Note di Matematica 25, n. 1, 2005/2006, 63-67.

# The Pullback for bornological and ultrabornological spaces

### J. Bonet

E.T.S. Arquitectura, Departemento de Matemática Aplicada, Universidad Politécnica de Valencia, E-46071 Valencia, Spain jbonet@mat.upv.es

#### S. Dierolf

Universität Trier, Fachbereich IV - Mathematik, Universitätsring 15, 54286 Trier, Germany, Tel. [+49]0651-201-3511 sdierolf@uni-trier.de

**Abstract.** Counter examples show that the notion of a pullback cannot be transferred from the category of locally convex spaces to the category of bornological or ultrabornological locally convex spaces. This answers in the negative a question asked to the authors by W. Rump.

Keywords: pullback, bornological spaces, ultrabornological spaces.

MSC 2000 classification: 46A05, 46A09.

To the memory of our dear friend Klaus Floret

## Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to construct the counter examples mentioned in the abstract. Unexplained notation about locally convex spaces can be seen in [8] and [10].

Let X, Y be locally convex spaces,  $L \subset X$  a linear subspace,  $q: X \longrightarrow X/L$ the corresponding quotient map and let  $j: Y \longrightarrow X/L$  be a linear continuous map. Then the space  $Z := \{(x, y) \in X \times Y : q(x) = j(y)\}$  provided with the relative topology induced by the product  $X \times Y$  together with the restricted projections  $p_X: Z \longrightarrow X$  and  $p_Y: Z \longrightarrow Y$  (the latter of which is a quotient map) is called the corresponding pullback in the category of locally convex spaces LCS. In fact, Z has the following universal property. Whenever a triple (E, f, g) consisting of a locally convex space E and linear continuous maps  $f: E \longrightarrow X, g: E \longrightarrow Y$  such that  $q \circ f = j \circ g$ , then there is a linear continuous map  $h: E \longrightarrow Z$  satisfying  $f = p_X \circ h$  and  $g = p_Y \circ h$ .

If j is injective, then Z is (via  $p_X$ ) topologically isomorphic to the subspace  $q^{-1}(j(Y))$  provided with the initial topology w.r. to the inclusion  $q^{-1}(j(Y)) \hookrightarrow X$  and the restricted quotient map  $q|q^{-1}(j(Y)) : q^{-1}(j(Y)) \longrightarrow Y$ . In this

shape, the pullback had several applications to three-space-problems (providing counter examples, cf. [2], [3], [4] and [6]).

Trivially, Z inherits from X and Y all properties that are stable under initial topologies in LCS. Concerning other properties, the behaviour of Z will be rather bad in general.

In fact, let L be an arbitrary Hausdorff locally convex space, X a product of Banach spaces containing L as a topological subspace and let Y be an arbitrary subspace of X/L provided with the strongest locally convex topology; let  $q: X \longrightarrow X/L$  denote the quotient map. Then  $Z := q^{-1}(Y)$ , endowed with the initial topology mentioned above, contains the given locally convex space L as a topologically complemented subspace. Thus Z can be obtained as bad as possible, whereas X and Y are nice spaces. In the following construction, the map  $j: Y \longrightarrow X/L$  will be even bijective. By the example of Köthe and Grothendieck (see [9]) of a Montel echelon space of type 1, having  $\ell^1$  as a quotient, the topological direct sum  $X := \bigoplus \ell^{\infty}$  contains a closed linear subspace L which is not a DF-space, hence not countably quasibarrelled. Let Y := X/L be endowed with the strongest locally convex topology. Again the pullback  $Z := q^{-1}(Y)$  contains L as a complemented subspace. Since in the above two examples, the restricted quotient map  $q|Z: Z \longrightarrow Y$  leads into a space with the strongest locally convex topology, it will remain an open map, if Z is given its associated bornological topology. On the other hand, a continuous and open linear map  $f: X \longrightarrow Y$  will not remain open in general as a map  $f: X^{\text{bor}} \longrightarrow Y^{\text{bor}}$  between the associated bornological spaces. In order to provide an example, we recall that every locally convex space E is a quotient of a suitable complete locally convex space F, in which all bounded sets have finite dimensional linear span (see [5]). Putting E to be any bornological space, which does not carry the strongest locally convex topology, we are done.

Returning to the pullback, W. Rump asked, whether there is a pullback in the category of bornological spaces, which amounts to the problem, whether in the above setting with X and Y bornological, the restricted projection  $p_Y : Z \longrightarrow Y$ , which is easily shown to be open, remains open as a map  $p_Y : Z^{\text{bor}} \longrightarrow Y$ , where  $Z^{\text{bor}}$  denotes the associated bornological space.

**1 Remark.** A partial positive result can be obtained easily:

Let X, Y be bornological spaces,  $L \subset X$  a linear subspace,  $q: X \longrightarrow X/L$ the quotient map,  $j: Y \hookrightarrow X/L$  linear and continuous, and let Z,  $p_X, p_Y$  be as before. If for each bounded set A in Y there is a bounded set B in X such that  $q(B) \supset j(A)$ , then  $p_Y: Z^{\text{bor}} \longrightarrow Y$  is open.

In fact, given  $A \subset Y$  bounded choose  $B \subset X$  bounded such that  $q(B) \supset j(A)$ ; the set  $C := (B \times A) \cap Z$  is bounded in Z and for all  $a \in A$  there is  $b \in B$ 

The Pullback for bornological and ultrabornological spaces

with j(a) = q(b) which implies  $(b, a) \in C$ . Thus  $p_Y(C) \supset A$ , and we are done.

On the other hand, the following example shows that the answer to Rump's question is negative in general.

**2 Example.** Let E, F be Banach spaces with unit balls  $B_E$  and  $B_F$ , respectively, and continuous inclusion  $F \hookrightarrow E$  and such that  $B_F \subset B_E$  and  $C := F \cap \overline{B}_F^E$  is not absorbed by  $B_F$ . Let  $X := \bigoplus_{\mathbb{N}} E \times c_0(F)$ , let  $G := \bigoplus_{\mathbb{N}} E + c_0(F) = \operatorname{ind}_{n \to} G_n$ , where  $G_n := E^{n-1} \times c_0((F)_{k \ge n})$ , be the corresponding LB-space of Moscatelli type (which is not regular in this case, see [1]), and let  $q : X \longrightarrow G$ ,  $((x_n)_n, (y_n)_n) \mapsto (x_n + y_n)_n$  denote the natural quotient map.  $L := \{(\frac{1}{n}y)_n : y \in F\}$  is a linear subspace of  $G_1 = c_0(F)$ . We first show that for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}, G_m$  and  $G_1$  induce the same topology on L. In fact, let  $(y^{(k)})_k$  be a sequence in F such that  $((\frac{1}{n}y^{(k)})_n)_k$  converges to  $(0)_n$  in  $G_m$ ; then  $(\frac{1}{m}y^{(k)})_k$  converges to 0 in F, hence  $(y^{(k)})_k$  converges to 0 in F, from which one easily obtains that  $((\frac{1}{n}y^{(k)})_n)_k$  converges to  $(0)_n$  in  $G_1$ .

easily obtains that  $\left(\left(\frac{1}{n}y^{(k)}\right)_n\right)_k$  converges to  $(0)_n$  in  $G_1$ . Next we define  $A := \left\{\left(\frac{1}{n}y\right)_n : y \in C\right\} \subset L$ , and show that A is a bounded subset of G. In fact,  $B_F^{\mathbb{N}} \cap G$  is clearly bounded in G, and it suffices to prove that  $A \subset \overline{B_F^{\mathbb{N}} \cap G}^G$ . For that purpose let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $(\varepsilon_n)_n \in (0,\infty)^{\mathbb{N}}$  be given; moreover, let  $y \in C$ . Choose  $n_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{1}{n}y \in \varepsilon B_F$  for all  $n \geq n_{\varepsilon}$ ; furthermore, for all  $n < n_{\varepsilon}, \frac{1}{n}y \in \frac{1}{n}C = \overline{\frac{1}{n}B_F}^E \subset \frac{1}{n}B_F + \varepsilon_n B_E$ . Thus  $\left(\frac{1}{n}y\right)_n \in G \cap B_F^{\mathbb{N}} + \varepsilon B_F^{\mathbb{N}} + \bigoplus_{\mathbb{N}} \varepsilon_n B_E$ .

Obviously, A is absorbing in L; consequently the Minkowski functional  $p_A$  is a norm on L, and the inclusion  $j: Y := (L, p_A) \hookrightarrow G$  is continuous.

Let, as above,  $Z := \{(x, y) \in X \times Y : q(x) = j(y)\}$  and let  $p_Y : Z \longrightarrow Y$ denote the restricted projection. We claim that  $p_Y : Z^{\text{bor}} \longrightarrow Y$  is not open. For that purpose we want to show that there is a bornivorous absolutely convex set U in Z such that  $p_Y(U)$  does not absorb A.

Let us assume that the contrary is true. Let  $(\varepsilon_n)_n \in (0, \infty)^{\mathbb{N}}$  be arbitrary. Then

$$U := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\varepsilon_n}{2} \left( \left( \left( \bigoplus_{k < n} B_E \times \prod_{k \ge n} \{0\} \right) \times \left( B_F^{\mathbb{N}} \cap c_0(F) \right) \times A \right) \cap Z \right)$$

is clearly bornivorous in Z. By assumption, A is absorbed by

$$p_Y(U) \subset \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\varepsilon_n}{2} \left( \left( \left( \bigoplus_{k < n} B_E \times \prod_{k \ge n} \{0\} \right) + \left( B_F^{\mathbb{N}} \cap c_0(F) \right) \right) \cap A \right) \subset$$

J. Bonet, S. Dierolf

$$\subset \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\varepsilon_n\left(\left(\bigoplus_{k< n}B_E\times\prod_{k\geq n}B_F\right)\cap L\right).$$

This latter set is a typical O-nbhd in  $\operatorname{ind}_{n\to}(L, S_n \cap L)$  where  $S_n$  denotes the topology of  $G_n$ . Thus we obtain that A is bounded in  $\operatorname{ind}_{n\to}(L, S_n \cap L)$ . Since  $S_n \cap L = S_1 \cap L$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , A is bounded in  $(G_1, S_1) = c_0(F)$ . Therefore  $pr_1(A) = C$  is a bounded subset of F, a contradiction. (L is in fact a subspace of  $G = \operatorname{ind}_{n\longrightarrow} G_n$  which is not a limit subspace).

A suitable modification of the construction in the above example yields a negative answer to a pullback in the category of ultrabornological spaces.

**3 Example.** By [7] there exist Banach spaces containing dense ultrabornological hyperplanes H. Comparing H with a closed hyperplane in the same Banach space, one obtains a Banach space  $(E, || \cdot ||)$  admitting a strictly finer ultrabornological normed topology S. Let us put F := (E, S). Then the identity map  $id : (E, S) \longrightarrow (E, || \cdot ||)$  is continuous, and we may clearly assume that the unit ball  $B_F$  in F = (E, S) is dense in the unit ball  $B_E$  of  $(E, || \cdot ||)$ . Clearly,  $B_E$  is not absorbed by  $B_F$ . Repeating the construction of Example 1 verbatim (we never utilized the completeness of F in Example 1), we obtain:

There is a bornivorous absolutely convex set U in

$$Z \subset \left(\bigoplus_{\mathbb{N}} (E, || \cdot ||) \times c_0((E, \mathcal{S}))\right) \times (L, p_A)$$

such that  $p_Y(U)$  does not absorb the set  $A = \{ (\frac{1}{n}y)_n : y \in C := B_E \}$ . As A is closed in the unit ball of the Banach space  $\{x = (x_n) \in E^{\mathbb{N}} : |||x||| := \sup ||\frac{1}{n}x_n|| < \infty\}$  (diagonal transform of  $\ell^{\infty}(E, || \cdot ||)$ ), A is a Banach disc and  $Y = (L, p_A)$  a Banach space. Consequently,  $p_Y : Z \longrightarrow Y$  is not even open w. r. to the associated bornological topology on Z.

It remains to prove that  $X = \bigoplus_{\mathbb{N}} (E, || \cdot ||) \times c_0(E, S)$  is ultrabornological, or - equivalently - that  $c_0(E, S)$  is ultrabornological.

Since (E, S) is ultrabornological, the proof of 1.8.9 in [10] yields that in  $c_0(E, S)$  every absolutely convex set that absorbs all bounded Banach discs is bornivorous, hence a 0-nbhd in the normed space  $c_0(E, S)$ .

Acknowledgements. The research of J. Bonet was partially supported by MCYT and FEDER Proyecto no. BFM 2001 - 2670.

The Pullback for bornological and ultrabornological spaces

## References

- BONET, J., DIEROLF, S.: On LB-spaces of Moscatelli type, Doga Tr. J. Math. 13 (1990), 9–33.
- [2] BONET, J., DIEROLF, S.: On distinguished Fréchet spaces, In: Progress in Functional Analysis, North-Holland, Math. Studies, Vol. 170 (1992), 201–214.
- [3] BONET, J., DIEROLF, S., FERNÁNDEZ, C.: On the three-space-problem for distinguished Fréchet spaces, Bull. Soc. Roy. Sci. Liège 59 (1992), 301–306.
- [4] DIAZ, J.C., DIEROLF, S., DOMANSKI, P., FERNÁNDEZ, C.: On the three-space-problem for dual Fréchet spaces, Bull. Polon, Acad. Sci., 40 (1992), 221–224.
- [5] DIEROLF, S.: Über assoziierte lineare und lokalkonvexe Topologien, Manuscript Math. 16 (1975), 27–46.
- [6] DIEROLF, S.: On the tree-space-problem and the lifting of bounded sets, Collect. Math., 44 (1993), 83–91.
- [7] DIEROLF, S., LURJE, P.: Deux exemples concernant des espaces (ultra) bornolgiques, C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris, 282 (1976), 1347–1350.
- [8] FLORET, K., WLOKA, J.: Einführung in die Theorie der lokalkonvexen Räume, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 56, Springer, Berlin 1968.
- [9] GROTHENDIECK, A.: Sur les espaces (F) et (DF), Summa Brasil. Math., 3 (1954), 57–122.
- [10] PEREZ CARRERAS, P., BONET, J.: Barrelled Locally Convex Spaces. North Holland Mathematical Studies, Vol. 131, 1987.