#### Properties of the Wells map

(i) Im  $\Psi = \text{Ker } \Lambda$ . (This is a routine calculation.)

For a long time it was believed that  $\Lambda$ , which is clearly not a homomorphism, was merely a set map. Then in 2010 Jin and Liu [4] discovered two very interesting facts about  $\Lambda$ .

(ii)  $\Lambda$  : Comp $(\chi) \to H^2(Q, A)$  is a derivation, so that  $\Lambda \in Z^1(\text{Comp}(\chi), H^2(Q, A))$  and

$$(UV)\Lambda = (U)\Lambda \cdot V + (V)\Lambda, \quad (U, V \in \operatorname{Comp}(\chi)).$$

(iii) The cohomology class

$$[\Lambda] \in H^1(\operatorname{Comp}(\chi), H^2(Q, A))$$

depends on [e] only through its coupling  $\chi$ , i.e., extensions with the same coupling have cohomologous Wells maps  $\Lambda$ .

#### Applications of the Wells Sequence

For a given extension  $\mathbf{e} : N \to G \to Q$  with coupling  $\chi$ , the *inducibility* problem is to determine when a given pair  $(\vartheta, \varphi) \in \operatorname{Aut}(N) \times \operatorname{Aut}(Q)$  is induced by some automorphism of  $\mathbf{e}$ . This happens if and only if  $(\vartheta, \varphi) \in \operatorname{Comp}(\chi)$  and  $(\vartheta, \varphi)\Lambda = 0$ .

We will describe theorems which reduce the inducibility problem to certain subgroups of Q.

#### **Reduction to Sylow subgroups**

Consider an extension  $\mathbf{e}: N \to G \twoheadrightarrow Q = G/N$  with coupling  $\chi$  where Q is finite. Let  $\pi(Q) = \{p_1, \ldots, p_k\}$  and choose  $P_i \in \text{Syl}_{p_i}(Q)$ , say  $P_i = R_i/N$ . Then we have subextensions

$$\mathbf{e}_i: N \rightarrowtail R_i \twoheadrightarrow P_i$$

with couplings  $\chi_i = \chi|_{P_i}$ . Let  $(\vartheta, \varphi) \in \operatorname{Aut}(N) \times \operatorname{Aut}(Q)$ . Then  $P_i^{\varphi} \in \operatorname{Syl}_{p_i}(Q)$ , so  $P_i^{\varphi} = P_i^{g_i^{-1}}$  for some  $g_i \in G$ . Then  $P_i^{\varphi \overline{g_i}} = P_i$ , so  $\varphi \overline{g}_i|_{P_i} \in \operatorname{Aut}(P_i)$ .

**Theorem 2.** With the above notation, the pair  $(\vartheta, \varphi)$  is inducible in **e** if and only if  $(\vartheta \overline{g}_i, \varphi \overline{g}_i|_{P_i})$  is inducible in **e**<sub>i</sub> for i = 1, 2, ..., k.

*Proof.* Necessity is routine. Assume the condition holds, i.e.  $(\vartheta \overline{g}_i, \varphi \overline{g}_i|_{P_i})$  is inducible for i = 1, 2, ..., k. Let A = Z(N).

(i)  $(\vartheta, \varphi)$  is  $\chi$ -compatible. This is a straightforward calculation.

(ii)  $(\vartheta, \varphi)$  is inducible in **e**. To see this, form a subsequence of the Wells sequence for **e** by restricting to automorphisms that leave  $R_i$  invariant.

$$0 \to Z^1(Q, A) \to N_{\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{e})}(R_i) \to C_i \to H^2(Q, A)$$

where  $C_i = \{(\lambda, \mu) \in \text{Comp}(\chi) \mid P_i^{\mu} = P_i\}$ . Now apply the restriction map for  $P_i$  to get the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_i & \xrightarrow{\Lambda} & H^2(Q, A) \\ & & & \downarrow^{\operatorname{res}_{P_i}} & & & \downarrow^{\operatorname{res}_{P_i}} \\ & & & & & & \\ \operatorname{Comp}(\chi_i) & \xrightarrow{\Lambda_i} & H^2(P_i, A) \end{array}$$

Since  $(\vartheta, \varphi)$  and  $(\overline{g}_i, \overline{g}_i)$  are  $\chi$ -compatible,  $(\vartheta \overline{g}_i, \varphi \overline{g}_i) \in \text{Comp}(\chi)$ . Also

$$(\vartheta \overline{g}_i, \varphi \overline{g}_i) \operatorname{res}_{P_i} \circ \Lambda_i = (\vartheta \overline{g}_i, \varphi \overline{g}_i|_{P_i}) \Lambda_i = 0,$$

and  $\Lambda \circ \operatorname{res}_{P_i}$  maps  $(\vartheta \overline{g}_i, \varphi \overline{g}_i)$  to 0. Since  $\Lambda$  is a derivation,

$$(\vartheta \overline{g}_i, \varphi \overline{g}_i)\Lambda = ((\vartheta, \varphi)(\overline{g}_i, \overline{g}_i))\Lambda = (\vartheta, \varphi)\Lambda \cdot (\overline{g}_i, \overline{g}_i) + (\overline{g}_i, \overline{g}_i)\Lambda = (\vartheta, \varphi)\Lambda.$$

This is because  $(\overline{g}_i, \overline{g}_i)$  is obviously inducible and it acts trivially on  $H^2(Q, A)$ . Thus  $((\vartheta, \varphi)\Lambda) \operatorname{res}_{P_i} = 0$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, k$ .

Apply the corestriction map for  $P_i$ , noting that  $(\operatorname{res}_{P_i}) \circ (\operatorname{cor}_{P_i})$  is multiplication by  $|Q:P_i|$ . Also  $|Q| \cdot |H^2(Q,A)| = 0$  and  $(\vartheta,\varphi)\Lambda$  has order a  $p'_i$ -number for all *i*. Hence  $(\vartheta,\varphi)\Lambda = 0$ , and  $(\vartheta,\varphi)$  is inducible in **e**.

Special cases of Theorem 1 have appeared in [3] and [8].

## **Reduction to finite subgroups**

Next consider an extension  $\mathbf{e}: N \rightarrow G \twoheadrightarrow Q$  with coupling  $\chi$  where Q is a *locally finite* group. Choose a *local system* of finite subgroups in Q

$$\{Q_i\}_{i\in I}$$
,

i.e., every finite subset of Q is contained in some  $Q_i$ . Let I be ordered by inclusion, i.e.,  $i \leq j$  if and only if  $Q_i \leq Q_j$ . Then  $\{Q_i\}$  is a direct system and  $Q = \lim \{Q_i\}$ . By restricting to  $Q_i$ , we form the corresponding subextension

$$\mathbf{e}_i: N \rightarrowtail G_i \twoheadrightarrow Q_i = G_i/N, \ (i \in I),$$

with coupling  $\chi_i = \chi|_{Q_i}$ .

Suppose that  $(\vartheta, \varphi) \in \operatorname{Aut}(N) \times \operatorname{Aut}(Q)$  is given such that  $Q_i^{\varphi} = Q_i$  for all i. (If  $\varphi$  has finite order, such a system  $\{Q_i\}$  will always exist). Assume that  $(\vartheta, \varphi|_{Q_i})$  is inducible in  $\mathbf{e}_i$  for all  $i \in I$ .

**Question**: does this imply that  $(\vartheta, \varphi)$  is inducible in **e** ?

By restriction form the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \operatorname{Comp}(\chi) & \stackrel{\Lambda}{\longrightarrow} & H^2(Q, A) \\ & & & \downarrow^{\operatorname{res}_{Q_i}} & & \downarrow^{\operatorname{res}_{Q_i}} \\ \operatorname{Comp}(\chi_i) & \stackrel{\Lambda_i}{\longrightarrow} & H^2(Q_i, A) \end{array}$$

where A = Z(N). Since  $(\vartheta, \varphi|_{Q_i})\Lambda_i = 0$ , we have  $(\vartheta, \varphi)\Lambda \in \text{Ker}(\text{res}_{Q_i})$  for all  $i \in I$ , and  $(\vartheta, \varphi)\Lambda$  belongs to

$$K = \operatorname{Ker}(H^2(Q, A) \to \varprojlim H^2(Q_i, A)):$$

note here that  $\{H^2(Q_i, A)\}$  is an inverse system of abelian groups with restriction maps.

# A spectral sequence for $H^n(\lim, -)$

In general cohomology does not interact well with direct limits. However, there is a spectral sequence converging to  $H^n(\lim \{Q_i\}, A) = H^n(Q, A)$ , namely

$$E_2^{pq} \stackrel{p+q=n}{\Longrightarrow} H^n(Q, A)$$
$$E_2^{pq} = \lim_{\leftarrow} {}^{(p)} \{ H^q(Q_i, A) \}$$

where

and 
$$\lim_{\leftarrow} {}^{(p)}$$
 is the *p*th derived functor of lim. (This may be deduced from the Grothendieck spectral sequence – see [6], [9]). Hence when  $n = 2$  we obtain a series

$$0 = L_0 \le L_1 \le L_2 \le L_3 = H^2(Q, A)$$

where  $L_1 \simeq E_{\infty}^{20}$ ,  $L_2/L_1 \simeq E_{\infty}^{11}$  and  $L_3/L_2 \simeq E_{\infty}^{02}$ . Thus  $L_2 = K$  and in our situation  $(\vartheta, \varphi)\Lambda \in L_2$ . To prove that  $(\vartheta, \varphi)\Lambda = 0$  it suffices to show that

$$E_2^{11} = 0 = E_2^{20}.$$

For this to be true additional conditions must be imposed: for example,

$$\sum_p r_p(A) < \infty,$$

the sum being for p = 0 or a prime, i.e., A has *finite total rank*. In fact this condition implies that

$$\lim_{\leftarrow} {}^{(1)}\left\{H^1(N,A)\right\} = 0 = \lim_{\leftarrow} {}^{(2)}\left\{A^N\right\},$$

(see [2]). Hence  $(\vartheta, \varphi)\Lambda = 0$  and  $(\vartheta, \varphi)$  is inducible in **e**.

**Theorem 3.** With the above notation, assume that Z(N) has finite total rank. Then  $(\vartheta, \varphi)$  is inducible in  $\mathbf{e}$  if and only if  $(\vartheta, \varphi|_{Q_i})$  is inducible in  $\mathbf{e}_i$  for all  $i \in I$ .

By combining Theorems 1 and 2 we reduce the inducibility problem for Q locally finite to the case of a finite p-group.

#### Counterexamples

Theorem 3 does not hold without some conditions on A = Z(N). Consider a non-split extension

$$\mathbf{e}:N\rightarrowtail G\twoheadrightarrow Q$$

where G is locally finite,  $\pi(N) \cap \pi(Q) = \emptyset$ ,  $2 \notin \pi(N)$  and N is abelian. In fact there are many such extensions – see for example [5], [11]. Let  $Q_i \leq Q$  be finite. Then  $H^n(Q_i, N) = 0$  for all  $n \geq 1$  by Schur's theorem, so that

 $\mathbf{e}_i : N \rightarrow G_i \twoheadrightarrow Q_i = G_i/N$  splits. Let  $\vartheta \in \operatorname{Aut}(N)$  be the inversion automorphism. Then  $(\vartheta, 1)$  is inducible in  $\mathbf{e}_i$  for every *i* since  $\mathbf{e}_i$  is a split extension. However,  $(\vartheta, 1)$  is *not* inducible in  $\mathbf{e}$ : for if it were, the cohomology class  $\Delta$  of *e* would satisfy  $\Delta = \Delta \vartheta_* = -\Delta$  and hence  $\Delta = 0$  since  $H^2(Q, N)$  has no elements of order 2. This is a contradiction.

*Remark.* Full details of the proofs may be found in [10].

# References

- J. BUCKLEY: Automorphism groups of isoclinic p-groups, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 12 (1975), 37–44.
- [2] C.U. JENSEN: Les Foncteurs Derivées de lim e leur Application en Theorie des Modules, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 254, Springer, Berlin (1970).
- [3] P. JIN; Automorphisms of groups, J. Algebra **312** (2007), 562–569.
- [4] P. JIN H. LIU: The Wells exact sequence for the automorphism group of a group extension, J. Algebra 324 (2010), 1219–1228.
- [5] L.G. KOVÀCS B.H. NEUMANN H. DE VRIES: Some Sylow subgroups, Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A 261 (1961), 304–316.
- [6] S. MAC LANE: *Homology*, Springer, Berlin (1967).
- [7] W. MALFAIT: The (outer) automorphism group of a group extension, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. 9 (2002), 361–372.
- [8] I.B.S. PASSI M. SINGH M.K. YADAV: Automorphisms of abelian group extensions, J. Algebra 324 (2010), 820–830.
- [9] D.J.S. ROBINSON: Cohomology of locally nilpotent groups, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 8 (1987), 281–300.

- [10] D.J.S. ROBINSON: Inducibility of automorphism pairs in group extensions, in "Encuentro en Teoría de Grupos y sus Aplicaciones" (Zaragoza 2011), pp. 233–241. Revista Matemática Iberoamericana, Madrid 2012.
- [11] D.J.S. ROBINSON A. RUSSO G. VINCENZI: On groups which contain no HNNextensions, Internat. J. Algebra Comp. 17 (2007), 1377–387.
- [12] C. WELLS: Automorphisms of group extensions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 155 (1971), 189–194.