

# Common Fixed Point Theorems in Uniform Spaces

**Vanita Ben Dhagat**

*Jai Narain College of Technology,  
Bairasia Road M. P. (India)*  
vanita1dhagat@yahoo.co.in

Received: 4.8.2007; accepted: 11.12.2007.

**Abstract.** In this paper we prove some fixed point theorems for weakly compatible mappings with the notation of  $A$ -distance and  $E$ -distance in uniform space.

**Keywords:** Uniform spaces, common fixed point,  $E$ -distance,  $A$ -distance, contractive maps, weakly compatible maps.

**MSC 2000 classification:** 49H10, 54H25

## 1 Introduction and Preliminaries

The concept of weakly compatible is defined by Jungck and Rhoades [3]. In this paper we take weakly compatible to prove common fixed point theorems. Recently, Aamri and Moutawakil [1] introduce the concept of  $A$ -distance and  $E$ -distance in uniform space. With the help of these  $A$ -distance and  $E$ -distance we prove common fixed point for weakly compatible.

**Definition 1.** Two self maps  $T$  and  $S$  of a metric space  $X$  are said to be weakly compatible if they commute at their coincidence points, i.e. if  $Tu = Su$  for  $u$  in  $X$ , then  $TSu = STu$ .

By Bourbaki [2], we call uniform space  $(X, \vartheta)$  a non empty set  $X$  endowed of an uniformity  $\vartheta$ , the latter being a special kind of filter on  $X \times X$ , for all whose elements contain the diagonal  $\Delta = \{(x, x) | x \in X\}$ . if  $V \in \vartheta$  and  $(x, y) \in V$ ,  $(y, x) \in V$ ,  $x$  and  $y$  are said to be  $V$ -close and a sequence  $(x^n)$  in  $X$  is a Cauchy sequence for  $\vartheta$  if for any  $V \in \vartheta$ , there exists  $N \geq 1$  such that  $x^n$  and  $x^m$  are  $V$ -close for  $n, m \geq N$ . An uniformity  $\vartheta$  defines a unique topology  $T(\vartheta)$  on  $X$  for which the neighborhoods of  $x \in X$  are the sets  $V(x) = \{y \in X | (x, y) \in V\}$  when  $V$  runs over  $\vartheta$ .

A uniform space  $(X, \vartheta)$  is said to be Hausdorff if and only if the intersection of all  $V \in \vartheta$  reduces to the diagonal  $\Delta$  of  $X$  i.e. if  $(x, y) \in V$  for all  $V \in \vartheta$  implies  $x = y$ . This guarantees the uniqueness of limits of sequences.  $V \in \vartheta$  is said to be symmetrical if  $V = V^{-1} = \{(y, x) | (x, y) \in V\}$ . Since each  $V \in \vartheta$  contains a symmetrical  $W \in \vartheta$  and if  $(x, y) \in W$  then  $x$  and  $y$  are both  $W$  and  $V$ -close, then for our purpose, we assume that each  $V \in \vartheta$  is symmetrical. When topological concepts are mentioned in the context of a uniform space  $(X, \vartheta)$ , they always refer to the topological space  $(X, T(\vartheta))$ .

**Definition 2.** Let  $(X, \vartheta)$  be a uniform space. A function  $p : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is said to be an  $A$ -distance if for any  $V \in \vartheta$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $p(z, x) \leq \delta$  and  $p(z, y) \leq \delta$  for some  $z \in X$ , then  $(x, y) \in V$ .

**Definition 3.** Let  $(X, \vartheta)$  be uniform space. A function  $p : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is said to be an  $E$ -distance if  $p$  is an  $A$ -distance and  $p(x, y) \leq p(x, z) + p(z, y)$ , for every  $x, y, z \in X$ .

**Definition 4.** Let  $(X, \vartheta)$  be uniform space and  $p$  be an  $A$ -distance on  $X$ .

- (I)  $X$  in  $S$  complete if for every  $p$ -Cauchy sequences  $\{x_n\}$  there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $\lim p(x_n, x) = 0$ .
- (II)  $X$  is  $p$ -Cauchy complete if for every  $p$ -Cauchy sequences  $\{x_n\}$  there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $\lim x_n = x$  with respect to  $\tau(\vartheta)$ .
- (III)  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is  $p$ -continuous if  $\lim p(x_n, x) = 0$  implies  $\lim p(f(x_n), f(x)) = 0$ .
- (IV)  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is  $T(\vartheta)$ -continuous if  $\lim x_n = x$  with respect to  $T(\vartheta)$  implies  $\lim f(x_n) = f(x)$  with respect to  $\tau(\vartheta)$ .
- (V)  $X$  is said to be  $p$ -bounded if  $\delta_p(X) = \sup\{p(x, y) | x, y \in X\} < \infty$ .

**Lemma 1.** Let  $(X, \vartheta)$  be uniform space and  $p$  be an  $A$ -distance on  $X$ . Let  $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$  be arbitrary sequences in  $X$  and  $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}$  be sequences in  $\mathbb{R}^+$  and converging to 0. Then, for  $x, y, z \in X$ , the following holds

- (a) If  $p(x_n, y) \leq \alpha_n$  and  $p(x_n, z) \leq \beta_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $y = z$ . In particular, if  $p(x, y) = 0$  and  $p(x, z) = 0$ , then  $y = z$ .
- (b) If  $p(x_n, y_n) \leq \alpha_n$  and  $p(x_n, z) \leq \beta_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\{y_n\}$  converges to  $z$ .
- (c) If  $p(x_n, x_m) \leq \alpha_n$  for all  $m > n$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequences in  $(X, \vartheta)$ .

Let  $\psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be continuous and satisfying the conditions

- (i)  $\psi$  is nondecreasing on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ ,
- (ii)  $0 < \psi(t) < t$ , for each  $t \in (0, \infty)$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let  $(X, \vartheta)$  be a Hausdorff uniform space and  $p$  be an  $A$ -distance on  $X$ . Let  $f$  and  $g$  are two weakly compatible defined on  $X$  such that

$$(I) \quad f(X) \subseteq g(X)$$

$$(II) \quad \begin{aligned} p(f(x), f(y)) &\leq \psi[\max\{p(g(x), g(y)), \\ &1/2[p(g(x), f(x)) + p(g(x), f(y)), 1/2[p(g(y), f(y)) + p(g(y), f(x))]\}] \end{aligned}$$

If  $f(X)$  or  $g(X)$  is a  $S$  complete subspaces of  $X$ , then  $f$  and  $g$  have a common fixed point.

PROOF. Let  $x_0 \in X$  and choose  $x_1 \in X$  such that  $f(x_0) = g(x_1)$ . Choose  $x_2 \in X$  such that  $f(x_1) = g(x_2)$ . In general  $f(x_n) = g(x_{n+1})$ . Then let the sequence  $y_{n+1}$  such that

$$y_{n+1} = f(x_n) = g(x_{n+1}) \dots \quad (1)$$

Now there arise two cases:

**Case 1** If  $y_n = y_{n+p}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $z = y_n = g(x_n) = f(x_n) = g(x_{n+1}) = y_{n+1}$ . Now taking  $u = x_n$ , then  $f(u) = g(u)$  and by weakly compatibility  $fg(u) = gf(u)$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} d(f(z), z) &= d(f(z), f(u)) \\ &\leq \psi[\max\{p(g(z), g(u)), 1/2[p(g(z), f(z)) + p(g(z), f(u)), \\ &1/2[p(g(u), f(u)) + p(g(u), f(z))]\}] \\ &\leq \psi[\max\{p(z, f(z)), 1/2[p(gf(u), fg(u)) + p(gf(u), fg(u)), \\ &1/2[p(f(u), f(u)) + p(z, f(z))]\}] \\ &\leq \psi\{p(z, f(z))\} < p(z, f(z)) \end{aligned}$$

which is contradiction. It implies  $f(z) = z$ . Again,  $z = f(z) = fg(u) = gf(u) = g(z)$ . So,  $z$  is common fixed point of  $f$  and  $g$ .

**Case 2.** Let  $y_n \neq y_{n+p}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We have that

$$\lim y_n = \lim f x_n = \lim g x_{n+1} = z$$

For this  $z \in g(X)$  there exist  $\omega$  in  $X$  such that  $z = g(\omega)$ . Now by condition (II) of theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(f(\omega), g(\omega)) &\leq p(f(\omega), f(x_n)) + p(f(x_n), g(\omega)) \\ &\leq \psi[\max\{p(g(\omega), g(x_n)), 1/2[p(g(\omega), f(\omega)) + p(g(\omega), f(x_n))], \\ &\quad 1/2[p(g(x_n), f(x_n)) + p(g(x_n), f(\omega))]\}] + p(f(x_n), g(\omega)) \\ &\leq \psi[\max\{p(z, z), 1/2[p(g(\omega), f(\omega)) + p(g(\omega), g(\omega))], \\ &\quad 1/2[p(z, z) + p(g(\omega), f(\omega))]\}] + p(z, z), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \\ &\leq \psi\{p(g(\omega), f(\omega))\} \leq p(g(\omega), f(\omega)) \end{aligned}$$

It implies that  $f\omega = g\omega$ . The assumption that  $f$  and  $g$  are weakly compatible implies  $fg(\omega) = gf(\omega)$ . Also  $ff(\omega) = fg(\omega) = gf(\omega) = gg(\omega)$ . Suppose that  $p(f(\omega), ff(\omega)) \neq 0$ . From (II), it follows

$$\begin{aligned} p(f(\omega), f(\omega)) &\leq \psi \max\{[p(g(\omega), gf(\omega)), 1/2[p(g(\omega), f(\omega)) + p(g(\omega), ff(\omega))], \\ &\quad 1/2[p(gf(\omega), ff(\omega)) + p(gf(\omega), f(\omega))]\}] \\ &\leq \psi\{p(f(\omega), ff(\omega))\} < p(f(\omega), f(\omega)) \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Thus  $p(f(\omega), ff(\omega)) = 0$ .

Suppose that  $p(f(\omega), f(\omega)) \neq 0$ , then also by (II)

$$\begin{aligned} p(f(\omega), f(\omega)) &\leq \psi \max\{[p(g(\omega), g(\omega)), 1/2[p(g(\omega), f(\omega)) + p(g(\omega), f(\omega))], \\ &\quad 1/2[p(gf(\omega), f(\omega)) + p(g(\omega), f(\omega))]\}] \\ &\leq \psi\{p(f(\omega), f(\omega))\} < p(f(\omega), f(\omega)) \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Thus  $p(f(\omega), f(\omega)) = 0$ . Since  $p(f(\omega), f(\omega)) = 0$  and  $p(f(\omega), ff(\omega)) = 0$ , lemma 1(a) gives  $ff(\omega) = f(\omega)$ .

Hence  $g(f(\omega)) = f(ff(\omega)) = f(\omega)$  and  $z = f(\omega)$  is common fixed point of  $f$  and  $g$ .

□ QED

**Theorem 2.** Let  $(X, \vartheta)$  be a Hausdorff uniform space and  $p$  be an  $E$ -distance on  $X$ . Let  $f$  and  $g$  are two weakly compatible defined on  $X$  such that

(I)  $f(X) \subseteq g(X)$

$$(II) \quad p(f(x), f(y)) \leq \psi[\max\{p(g(x), g(y)), 1/2[p(g(x), f(x)) + p(g(x), f(y))], 1/2[p(g(y), f(y)) + p(g(y), f(x))]\}]$$

If  $f(X)$  or  $g(X)$  is a  $S$  complete subspaces of  $X$ , then  $f$  and  $g$  have a unique common fixed point.

PROOF. Since  $E$  distance in  $A$  distance therefore  $f$  and  $g$  have a common point. Suppose  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  are common fixed points of  $f$  and  $g$ , then  $f(z_1) = g(z_1) = z_1$  and  $f(z_2) = g(z_2) = z_2$ .

If  $p(z_1, z_2) \neq 0$ , then by (II)

$$\begin{aligned} p(z_1, z_2) &= p(f(z_1), f(z_2)) \leq \psi \max\{p(g(z_1), g(z_2)), \\ &\quad 1/2[p(g(z_1), f(z_1)) + p(g(z_1), f(z_2))], \\ &\quad 1/2[p(g(z_2), f(z_2)) + p(g(z_2), f(z_1))]\} \\ &= \psi \{p(z_1, z_2)\} < p(z_1, z_2) \Rightarrow p(z_1, z_2) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently by (p2) we have  $p(z_1, z_1) \leq p(z_1, z_2) + p(z_2, z_1) \Rightarrow p(z_1, z_2) = 0$ . Now, we have  $p(z_1, z_1) = 0$  and  $p(z - 1, z_2) = 0$  therefore  $z_1 = z_2$ .

□

**Theorem 3.** Let  $(X, \vartheta)$  be a Hausdorff uniform space and  $p$  be an  $A$ -distance on  $X$ . Let  $f$  and  $g$  are two weakly compatible defined on  $X$  such that

$$(I) \quad f^r(X) \subseteq g^s(X)$$

$$(II) \quad \begin{aligned} p(f^r(x), f^r(y)) &\leq \psi[\max\{p(g^s(x), g^s(y))\}, \\ &\quad 1/2[p(g^s(x), f^r(x)) + p(g^s(x), f^r(y))], 1/2[p(g^s(y), f^r(y)) + p(g^s(y), f^r(x))]] \end{aligned}$$

where  $r$  and  $s$  are positive integers. If  $f(X)$  or  $g(X)$  is a  $S$  complete subspaces of  $X$ , then  $f$  and  $g$  have a common fixed point.

PROOF. Same as theorem 1.

□

**Theorem 4.** Let  $(X, \vartheta)$  be a Hausdorff uniform space and  $p$  be an  $A$ -distance on  $X$ . Let  $f$  and  $g$  are two weakly compatible defined on  $X$  such that

$$(I) \quad f^r(X) \subseteq g^s(X)$$

$$(II) \quad \begin{aligned} p(f^r(x), f^r(y)) &\leq \psi[\max\{p(g^s(x), g^s(y))\}, \\ &\quad 1/2[p(g^s(x), f^r(x)) + p(g^s(x), f^r(y))], 1/2[p(g^s(y), f^r(y)) + p(g^s(y), f^r(x))]] \end{aligned}$$

where  $r$  and  $s$  are positive integers. If  $f(X)$  or  $g(X)$  is a  $S$  complete subspaces of  $X$ , then  $f$  and  $g$  have a unique common fixed point.

PROOF. Same as theorem 2.

□

**Example.** Let  $X = [0, 1]$  and  $d(x, y) = |x - y|$ . Self mappings  $f$  and  $g$  are defined as  $fx = x^2$  if  $x \in [0, 1/2]$  &  $= 1/2$  if  $x \in [1/2, 1]$  and  $gx = 0$  if  $x \in [0, 1/2]$  &  $= x$  if  $x \in [1/2, 1]$ . Now, consider the functions  $p$  and  $\psi$  as:  $\psi(x) = x^2$  and  $p(x, y) = 0$  if  $y \in [0, 1/2]$  &  $= y$  if  $y \in [1/2, 1]$ . All conditions of Theorem 2 are satisfied and  $1/2$  is common point of  $f$  and  $g$ .

## References

- [1] M. AAMRI, D. MOUTAWAKIL: *Weak compatibility and common fixed point theorems for A-contractive and E-expansive maps in uniform spaces*, Serdica Math. J., **32** (2005), 75–86.
- [2] N. BOURBAKI: *Topologie generale*, Chapter I, II, 4 ed., Hermann, Paris, 1965.
- [3] G. JUNGCK, B. E. RHOADES: *Fixed point for the set valued functions without continuity*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **29**(3) (1998), 227–238.