

## Existence and uniqueness theorem for Frenet frame supercurves

**Valentin Gabriel Cristea**

*C.P. 6 O.P. 4 Târgoviște*

*Jud. Dambovită cod 130067 Romania*

*valentin\_cristea@yahoo.com*

Received: 15/06/2004; accepted: 03/11/2004.

**Abstract.** In the first part of this paper, using the Banach Grassmann algebra  $B_L$  given by Rogers in her paper [10], a new scalar product and a new definition of the orthogonality are introduced on the  $(m,n)$ -dimensional total supereuclidean space  $B_L^{m+n}$ . Using the  $GH^\infty$  functions given by Rogers in [10], the new definitions of the supercurve, of the supersmooth supercurve, of the supersmooth supercurve in general position and of the Frenet frame associated to a supersmooth supercurve in general position are given. In the second part of this paper, using the classical results described in [9], the new existence and uniqueness theorem for some supercurves which admit Frenet frame is proved.

**Keywords:**  $(m, n)$ -dimensional total supereuclidean space  $B_L^{m+n}$ , the  $(m, n)$ -dimensional supereuclidean space  $B_L^{m,n}$ , the  $GH^\infty$  functions, supersmooth supercurve, supersmooth supercurve in general position, Frenet frame associated to a supersmooth supercurve, Frenet formulas for the supersmooth supercurve.

**MSC 2000 classification:** 58A50

### 1 Supersmooth supercurve in general position and Frenet frame associated to a supersmooth supercurve in general position

Let us consider only algebras over the reals. For each positive integer  $L$ ,  $B_L$  [10] will denote the Grassmann algebra over the reals with generators  $1^{(L)}, \beta_1^{(L)}, \dots, \beta_L^{(L)}$  and relations

$$1^{(L)}\beta_i^{(L)} = \beta_i^{(L)}1^{(L)} = \beta_i^{(L)} \quad i = 1, \dots, L, \quad (1.1)$$

$$\beta_i^{(L)}\beta_j^{(L)} = -\beta_j^{(L)}\beta_i^{(L)} \quad i, j = 1, \dots, L. \quad (1.2)$$

$B_L$  is a graded algebra [12] and can be written as a direct sum

$$B_L = (B_L)_0 \oplus (B_L)_1$$

where  $(B_L)_0$  and  $(B_L)_1$  are the even and odd part of  $(B_L)$  respectively. Let  $M_L$  denote (due to Kostant [7]) the set of finite sequences of positive integers

$\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k)$  with  $1 \leq \mu_1 < \dots < \mu_k \leq L$ .  $M_L$  includes the sequence with no elements, denoted  $\phi$ . As it follows in [10] for each  $\mu$  in  $M_L$ ,

$$\beta_\mu^{(L)} := \beta_{\mu_1}^{(L)} \dots \beta_{\mu_k}^{(L)}, \quad (1.3)$$

and

$$\beta_\phi^{(L)} := 1^{(L)} \quad (1.4)$$

a typical element  $b$  of  $B_L$  may be expressed as

$$b = \sum_{\mu \in M_L} b^\mu \beta_\mu^{(L)}, \quad (1.5)$$

where the coefficient  $b^\mu$  are real numbers. We consider the body map (in DeWitt's terminology [4])

$$\varepsilon^{(L)} : B_L \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$$

given by

$$\varepsilon^{(L)}(b) = b^\phi. \quad (1.6)$$

With the norm on  $B_L$  defined by

$$\|b\| := \sum_{\mu \in M_L} |b^\mu|, \quad (1.7)$$

$B_L$  is a Banach algebra [11]. Considering  $L'$  also a positive integer, with  $L \geq L'$ , then there is a natural injection  $i_{L',L} : B_{L'} \rightarrow B_L$  [10], which is the unique algebra homomorphism satisfying

$$i_{L',L}(\beta_i^{(L')}) = \beta_i^{(L)} \quad i = 1, \dots, L, \quad i_{L',L}(1^{(L')}) = 1^{(L)}. \quad (1.8)$$

$B_L$  naturally has a  $B_{L'}$  module structure [10] with, given  $a \in B_{L'}$  and  $b \in B_L$ ,

$$ab := i_{L',L}(a)b. \quad (1.9)$$

We define the  $(m, n)$ -dimensional total supereuclidean space  $B_L^{m+n}$  [1] as the space which is the cartesian product of  $m + n$  copies of  $B_L$  and has the graduation

$$B_L^{m+n} = (B_L^{m+n})_0 \oplus (B_L^{m+n})_1$$

A typical element of  $B_L^{m+n}$  is written  $(x^1, \dots, x^m, 6^1, \dots, 6^n)$  or simply  $(x, 6)$ , an element of  $(B_L^{m+n})_0$  is called  $c$ -type or even element and is written in the form  $(x'^1, \dots, x'^m, 6'^1, \dots, 6'^m)$  with  $x'^1, \dots, x'^m \in (B_L)_0$  and  $6'^1, \dots, 6'^m \in (B_L)_1$  and an element of  $(B_L^{m+n})_1$  is called  $a$ -type or odd element and is written in the form  $(x''^1, \dots, x''^m, 6''^1, \dots, 6''^m)$  with  $x''^1, \dots, x''^m \in (B_L)_1$  and  $6''^1, \dots, 6''^m \in (B_L)_0$ . An even element has the parity 0 and an odd element has the parity 1.

**1 Definition.** [4]  $W$  is called the supervector space over the space  $B_L$  if and only if  $W$  is the supervector space given by the 1) – 5) conditions from the DeWitt's definition (see DeWitt's book pages 14-15) where instead of  $\Lambda_\infty$  we put  $B_L$ .

**2 Example.** The  $(m, n)$ -dimensional total supereuclidean space  $B_L^{m+n}$  with the above graduation

$$B_L^{m+n} = (B_L^{m+n})_0 \oplus (B_L^{m+n})_1$$

is a supervector space of dimension  $(m, n)$ .

**3 Remark.** Let us consider the  $(m, n)$ -dimensional supereuclidean space  $B_L^{m,n}$  given by Rogers in [10]. We note that the space  $B_L^{m,n}$  is not a supervector space over  $B_L$  as in Definition 1.

A useful map is [10]

$$\varepsilon_{m,n}^{(L)} : (B_L^{m+n})_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$$

with

$$\varepsilon_{m,n}^{(L)}(x^{i1}, \dots, x^{im}, \mathbf{6}^{i1}, \dots, \mathbf{6}^{in}) := (\varepsilon^{(L)}(x^{i1}), \dots, \varepsilon^{(L)}(x^{im})) \quad (1.10)$$

and another useful map is

$$\varepsilon_{m,n}'^{(L)} : (B_L^{m+n})_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$$

with

$$\varepsilon_{m,n}'^{(L)}(x^{i1}, \dots, x^{im}, \mathbf{6}^{i1}, \dots, \mathbf{6}^{in}) := (\varepsilon^{(L)}(\mathbf{6}^{i1}), \dots, \varepsilon^{(L)}(\mathbf{6}^{in})). \quad (1.11)$$

**4 Remark.** Let us consider the  $(1, 1)$ -dimensional total supereuclidean space  $B_L^2$ ,  $(2, 0)$ -dimensional total supereuclidean space  $B_L^2$  and  $(0, 2)$ -dimensional total supereuclidean space  $B_L^2$  and the element  $(1, 0)$  which belongs to these three spaces. We note that the element  $(1, 0)$  is  $c$ -type for the first two spaces and is  $a$ -type for the last space. We may write the supervector  $(1, 0)$  in a standard basis [4] in the form:

$$(1, 0) = 1 \cdot (1, 0) + 0 \cdot (0, \beta^1)$$

for the  $(1, 1)$ -dimensional total supereuclidean space  $B_L^2$  and  $(0, 2)$ -dimensional total supereuclidean space  $B_L^2$  where a standard basis [4] in these spaces is  $\{(1, 0), (0, \beta^1)\}$  with  $(1, 0)$   $c$ -type supervector and  $(0, \beta^1)$   $a$ -type supervector and the supervector  $(1, 0)$  can be written in a standard basis [4] in the form:

$$(1, 0) = 1 \cdot (1, 0) + 0 \cdot (0, 1)$$

for the  $(2, 0)$ -dimensional total supereuclidean space  $B_L^2$ , where a standard basis [4] in this space is  $\{(1, 0), (0, 1)\}$  with  $(1, 0)$   $c$ -type supervector and  $(0, 1)$   $a$ -type supervector

**5 Definition.** [10] Suppose  $U \subset \mathbf{R}^m$  is open and  $L'$  is a positive integer with  $L' \leq L$ . Let  $C^\infty(U, B_{L'})$  denote the  $B_{L'}$  module of  $C^\infty$  functions of  $U$  into  $B_{L'}$ ; (recall that  $B_{L'}$  is a Banach algebra, and hence *a fortiori* a Banach space). Then the map

$$Z_{L',L} : C^\infty(U, B_{L'}) \rightarrow [\varepsilon_{m,0}^{(L)}(U)]^{B_L}$$

is defined by [10]

$$Z_{L',L}(f)(x^1, \dots, x^m) = \sum_{i_1=0 \dots i_m=0}^L \frac{1}{i_1! \dots i_m!} \cdot i_{L',L}(\partial_1^{i_1} \dots \partial_m^{i_m} f(\varepsilon^{(L)}(x^1), \dots, \varepsilon^{(L)}(x^m))) \times s(x^1)^{i_1} \dots s(x^m)^{i_m} \quad (1.12)$$

where [10]

$$s(x^i) = x^i - \varepsilon^{(L)}(x^i)1, \quad i = 1, \dots, m. \quad (1.13)$$

**6 Definition.** [10] Suppose  $V$  is open in  $B_L^{m,n}$  (with respect to its usual finite-dimensional vector space topology) and  $U = \varepsilon_{m,n}^{(L)}(V)$ , suppose  $L > 2n$  and  $L' = [\frac{1}{2}L]$ , the last integer not less than  $\frac{1}{2}L$ .  $GH^\infty(V)$  denotes the set of functions  $f : V \rightarrow B_L$  for which there exist [10]  $f_\mu \in C^\infty(U, B_{L'})$  such that

$$f(x, \mathbf{6}) = \sum_{\mu \in M_n} Z_{L',L}(f_\mu)(x) \mathbf{6}^\mu \quad (1.14)$$

where

$$\mathbf{6}^\mu = \mathbf{6}^{\mu_1} \dots \mathbf{6}^{\mu_k} \quad (1.15)$$

and

$$\mathbf{6}^\phi = 1^{(L)}. \quad (1.16)$$

**7 Definition.** [10] With the notation of Definition 5, let  $f$  be an element of  $GH^\infty(V)$ , with expansion (1.12). Then, for  $i = 1, \dots, m$

$$G_i f : V \rightarrow B_L$$

is defined by [10]

$$G_i f(x; \mathbf{6}) = \sum_{\mu \in M_n} Z_{L',L}(\partial_i f_\mu)(x) \mathbf{6}^\mu. \quad (1.17)$$

Also, for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ ,

$$G_{j+m} f : V \rightarrow B_L$$

is defined by [10]

$$G_{j-m}f(x; \mathbf{6}) = \sum_{\mu \in M_n} Z_{L',L}(f_\mu)(x) \mathbf{6}^{\mu/j} \times (-1)^{|f_\mu(x)|}, \quad (1.18)$$

where  $|f_\mu(x)|$  is the Grassmann parity of  $f_\mu(x)$ , and

$$\mathbf{6}^{\mu/j} = \mathbf{6}^{\mu_1} \dots \mathbf{6}^{\mu_{i-1}} \mathbf{6}^{\mu_{i+1}} \dots \mathbf{6}^{\mu_k} (-1)^{i-1},$$

if  $j = \mu_i$  for some  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $\mathbf{6}^{\mu/j}$  otherwise.

For the first time, I have introduced on  $B_L^{m+n}$  with  $n = 2r$ , the scalar product

$$\langle v, w \rangle = x^1 y^1 + \dots + x^m y^m + \mathbf{6}^1 \mathbf{6}_1^{r+1} + \dots + \mathbf{6}^r \mathbf{6}_1^n - \mathbf{6}^{r+1} \mathbf{6}_1^1 - \dots - \mathbf{6}^n \mathbf{6}_1^r$$

( $\forall$ )  $v = (x^1, \dots, x^m, \mathbf{6}^1, \dots, \mathbf{6}^n)$ ,  $w = (y^1, \dots, y^m, \mathbf{6}_1^1, \dots, \mathbf{6}_1^n) \in B_L^{m+n}$  which has these properties:

- a)  $\langle v, w \rangle = (-1)^{|v||w|} \langle w, v \rangle$  (supersymmetry)
- b)  $\langle u + v, w \rangle = \langle u, w \rangle + \langle v, w \rangle$  ( $\forall$ )  $u, v, w \in B_L^{m+n}$  (linearity)
- c)  $\langle v, \cdot \rangle = 0$  if and only if  $v = 0$ .

**8 Proposition (Number of scalar products on  $B_L^{m+n}$ ).** On  $B_L^{m+n}$  with  $n = 2r$ , we may give  $r!$  different scalar products with  $r \geq 1$ .

PROOF. There are  $r!$  one-to-one functions  $f : \{1, \dots, r\} \rightarrow \{r+1, \dots, 2r\}$ . For each function  $f$  we have the following scalar product between  $v = (x^1, \dots, x^m, \mathbf{6}^1, \dots, \mathbf{6}^n)$  and  $w = (y^1, \dots, y^m, \mathbf{6}_1^1, \dots, \mathbf{6}_1^n)$

$$\langle v, w \rangle_f = \sum_{k=1}^m x^k y^k + \sum_{j_1=1}^r (\mathbf{6}^{j_1} \mathbf{6}_1^{f(j_1)} - \mathbf{6}^{f(j_1)} \mathbf{6}_1^{j_1}).$$

One can easily verify the *a*), *b*) and *c*) relations of a scalar product. Let us prove *a*) in the case when  $v$  and  $w$  are odd elements of  $B_L^{m+n}$ , that is,  $v$  and  $w$  belong to  $(B_L^{m+n})_1$ . Then, we shall have  $v = (x''^1, \dots, x''^m, \mathbf{6}''^1, \dots, \mathbf{6}''^n)$  and  $w = (y''^1, \dots, y''^m, \mathbf{6}_1''^1, \dots, \mathbf{6}_1''^n)$ . When we compute the scalar product between  $v$  and  $w$ , we shall get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v, w \rangle_f &= \sum_{k=1}^m x''^k \cdot y''^k + \sum_{j_1=1}^r (\mathbf{6}_1''^{j_1} \mathbf{6}_1''^{f(j_1)} - \mathbf{6}_1''^{f(j_1)} \mathbf{6}_1''^{j_1}) = \\ &= - \sum_{k=1}^m y''^k \cdot x''^k + \sum_{j_1=1}^r (\mathbf{6}_1''^{f(j_1)} \mathbf{6}_1''^{j_1} - \mathbf{6}_1''^{j_1} \mathbf{6}_1''^{f(j_1)}) \end{aligned}$$

Now, the scalar product between  $v$  and  $w$  becomes

$$\langle v, w \rangle_f = -\left( \sum_{k=1}^n y''^k \cdot x''^k + \sum_{j_1=1}^r (6_1''^{j_1} 6_1''^{f(j_1)} - 6_1''^{f(j_1)} 6_1''^{j_1}) \right)$$

Thus, we get

$$\langle v, w \rangle_f = -\langle w, v \rangle_f.$$

This ends the proof.  $\square$  **QED**

**9 Definition (Orthogonality on  $B_L^{m+n}$ ).** We say that the supervector  $v$  of  $B_L^{m+n}$  is orthogonal to the supervector  $w$  of  $B_L^{m+n}$  if and only if  $\varepsilon^{(L)}(\langle v, w \rangle) = 0$ .

The column supervectors  $E_1 = (1, \dots, 0)$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $E_m = (0, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ , where 1 is written on the  $m^{\text{th}}$  place,  $E_{m+1} = (0, \dots, 0, -1, 0, \dots, 0)$ , where  $-1$  is written on the  $(m+r+1)^{\text{th}}$  place,  $\dots$ ,  $E_{m+r} = (0, \dots, 0, -1)$ , where  $-1$  is written on the  $(m+n)^{\text{th}}$  place,  $E_{m+r+1} = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ , where 1 is written on the  $(m+1)^{\text{th}}$  place,  $\dots$ ,  $E_{m+n} = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ , where 1 is written on the  $(m+r)^{\text{th}}$  place, form the standard basis on  $B_L^{m+n}$  [4] where the first  $m$  supervectors are  $c$ -type and the last  $n$  supervectors are  $a$ -type.

**10 Definition (Supersmooth supercurve).** [10] Let suppose  $L > 2n$  and let  $B_L^{m+n}$  be an  $(m, n)$ -dimensional total superEuclidean space, let  $V$  be an open set in  $B_L^{1,1}$ , let  $c : V \subset B_L^{1,1} \rightarrow B_L^{m+n}$  be a function, and for every  $\mathfrak{6} \in V \cap (B_L)_1$  let define

$$c_{\mathfrak{6},0} : V \cap (B_L)_0 \rightarrow (B_L^{m+n})_0$$

given by

$$c_{\mathfrak{6},0}(t) = (c(t, \mathfrak{6}))_0$$

where  $(c(t, \mathfrak{6}))_0$  is the even part of the supervector  $c(t, \mathfrak{6})$  and

$$c_{\mathfrak{6},B} : V \cap (B_L)_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$$

given by

$$c_{\mathfrak{6},B}(t) = \varepsilon_{m,n}^{(L)} \circ c_{\mathfrak{6},0}(t)$$

for all  $t \in V \cap (B_L)_0$ . The function  $c$  will be said to be supercurve if and only if  $c_{\mathfrak{6},B}|_{V \cap \mathbf{R}}$  will be a curve. The function  $c$  is called supersmooth if and only if  $c^i \in GH^\infty(V)$  ( $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ ) and  $c^{j+m} \in GH^\infty(V)$  ( $\forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ) where  $c^i = x^i \circ c$  ( $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ ) and  $c^{j+m} = \mathfrak{6}^j \circ c$  ( $\forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ).

**11 Definition (Supercurve in general position).** Let suppose  $L > 2n$  and let  $B_L^{m+n}$  be an  $(m, n)$ -dimensional total supereuclidean space, let  $V$  be an open set in  $B_L^{1,1}$  and let  $c : V \subset B_L^{1,1} \rightarrow B_L^{m+n}$  be a supersmooth supercurve. We say that the supercurve  $c$  is in general position if and only if

$$\{G_1c(t, \mathfrak{b}), \dots, G_1^{(m-1)}c(t, \mathfrak{b}), G_2c(t, \mathfrak{b}), G_1G_2c(t, \mathfrak{b}), \dots, \dots, G_1^{(n-1)}G_2c(t, \mathfrak{b})\}$$

are linear independent  $(\forall) (t, \mathfrak{b}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$  and where by  $G_1c(t, \mathfrak{b})$  we understand the supervector

$$(G_1c^1(t, \mathfrak{b}), \dots, G_1c^m(t, \mathfrak{b}), G_1c^{m+1}(t, \mathfrak{b}), \dots, G_1c^{m+n}(t, \mathfrak{b}))$$

$$(\forall) (t, \mathfrak{b}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$$

by  $G_2c(t, \mathfrak{b})$  we understand the supervector

$$(G_2c^1(t, \mathfrak{b}), \dots, G_2c^m(t, \mathfrak{b}), G_2c^{m+1}(t, \mathfrak{b}), \dots, G_2c^{m+n}(t, \mathfrak{b}))$$

$$(\forall) (t, \mathfrak{b}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1},$$

with  $G_1^{(s)}c(t, \mathfrak{b}) = G_1 \cdots G_1c(t, \mathfrak{b})$ , where  $G_1^{(0)}c(t, \mathfrak{b}) = c(t, \mathfrak{b})$  and  $G_1^{(1)}c(t, \mathfrak{b}) = G_1c(t, \mathfrak{b})$  and “...” means that  $G_1$  is applied by  $s$  times.

**12 Definition (Frenet Frame associated to a supersmooth supercurve).** Let suppose  $L > 2n$  and let  $B_L^{m+n}$  be an  $(m, n)$ -dimensional total supereuclidean space, let  $V$  be an open set in  $B_L^{1,1}$  and let  $c : V \subset B_L^{1,1} \rightarrow B_L^{m+n}$  be a supersmooth supercurve. By a Frenet frame associated to a supersmooth supercurve  $c : V \subset B_L^{1,1} \rightarrow B_L^{m+n}$  we shall mean a system of  $m+n$  supervector fields  $\{e_1, \dots, e_{m+n}\}$  along to the supersmooth supercurve  $c$  such that  $(\forall) (t, \mathfrak{b}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$  we have the following properties:

$$\langle e_k(t, \mathfrak{b}), e_h(t, \mathfrak{b}) \rangle = \delta_{kh} \quad (\forall) k, h \in \{1, \dots, m\} \quad (1.19)$$

$$\langle e_{m+r-j_1}(t, \mathfrak{b}), e_{m+j_2}(t, \mathfrak{b}) \rangle = -\delta_{j_1j_2} \quad (\forall) j_1, j_2 \in \{1, \dots, r\} \quad (1.20)$$

$$\langle e_{m+j_1}(t, \mathfrak{b}), e_{m+r+j_2}(t, \mathfrak{b}) \rangle = \delta_{j_1j_2} \quad (\forall) j_1, j_2 \in \{1, \dots, r\} \quad (1.21)$$

$$\langle e_{m-j_1}(t, \mathfrak{b}), e_{m+j_2}(t, \mathfrak{b}) \rangle = 0, \quad (\forall) j_1, j_2 \in \{1, \dots, r\} \quad (1.22)$$

$$\langle e_{m+r+j_1}(t, \mathfrak{b}), e_{m+r+j_2}(t, \mathfrak{b}) \rangle = 0, \quad (\forall) j_1, j_2 \in \{1, \dots, r\} \quad (1.23)$$

$$\langle e_i(t, \mathfrak{b}), e_{m+j}(t, \mathfrak{b}) \rangle = \langle e_{m+j}(t, \mathfrak{b}), e_i(t, \mathfrak{b}) \rangle = 0, \quad (1.24)$$

$(\forall) i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  and  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

$$\text{span}(G_1c(t, \mathfrak{b}), \dots, G_1^{(k)}c(t, \mathfrak{b})) = \text{span}(e_1(t, \mathfrak{b}), \dots, e_k(t, \mathfrak{b})) \quad (1.25)$$

$$(\forall) k \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$$

where  $G_1^{(1)}c(t, \mathbf{6}) = G_1c(t, \mathbf{6})$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{span}(G_2c(t, \mathbf{6}), G_1G_2c(t, \mathbf{6}), \dots, G_1^{(j-1)}G_2c(t, \mathbf{6})) = \\ = \text{span}(e_{m+1}(t, \mathbf{6}), \dots, e_{m+j}(t, \mathbf{6})) \quad (\forall) j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \end{aligned} \quad (1.26)$$

where  $G_1^{(0)}G_2c(t, \mathbf{6}) = G_2c(t, \mathbf{6})$  and  $G_1^{(1)}G_2c(t, \mathbf{6}) = G_1G_2c(t, \mathbf{6})$  and we shall mean by  $\text{span}(e_1(t, \mathbf{6}), \dots, e_k(t, \mathbf{6}))$  the supervector space [4], spanned by  $e_1(t, \mathbf{6}), \dots, e_k(t, \mathbf{6})$   $(\forall) (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ . The systems of supervectors

$$\{G_1c(t, \mathbf{6}), \dots, G_1^{(k)}c(t, \mathbf{6})\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{e_1(t, \mathbf{6}), \dots, e_k(t, \mathbf{6})\} \quad (1.27)$$

are directed in the same way  $(\forall) (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$  and  $(\forall) k \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$ , that is,  $\varepsilon^{(L)}(\det M_1) > 0$  where  $M_1$  is the matrix when we change from the frame  $\{G_1c(t, \mathbf{6}), \dots, G_1^{(k)}c(t, \mathbf{6})\}$  to the frame  $\{e_1(t, \mathbf{6}), \dots, e_k(t, \mathbf{6})\}$  and the systems of supervectors

$$\begin{aligned} \{G_2c(t, \mathbf{6}), G_1G_2c(t, \mathbf{6}), \dots, G_1^{(j-1)}G_2c(t, \mathbf{6})\} \\ \text{and} \quad \{e_{m+1}(t, \mathbf{6}), \dots, e_{m+j}(t, \mathbf{6})\} \end{aligned} \quad (1.28)$$

are directed in the same way  $(\forall) (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$   $(\forall) j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , that is,  $\varepsilon^{(L)}(\det M_2) > 0$  where  $M_2$  is the matrix when we change from the frame

$$\{G_2c(t, \mathbf{6}), G_1G_2c(t, \mathbf{6}), \dots, G_1^{(j-1)}G_2c(t, \mathbf{6})\}$$

to the frame

$$\{e_{m+1}(t, \mathbf{6}), \dots, e_{m+j}(t, \mathbf{6})\}.$$

The system of supervectors

$$\{e_1(t, \mathbf{6}), \dots, e_{m+n}(t, \mathbf{6})\} \quad (1.29)$$

is positive directed  $(\forall) (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ , that is,  $\varepsilon^{(L)}(\text{sdet}(e_q^s(t, \mathbf{6}))) > 0$  where by  $\text{sdet}(e_q^s(t, \mathbf{6}))$  we understand  $\det(A - C \cdot B^{-1} \cdot D) \cdot (\det B)^{-1}$  [2], [8], [4], [1], [5] where

$$(e_q^s(t, \mathbf{6}))_{1 \leq s, q \leq m+n} = \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ D & B \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $A, B, C$  and  $D$  are  $m \times m, n \times n, m \times n$  and  $n \times m$  matrices with elements in  $B_L$ , respectively.



## 2 Existence and Uniqueness Theorem for Frenet Frame Supercurves

**13 Theorem (Existence and Uniqueness Theorem for Frenet Frame Supercurves).** *Let suppose  $L > 2n$  and let  $B_L^{m+n}$  be an  $(m, n)$ -dimensional total supereuclidean space, let  $V$  be an open set of  $B_L^{1,1}$  and let  $c : V \subset B_L^{1,1} \rightarrow B_L^{m+n}$  be a supersmooth supercurve in general position which satisfy the following relation:*

$$\varepsilon^{(L)}(\langle G_2c(t, \mathbf{6}), G_1^{(r)}G_2c(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle) > 0 \quad (2.1)$$

$$\varepsilon^{(L)}(\langle G_1^{(j_1)}G_2c(t, \mathbf{6}), G_1^{(r+j_1)}G_2c(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle) > 0 \quad (2.2)$$

( $\forall$ )  $j_1 \in \{1, \dots, r-1\}$ , and ( $\forall$ )  $(t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ ,

$$\varepsilon^{(L)}(\langle G_2c(t, \mathbf{6}), G_1^{(j)}G_2c(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle) = 0, \quad (2.3)$$

( $\forall$ )  $j \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ , with  $j \neq r$  and ( $\forall$ )  $(t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ ,

$$\varepsilon^{(L)}(\langle G_1^{(j')}G_2c(t, \mathbf{6}), G_1^{(j)}G_2c(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle) = 0 \quad (2.4)$$

( $\forall$ )  $j' \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ , ( $\forall$ )  $j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$  with  $j \neq j' \mid r$  and  $j' < j$  and  
 ( $\forall$ )  $(t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ . Then there exists a unique Frenet frame  $\{e_1, \dots, e_{m+n}\}$  associated to the supercurve  $c$  and we have the following formulas ( $\forall$ )  $(t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ :

$$G_1e_k(t, \mathbf{6}) = \sum_{h=1}^m a_{kh}(t, \mathbf{6}) \cdot e_h(t, \mathbf{6}) \quad (\forall) k \in \{1, \dots, m\}, \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$a_{kh}(t, \mathbf{6}) + a_{hk}(t, \mathbf{6}) = 0 \quad (\forall) k, h \in \{1, \dots, m\} \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$a_{kh}(t, \mathbf{6}) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad h > k + 1, \quad (\forall) k, h \in \{1, \dots, m\} \quad (2.7)$$

$$G_1e_{m+j}(t, \mathbf{6}) = \sum_{l=1}^n a_{m+j, m+l}(t, \mathbf{6}) \cdot e_{m+l}(t, \mathbf{6}) \quad (\forall) j \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \quad (2.8)$$

where

$$a_{m+j_1 \ m+j_2}(t, \mathbf{6}) + a_{m+r+j_2 \ m+r+j_1}(t, \mathbf{6}) = 0 \quad (\forall) \ j_1, j_2 \in \{1, \dots, r\}, \quad (2.9)$$

$$a_{m+r+j_1 \ m+j_2}(t, \mathbf{6}) - a_{m+r-j_2 \ m+j_1}(t, \mathbf{6}) = 0 \quad (\forall) \ j_1, j_2 \in \{1, \dots, r\}, \quad (2.10)$$

$$a_{m+j_1 \ m+r+j_2}(t, \mathbf{6}) - a_{m+j_2 \ m+r+j_1}(t, \mathbf{6}) = 0 \quad (\forall) \ j_1, j_2 \in \{1, \dots, r\}, \quad (2.11)$$

and

$$a_{i \ m+j}(t, \mathbf{6}) = 0, \quad a_{m+j \ i}(t, \mathbf{6}) = 0 \quad (\forall) \ i \in \{1, \dots, m\}, \quad (2.12)$$

$$(\forall) \ j \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

$$a_{m+j \ m+l}(t, \mathbf{6}) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad l \neq j+1 \quad (\forall) \ j, l \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \quad (2.13)$$

where

$$a_{m+j_1 \ m+j_2}(t, \mathbf{6}) = \langle G_1 e_{m+j_1}(t, \mathbf{6}), e_{m+r+j_2}(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle, \quad (2.14)$$

$$a_{m+j_1 \ m+r+j_2}(t, \mathbf{6}) = -\langle G_1 e_{m+j_1}(t, \mathbf{6}), e_{m+j_2}(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle, \quad (2.15)$$

$$a_{m+r+j_1 \ m+j_2}(t, \mathbf{6}) = \langle G_1 e_{m+r+j_1}(t, \mathbf{6}), e_{m+r+j_2}(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle, \quad (2.16)$$

$$a_{m+r+j_1 \ m+r+j_2}(t, \mathbf{6}) = -\langle G_1 e_{m+r+j_1}(t, \mathbf{6}), e_{m+j_2}(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle, \quad (2.17)$$

$$(\forall) \ j_1, j_2 \in \{1, \dots, r\},$$

$$a_{kh}(t, \mathbf{6}) = \langle G_1 e_k(t, \mathbf{6}), e_h(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle, \quad (\forall) \ k, h \in \{1, \dots, m\}, \quad (2.18)$$

$$a_{k \ m+j}(t, \mathbf{6}) = \langle G_1 e_k(t, \mathbf{6}), e_{m+j}(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle, \quad (\forall) \ k \in \{1, \dots, m\} \quad (2.19)$$

and  $(\forall) \ j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,

$$a_{m+j \ k}(t, \mathbf{6}) = \langle G_1 e_{m+j}(t, \mathbf{6}), e_k(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle, \quad (\forall) \ k \in \{1, \dots, m\} \quad (2.20)$$

and  $(\forall) \ j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

PROOF. We use the proof of the existence and uniqueness theorem for Frenet frame curves from [9] in our proof. From the (2.1) and (2.2) relations we have:

$$\varepsilon^{(L)}(\langle G_2 c(t, \mathbf{6}), G_1^{(r)} G_2 c(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle) \neq 0$$

and

$$\varepsilon^{(L)}(\langle G_1^{(j_1)} G_2 c(t, \mathbf{6}), G_1^{(r+j_1)} G_2 c(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle) \neq 0$$

$\forall j_1 \in \{1, \dots, r-1\}$ . Let us consider

$$\lambda_1(t, \mathbf{6}) = \langle G_2 c(t, \mathbf{6}), G_1^{(r)} G_2 c(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle$$

and

$$\lambda_{j_1}(t, \mathbf{6}) = \langle G_1^{(j_1-1)} G_2 c(t, \mathbf{6}), G_1^{(r+j_1-1)} G_2 c(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle$$

( $\forall$ )  $j_1 \in \{2, \dots, r\}$  and ( $\forall$ )  $(t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ . Because of  $\varepsilon^{(L)}(\lambda_{j_1}(t, \mathbf{6})) \neq 0$  ( $\forall$ )  $j_1 \in \{1, \dots, r\}$  and ( $\forall$ )  $(t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$  it results that there exist  $(\lambda_{j_1}(t, \mathbf{6}))^{-1}$ . Let us consider

$$e_{m+1}(t, \mathbf{6}) = (\lambda_1(t))^{-1} \cdot G_2 c(t, \mathbf{6})$$

and

$$e_{m+j_1}(t, \mathbf{6}) = (\lambda_{j_1}(t))^{-1} \cdot G_1^{(j_1-1)} G_2 c(t, \mathbf{6})$$

( $\forall$ )  $j_1 \in \{2, \dots, r\}$  and ( $\forall$ )  $(t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$  and let us consider

$$e_{m+r+j_2}(t, \mathbf{6}) = G_1^{(r+j_2-1)} G_2 c(t, \mathbf{6})$$

( $\forall$ )  $j_2 \in \{1, \dots, r\}$  and ( $\forall$ )  $(t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ .

The supervectors  $\{e_{m+1}(t, \mathbf{6}), \dots, e_{m+n}(t, \mathbf{6})\}$  are linear independent because the supersmooth supercurve  $c$  is general position. From the definition of these supervectors, we have:

$$\text{span}(G_1 c(t, \mathbf{6}), \dots, G_1^{(k)} c(t, \mathbf{6})) = \text{span}(e_1(t, \mathbf{6}), \dots, e_k(t, \mathbf{6}))$$

$$(\forall) k \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$$

$$\text{span}(G_2 c(t, \mathbf{6}), G_1 G_2 c(t, \mathbf{6}), \dots, G_1^{(j-1)} G_2 c(t, \mathbf{6})) =$$

$$= \text{span}(e_{m+1}(t, \mathbf{6}), \dots, e_{m+j}(t, \mathbf{6}))$$

$$(\forall) j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$$

and ( $\forall$ )  $(t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ .

From the (2.1) and (2.2) relation we have  $\varepsilon^L((\lambda_{j_1}(t, \mathbf{6}))) > 0$  ( $\forall$ )  $j_1 \in \{1, \dots, r\}$  and ( $\forall$ )  $(t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ . The “body” of the determinants of the matrices when we change from the system  $\{G_2 c(t, \mathbf{6}), G_1 G_2 c(t, \mathbf{6}), \dots, G_1^{(j-1)} G_2 c(t, \mathbf{6})\}$  to the system  $\{e_{m+1}(t, \mathbf{6}), \dots, e_{m+j}(t, \mathbf{6})\}$  ( $\forall$ )  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and ( $\forall$ )  $(t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$  is

$$\varepsilon^{(L)} \left( \begin{pmatrix} (\lambda_1(t, \mathbf{6}))^{-1} & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & (\lambda_j(t, \mathbf{6}))^{-1} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) =$$

$$= \varepsilon^{(L)}((\lambda_1(t, \mathbf{6}))^{-1} \cdot \dots \cdot (\lambda_j(t, \mathbf{6}))^{-1}) =$$

$$= \varepsilon^{(L)}((\lambda_1(t, \mathbf{6}))^{-1}) \cdot \dots \cdot \varepsilon^{(L)}((\lambda_j(t, \mathbf{6}))^{-1}) > 0.$$

We have  $(\forall) j_1 \in \{1, \dots, r\}$  and  $(\forall) t \in I$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle e_{m+j_1}(t), e_{m+r+j_1}(t) \rangle &= \\ &= (\lambda_{j_1}(t, \mathbf{6}))^{-1} \cdot \langle G_1^{(j_1-1)} G_2 c(t, \mathbf{6}), G_1^{(r+j_1-1)} G_2 c(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle = \\ &= (\lambda_{j_1}(t))^{-1} \cdot \lambda_{j_1}(t) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get the (1.21) formula from the first part of this paper. The (1.20) formula, from the first part of this paper, results from the supersymmetry property of the scalar product  $\langle, \rangle$  and the (1.23) and (1.22) formulas, from the first part of this paper, result from the (2.3) and (2.4) relations. We shall specify if a relation belongs to the first part of this paper and we shall not specify if a relation belongs to the second part of this paper.

Because the supersmooth supercurve  $c$  is in general position, it results that the supervector  $f_1(t, \mathbf{6}) = G_1 c(t, \mathbf{6})$  is nonzero  $(\forall) (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ . Let us consider

$$\|v\|' = \sqrt{\varepsilon^{(L)}(\langle v, v \rangle)} \quad (2.21)$$

$(\forall) v \in B_L^{m+n}$ .

We put

$$e_1(t, \mathbf{6}) = f_1(t, \mathbf{6}) \cdot (\|f_1(t, \mathbf{6})\|')^{-1} \quad (2.22)$$

$(\forall) (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ .

Let the supervector  $f_2(t, \mathbf{6})$  be

$$G_1^{(2)} c(t, \mathbf{6}) + (\varepsilon^{(L)}(A(t, \mathbf{6})) + s(A(t, \mathbf{6}))) \cdot e_1(t, \mathbf{6}) \quad (2.23)$$

We shall get the functions  $\varepsilon^{(L)}(A(t, \mathbf{6}))$  and  $s(A(t, \mathbf{6}))$  such that the supervectors  $f_2(t, \mathbf{6})$  and  $e_1(t, \mathbf{6})$  to be orthogonal, that is,

$$\varepsilon^{(L)}(\langle f_2(t, \mathbf{6}), e_1(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle) = 0 \quad (2.24)$$

$(t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ . From (2.23) and (2.24), we have

$$0 = \langle G_1^{(2)} c(t, \mathbf{6}), e_1(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle + (\varepsilon^{(L)}(A(t, \mathbf{6})) + s(A(t, \mathbf{6}))) \cdot \langle e_1(t, \mathbf{6}), e_1(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle$$

from which we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \varepsilon^{(L)}(\langle G_1^{(2)} c(t, \mathbf{6}), e_1(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle) + s(\langle G_1^{(2)} c(t, \mathbf{6}), e_1(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle) + \\ &+ \varepsilon^{(L)}(A(t, \mathbf{6})) \cdot \varepsilon^{(L)}(\langle e_1(t, \mathbf{6}), e_1(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle) + \varepsilon^{(L)}(A(t, \mathbf{6})) \cdot s(\langle e_1(t, \mathbf{6}), e_1(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle) + \\ &+ s(A(t, \mathbf{6})) \cdot s(\langle e_1(t, \mathbf{6}), e_1(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle) + s(A(t, \mathbf{6})) \cdot \varepsilon^{(L)}(\langle e_1(t, \mathbf{6}), e_1(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\varepsilon^{(L)}(\langle G_1^{(2)}c(t, \mathbf{6}), e_1(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle) + \varepsilon^{(L)}(A(t, \mathbf{6})) \cdot \varepsilon^{(L)}(\langle e_1(t, \mathbf{6}), e_1(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle) = 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & s(\langle G_1^{(2)}c(t, \mathbf{6}), c_1(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle) \mid \varepsilon^{(L)}(A_B(t, \mathbf{6})) \cdot s(\langle c_1(t, \mathbf{6}), c_1(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle) \mid \\ & \mid s(A(t, \mathbf{6})) \cdot s(\langle c_1(t, \mathbf{6}), c_1(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle) \mid s(A(t, \mathbf{6})) \cdot \varepsilon^{(L)}(\langle c_1(t, \mathbf{6}), c_1(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\varepsilon^{(L)}(A(t, \mathbf{6})) = -\varepsilon^{(L)}(\langle G_1^{(2)}c(t, \mathbf{6}), e_1(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle) \quad (2.25)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} s(A(t, \mathbf{6})) &= (-s(\langle G_1^{(2)}c(t, \mathbf{6}), e_1(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle) - \varepsilon^{(L)}(\langle G_1^{(2)}c(t, \mathbf{6}), e_1(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle) \cdot \\ & \cdot s(\langle e_1(t), e_1(t) \rangle)) \cdot (1 + s(\langle e_1(t), e_1(t) \rangle))^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

From (2.23), (2.25) and (2.26) we get

$$f_2(t, \mathbf{6}) = G_1^{(2)}c(t, \mathbf{6}) + (-\varepsilon^{(L)}(\langle G_1^{(2)}c(t, \mathbf{6}), e_1(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle) + s(A(t, \mathbf{6}))) \cdot e_1(t, \mathbf{6}). \quad (2.27)$$

Because the supervectors  $G_1c(t, \mathbf{6})$  and  $G_1^{(2)}c(t, \mathbf{6})$  are linearly independent  $(\forall) (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$  from (2.27) we have  $\varepsilon^{(L)}(\langle f_2(t, \mathbf{6}), f_2(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle) \neq 0 (\forall) (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ . Therefore  $\|f_2(t, \mathbf{6})\|' \neq 0 (\forall) (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ .

We set

$$e_2(t, \mathbf{6}) = f_2(t, \mathbf{6}) \cdot (\|f_2(t, \mathbf{6})\|')^{-1} \quad (2.28)$$

We note that  $\|e_1(t, \mathbf{6})\|' = \|e_2(t, \mathbf{6})\|' = 1$  but  $\langle e_1(t, \mathbf{6}), e_1(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle = 1 + s(\langle e_1(t, \mathbf{6}), e_1(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle)$  where  $s(\langle e_1(t, \mathbf{6}), e_1(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle)$  has not importance and we have the same for  $\langle e_2(t, \mathbf{6}), e_2(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle$ . From the formulas (2.22), (2.27) and (2.28) formulas we have:

$$()G_1c(t, \mathbf{6}) = \|G_1c(t, \mathbf{6})\|' \cdot e_1(t, \mathbf{6}) \quad (2.29)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} G_1^{(2)}c(t, \mathbf{6}) &= \varepsilon^{(L)}(\langle G_1^{(2)}c(t, \mathbf{6}), e_1(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle) - s(A(t, \mathbf{6})) \cdot e_1(t, \mathbf{6}) + \\ & + \|f_2(t, \mathbf{6})\|' \cdot e_2(t, \mathbf{6}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.30)$$

The relations (2.29) and (2.23) show us that

$$\text{span}(G_1c(t, \mathbf{6}), G_1^{(2)}c(t, \mathbf{6})) = \text{span}(e_1(t, \mathbf{6}), e_2(t, \mathbf{6})).$$

Because of

$$\varepsilon^{(L)} \left( \begin{array}{c} \|G_1 c(t, \mathbf{6})\|' \\ \varepsilon^{(L)}(\langle G_1^{(2)} c(t, \mathbf{6}), e_1(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle) - s(A(t, \mathbf{6})) \\ 0 \\ \|f_2(t, \mathbf{6})\|' \end{array} \right) > 0,$$

$(t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$  it results that the systems of supervectors  $\{G_1 c(t, \mathbf{6}), G_1^{(2)} c(t, \mathbf{6})\}$  and  $\{e_1(t, \mathbf{6}), e_2(t, \mathbf{6})\}$  are directed in the same way  $(\forall) (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ .

We assume that we have constructed the unit, orthogonal two by two supervectors  $e_1(t, \mathbf{6}), \dots, e_{h-1}(t, \mathbf{6}) (h < m)$  with the properties that:

$$\text{span}(G_1 c(t, \mathbf{6}), G_1^{(2)} c(t, \mathbf{6}), \dots, G_1^{(h-1)} c(t, \mathbf{6})) = \text{span}(e_1(t, \mathbf{6}), \dots, e_{h-1}(t, \mathbf{6}))$$

and the systems of supervectors  $\{G_1 c(t, \mathbf{6}), G_1^{(2)} c(t, \mathbf{6}), \dots, G_1^{(h-1)} c(t, \mathbf{6})\}$  and  $\{e_1(t, \mathbf{6}), \dots, e_{h-1}(t, \mathbf{6})\}$  are directed in the same way  $(\forall) (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ . Thus we construct the supervector  $f_h(t, \mathbf{6})$ :

$$f_h(t, \mathbf{6}) = G_1^{(h)} c(t, \mathbf{6}) + \sum_{k=1}^{h-1} (\varepsilon^{(L)}(A_k(t, \mathbf{6})) + s(A_k(t, \mathbf{6}))) \cdot e_k(t, \mathbf{6}), h < m \quad (2.31)$$

where  $(t, \mathbf{6}) \rightarrow A_k(t, \mathbf{6})$  are supersmooth functions  $(\forall) k \in \{1, \dots, h-1\}$  which will be determined by the conditions:

$$\langle f_h(t, \mathbf{6}), e_i(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle = 0, \quad h < m, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, h-1\}. \quad (2.32)$$

By (31) and (32) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle G_1^{(h)} c(t, \mathbf{6}), e_i(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle + \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^{h-1} (\varepsilon^{(L)}(A_k(t, \mathbf{6})) + s(A_k(t, \mathbf{6}))) \cdot \langle e_k(t, \mathbf{6}), e_i(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.33)$$

$(\forall) i \in \{1, \dots, h-1\}$  or equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle G_1^{(h)} c(t, \mathbf{6}), e_i(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle + \\ & + (\varepsilon^{(L)}(A_i(t, \mathbf{6})) + s(A_i(t, \mathbf{6}))) \cdot (1 + s(\langle e_i(t, \mathbf{6}), e_i(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle)) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.34)$$

$(\forall) i \in \{1, \dots, h-1\}$ . From (2.34) we have:

$$\varepsilon^{(L)}(\langle G_1^{(h)} c(t, \mathbf{6}), e_i(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle) + \varepsilon^{(L)}(A_i(t, \mathbf{6})) = 0 \quad (2.35)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} s(\langle G_1^{(h)}c(t, \mathbf{6}), e_i(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle) + \varepsilon^{(L)}(A_i(t, \mathbf{6})) \cdot s(\langle e_i(t, \mathbf{6}), e_i(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle) + \\ + s(A_i(t, \mathbf{6})) \cdot (1 + s(\langle e_i(t, \mathbf{6}), e_i(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle)) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.36)$$

$$(\forall) h < m, i \in \{1, \dots, h-1\}.$$

Because the supervectors  $G_1c(t, \mathbf{6}), G_1^{(2)}c(t, \mathbf{6}), \dots, G_1^{(h)}c(t, \mathbf{6})$  ( $h < m$ ) are linear independent it results that  $f_h(t, \mathbf{6}) \neq 0$ .

We put

$$e_h(t, \mathbf{6}) = f_h(t, \mathbf{6}) \cdot (\|f_h(t, \mathbf{6})\|')^{-1}, \quad h < m. \quad (2.37)$$

Thus, we have constructed the unit and orthogonal two by two supervectors  $e_1(t, \mathbf{6}), \dots, e_{m-1}(t, \mathbf{6})$ . On the other hand, from (2.31) and (2.37) we get the following relations for all  $h < m$ :

$$\begin{aligned} G_1^{(h)}c(t, \mathbf{6}) = (\varepsilon^{(L)}(\langle G_1^{(h)}c(t, \mathbf{6}), e_1(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle) - s(A(t, \mathbf{6}))) \cdot e_1(t, \mathbf{6}) + \dots \\ \dots + (\varepsilon^{(L)}(\langle G_1^{(h)}c(t, \mathbf{6}), e_{h-1}(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle) - s(A_{h-1}(t, \mathbf{6}))) \cdot e_{h-1}(t, \mathbf{6}) + \\ + \|f_h(t, \mathbf{6})\|' \cdot e_h(t, \mathbf{6}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.38)$$

From (2.29), (2.30) and (2.38) we obtain:

$$\text{span}(G_1c(t, \mathbf{6}), G_1^{(2)}c(t, \mathbf{6}), \dots, G_1^{(h)}c(t, \mathbf{6})) = \text{span}(e_1(t, \mathbf{6}), \dots, e_h(t, \mathbf{6})).$$

Taking account of (2.29), (2.30), and (2.38) we get that the "body" of the determinant of the matrix of the linear transformation when we change from the basis  $\{e_1(t, \mathbf{6}), \dots, e_h(t, \mathbf{6})\}$  to the basis  $\{G_1c(t, \mathbf{6}), G_1^{(2)}c(t, \mathbf{6}), \dots, G_1^{(h)}c(t, \mathbf{6})\}$  ( $h < m$ )  $\varepsilon^{(L)}(\Delta(t, \mathbf{6}))$  is given by:

$$\varepsilon^{(L)}(\Delta(t, \mathbf{6})) = \|f_1(t, \mathbf{6})\|' \cdot \dots \cdot \|f_h(t, \mathbf{6})\|' > 0 \quad (\forall) (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}.$$

Therefore  $\varepsilon^{(L)}(\Delta(t, \mathbf{6})) > 0$  ( $\forall) (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$  and the systems of supervectors  $\{e_1(t, \mathbf{6}), \dots, e_h(t, \mathbf{6})\}$  and  $\{G_1c(t, \mathbf{6}), G_1^{(2)}c(t, \mathbf{6}), \dots, G_1^{(h)}c(t, \mathbf{6})\}$  ( $h < m$ ) are directed in the same way ( $\forall) (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ .

By our construction, the functions  $(t, \mathbf{6}) \rightarrow e_k(t, \mathbf{6})$  ( $\forall) k \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$  and  $(t, \mathbf{6}) \rightarrow e_{m+j}(t, \mathbf{6})$  ( $\forall) j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  are supersmooth.

We shall get  $e_m(t, \mathbf{6})$  from the relations

$$\langle e_m(t, \mathbf{6}), e_k(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle = 0 \quad (\forall) k \in \{1, \dots, m-1\} \quad (2.39)$$

and

$$\langle e_m(t, \mathbf{6}), e_{m+j}(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle = 0 \quad (\forall) j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \quad (2.40)$$

Thus, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
& e_m^1(t, \mathfrak{G}) \cdot e_1^1(t, \mathfrak{G}) + \cdots + e_m^m(t, \mathfrak{G}) \cdot e_1^m(t, \mathfrak{G}) + e_m^{m+1}(t, \mathfrak{G}) \cdot e_1^{m+r+1}(t, \mathfrak{G}) + \cdots \\
& \cdots + e_m^{m+r}(t, \mathfrak{G}) \cdot e_1^{m+n}(t, \mathfrak{G}) - e_m^{m+r-1}(t, \mathfrak{G}) \cdot e_1^{m+1}(t, \mathfrak{G}) - \cdots \\
& \cdots - e_m^{m+n}(t, \mathfrak{G}) \cdot e_1^{m+r}(t, \mathfrak{G}) = 0 \\
& \vdots \\
& e_m^1(t, \mathfrak{G}) \cdot e_{m+n}^1(t, \mathfrak{G}) + \cdots + e_m^m(t, \mathfrak{G}) \cdot e_{m+n}^m(t, \mathfrak{G}) + e_m^{m+1}(t, \mathfrak{G}) \cdot e_{m+n}^{m+r+1}(t, \mathfrak{G}) + \cdots \\
& \cdots + e_m^{m+r}(t, \mathfrak{G}) \cdot e_{m+n}^{m+n}(t, \mathfrak{G}) - e_m^{m+r-1}(t, \mathfrak{G}) \cdot e_{m+n}^{m+1}(t, \mathfrak{G}) - \cdots \\
& \cdots - e_m^{m+n}(t, \mathfrak{G}) \cdot e_{m+n}^{m+r}(t, \mathfrak{G}) = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{2.41}$$

where  $e_k^1(t, \mathfrak{G}), \dots, e_k^{m+n}(t, \mathfrak{G})$  are the components of the supervector  $e_k(t, \mathfrak{G})$  ( $\forall k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ ) and  $e_{m+j}^1(t, \mathfrak{G}), \dots, e_{m+j}^{m+n}(t, \mathfrak{G})$  are the components of the supervector  $e_{m+j}(t, \mathfrak{G})$  ( $\forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ).

Let us consider (2.41) as a linear and homogeneous system of  $m+n-1$  equations with the  $m+n$  unknowns  $e_m^1(t, \mathfrak{G}), \dots, e_m^{m+n}(t, \mathfrak{G})$ . Because the supervectors  $e_1(t, \mathfrak{G}), \dots, e_{m-1}(t, \mathfrak{G}), e_{m+1}(t, \mathfrak{G}), \dots, e_{m+n}(t, \mathfrak{G})$  ( $\forall (t, \mathfrak{G}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ ) are linear independent, it follows that the rank of the matrix  $M(t, \mathfrak{G})$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix}
e_1^1 & \cdots & e_1^m & e_1^{m+r+1} & \cdots & e_1^{m+n} & -e_1^{m-1} & \cdots & -e_1^{m+r} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
e_{m-1}^1 & \cdots & e_{m-1}^m & e_{m-1}^{m+r+1} & \cdots & e_{m-1}^{m+n} & -e_{m-1}^{m-1} & \cdots & -e_{m-1}^{m+r} \\
e_{m+1}^1 & \cdots & e_{m+1}^m & e_{m+1}^{m+r+1} & \cdots & e_{m+1}^{m+n} & -e_{m-1}^{m-1} & \cdots & -e_{m+1}^{m+r} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
e_{m+n}^1 & \cdots & e_{m+n}^m & e_{m+n}^{m+r+1} & \cdots & e_{m+n}^{m+n} & -e_{m+n}^{m+1} & \cdots & -e_{m+n}^{m+r}
\end{pmatrix}$$

is  $m+n-1$ , where  $e_q^s$  means  $e_q^s(t, \mathfrak{G})$  with  $s \in \{1, \dots, m+n\}$  and  $q \in \{1, \dots, m-1, m+1, \dots, m+n\}$ . Let  $\Delta_q(t, \mathfrak{G})$  be the minor of order  $m+n-1$  obtained by omitting the  $q$  column from the matrix  $M(t, \mathfrak{G})$  ( $\forall q \in \{1, \dots, m+n\}$ ) and ( $\forall (t, \mathfrak{G}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ ). Then from (2.41), we get:

$$e_m^q(t, \mathfrak{G}) = (-1)^{q-1} \cdot \nu(t, \mathfrak{G}) \cdot \Delta_q(t, \mathfrak{G}), \quad (\forall q \in \{1, \dots, m+n\}), \tag{2.42}$$

where  $\nu(t, \mathfrak{G})$  has to fulfil the condition:

$$\|e_m(t, \mathfrak{G})\|' = 1. \tag{2.43}$$

Because of  $\text{rank}(M(t, \mathfrak{G})) = m+n-1$  we have:

$$\varepsilon^{(L)}(\Delta(t, \mathfrak{G})) = (\varepsilon^{(L)}(\Delta_1(t, \mathfrak{G})))^2 + \cdots + (\varepsilon^{(L)}(\Delta_m(t, \mathfrak{G})))^2 > 0. \tag{2.44}$$



From (2.42) and (2.43) we get:

$$\nu(t, \mathbf{6}) = \eta \cdot (\sqrt{(\Delta(t, \mathbf{6}))})^{-1} \quad (2.45)$$

where  $\eta = 1$  or  $\eta = -1$ ; this resulting from the condition that the frame  $\{e_1(t, \mathbf{6}), \dots, e_{m+n}(t, \mathbf{6})\}$  to be positively directed.

By (2.42) and (2.45) we get:

$$e_m^q(t, \mathbf{6}) = (-1)^{q-1} \cdot \eta \cdot \Delta_q(t, \mathbf{6}) \cdot (\sqrt{(\Delta(t, \mathbf{6}))})^{-1} \quad (\forall) q \in \{1, \dots, m+n\}, \quad (2.46)$$

where  $\eta$  verifies the conditions  $|\eta| = 1$  and

$$\varepsilon^{(L)}(\text{sdct}(c_q^s(t, \mathbf{6}))_{1 \leq s, q \leq m+n}) > 0.$$

By (2.46) and (2.43) it follows that the functions  $(t, \mathbf{6}) \rightarrow e_m^s(t, \mathbf{6})$ ,  $1 \leq s \leq m+n$  are supersmooth and the function  $(t, \mathbf{6}) \rightarrow e_m(t, \mathbf{6})$  is supersmooth.

From our construction results (2.19) and (1.24) from the first part of this paper.

The uniqueness of the Frenet frame results by our construction.

We fix an index  $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ . We express  $G_1 e_k(t, \mathbf{6})$  in the frame  $\{e_1(t, \mathbf{6}), \dots, e_{m+n}(t, \mathbf{6})\}$  and we have:

$$G_1 e_k(t, \mathbf{6}) = \sum_{h=1}^m a_{kh}(t, \mathbf{6}) \cdot e_h(t, \mathbf{6}) + \sum_{j=1}^n a_{kj}(t, \mathbf{6}) \cdot e_{m+j}(t, \mathbf{6}). \quad (2.47)$$

Computing the scalar product between the (2.47) relations and  $e_i(t, \mathbf{6})$  ( $\forall$ )  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  we get:

$$a_{ki}(t, \mathbf{6}) = \langle G_1 e_k(t, \mathbf{6}), e_i(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle \quad (2.48)$$

because  $\langle e_{m+j}(t, \mathbf{6}), e_i(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle = 0$  ( $\forall$ )  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and ( $\forall$ )  $(t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ . Thus we proved the (2.18) relation ( $\forall$ )  $k, i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  and ( $\forall$ )  $(t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ .

Computing the scalar product between the (2.47) relations and  $e_{m+l}(t, \mathbf{6})$  ( $\forall$ )  $l \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  we get:

$$a_{k \ m+l}(t, \mathbf{6}) = \langle G_1 e_k(t, \mathbf{6}), e_{m+l}(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle \quad (2.49)$$

because  $\langle e_h(t, \mathbf{6}), e_{m+l}(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle = 0$  ( $\forall$ )  $h \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  and ( $\forall$ )  $(t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ . Thus we proved the (2.19) relation ( $\forall$ )  $h \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ ,  $l \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and ( $\forall$ )  $(t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ .

Derivating the following relation by  $G_1$

$$\langle e_k(t, \mathbf{6}), e_h(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle = \delta_{kh} \quad (\forall) k, h \in \{1, \dots, m\}, \quad (\forall) (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$$

we get:

$$\langle G_1 e_k(t, \mathbf{6}), e_h(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle + \langle e_k(t, \mathbf{6}), G_1 e_h(t) \rangle = 0 \quad (\forall) k, h \in \{1, \dots, m\},$$

$(\forall) (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ .

By the (2.48) relation we have:

$$a_{kh}(t, \mathbf{6}) + a_{hk}(t, \mathbf{6}) = 0$$

that means we proved the (2.6) relation  $(\forall) k, h \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  and  $(\forall) (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ .

Because  $\{e_1(t, \mathbf{6}), \dots, e_{m+n}(t, \mathbf{6})\}$  is a Frenet frame we have:

$$G_1^{(k)} c(t, \mathbf{6}) \in \text{span}(e_1(t, \mathbf{6}), \dots, e_k(t, \mathbf{6})), \quad (\forall) k \in \{1, \dots, m-1\} \quad (2.50)$$

and  $(\forall) (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$  and

$$e_k(t, \mathbf{6}) \in \text{span}(G_1 c(t, \mathbf{6}), \dots, G_1^{(k)} c(t, \mathbf{6})) \quad (\forall) k \in \{1, \dots, m-1\} \quad (2.51)$$

and  $(\forall) (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ .

By (2.50) and (2.51) we get:

$$G_1 e_k(t, \mathbf{6}) \in \text{span}(G_1 c(t, \mathbf{6}), \dots, G_1^{(k)} c(t, \mathbf{6}), G_1^{(k+1)} c(t, \mathbf{6})) \quad (2.52)$$

$(\forall) k \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$  and  $(\forall) (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ .

By (2.50) and (2.52) we have:

$$G_1 e_k(t) \in \text{span}(e_1(t, \mathbf{6}), \dots, e_{k+1}(t, \mathbf{6})) \quad (\forall) k \in \{1, \dots, m-1\} \quad (2.53)$$

and  $(\forall) (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ .

By (2.53), we note that in the writing

$$G_1 e_k(t, \mathbf{6}) = \sum_{h=1}^m a_{kh}(t, \mathbf{6}) \cdot e_h(t, \mathbf{6}) + \sum_{j=1}^n a_{k \ m+j}(t, \mathbf{6}) \cdot e_{m+j}(t, \mathbf{6})$$

$$(\forall) k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$$

and  $(\forall) (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$  the coefficients  $a_{kh}(t, \mathbf{6})$  are zero if  $h > k+1$  and  $a_{k \ m+j}(t, \mathbf{6}) = 0$   $(\forall) k \in \{1, \dots, m\}, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $(\forall) (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ .

Thus, we get the (2.5) formula  $(\forall) (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$  :

$$G_1 e_k(t, \mathbf{6}) = \sum_{h=1}^m a_{kh}(t, \mathbf{6}) \cdot e_h(t, \mathbf{6}) \quad (\forall) k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$$

and the (2.7) formula  $a_{kh}(t, \mathbf{6}) = 0$  if  $h > k + 1$ ,  $(\forall) k, h \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  and  $(\forall) (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ .

Because of our construction of the frame  $\{e_1(t, \mathbf{6}), \dots, e_{m+n}(t, \mathbf{6})\}$ , we have:

$$G_1^{(j-1)} G_2 c(t, \mathbf{6}) \in \text{span}(e_{m+j}(t, \mathbf{6})), \quad (2.54)$$

and

$$e_{m+j}(t, \mathbf{6}) \in \text{span}(G_1^{(j-1)} G_2 c(t, \mathbf{6})) \quad (2.55)$$

$(\forall) j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $(\forall) (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ .

From now, we have:

$$G_1 e_{m+j}(t, \mathbf{6}) \in \text{span}(G_1^{(j)} G_2 c(t, \mathbf{6})) \quad (2.56)$$

$(\forall) j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $(\forall) (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ .

By the (2.54) and (2.56) relations we get

$$G_1 e_{m+j}(t, \mathbf{6}) \in \text{span}(e_{m+j+1}(t, \mathbf{6})) \quad (2.57)$$

$(\forall) j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $(\forall) (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ .

By (2.57) we note that in writing

$$G_1 e_{m-j}(t, \mathbf{6}) = \sum_{k=1}^m a_{m+j \ k}(t, \mathbf{6}) \cdot e_k(t, \mathbf{6}) + \sum_{l=1}^n a_{m+j \ m+l}(t, \mathbf{6}) \cdot e_{m+l}(t, \mathbf{6})$$

$(\forall) (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ , the coefficients  $a_{m+j \ m+l}(t, \mathbf{6})$  are zero if  $l \neq j + 1$  and  $a_{m+j \ k}(t, \mathbf{6}) = 0$   $(\forall) k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ ,  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$   $(\forall) (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ .

Thus we proved the (2.8), (2.12) and (2.13) relations.

We fix an index  $j_1 \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ . We express  $G_1 e_{m+j_1}(t, \mathbf{6})$  in the frame  $\{e_1(t, \mathbf{6}), \dots, e_{m+n}(t, \mathbf{6})\}$ . We have:

$$G_1 e_{m+j_1}(t, \mathbf{6}) = \sum_{h=1}^m a_{m+j_1 \ h}(t, \mathbf{6}) \cdot e_h(t, \mathbf{6}) + \sum_{j=1}^n a_{m+j_1 \ m+j}(t) \cdot e_{m+j}(t, \mathbf{6}) \quad (2.58)$$

$(\forall) (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ .

Computing the scalar product between the (2.58) relation and  $e_k(t, \mathbf{6})$   $(\forall) k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  and  $(\forall) (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$  we get:

$$a_{m+j_1 \ k}(t) = \langle G_1 e_{m+j_1}(t, \mathbf{6}), e_k(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle \quad (\forall) k \in \{1, \dots, m\} \quad (2.59)$$

and  $(\forall) (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$  because  $\langle e_{m+j}(t, \mathbf{6}), e_k(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle = 0$   $(\forall) k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ ,  $(\forall) j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $(\forall) (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ . Thus, we proved the (2.20) relation  $(\forall) j_1 \in \{1, \dots, r\}$  and  $(\forall) (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ .

Computing the scalar product between the (2.58) relation and  $e_{m+j_2}(t, \mathbf{6})$  ( $\forall j_2 \in \{1, \dots, r\}$  and ( $\forall (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ . We get:

$$a_{m+j_1 \ m+r+j_2}(t, \mathbf{6}) = -\langle G_1 e_{m+j_1}(t, \mathbf{6}), e_{m+j_2}(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle \quad (\forall j_2 \in \{1, \dots, r\}) \quad (2.60)$$

and ( $\forall (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ . Thus we proved the (2.15) relation ( $\forall (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ .

Computing the scalar product between the (2.58) relation and  $e_{m+r+j_2}(t, \mathbf{6})$  ( $\forall j_2 \in \{1, \dots, r\}$  and ( $\forall (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$  we get:

$$a_{m+j_1 \ m+j_2}(t, \mathbf{6}) = \langle G_1 e_{m+j_1}(t, \mathbf{6}), e_{m+r+j_2}(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle \quad (\forall j_2 \in \{1, \dots, r\}) \quad (2.61)$$

and ( $\forall (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ . Thus, we proved the (2.14) relation ( $\forall (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ .

We fix an index  $j_1 \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ . We express  $G_1 e_{m+r+j_1}(t, \mathbf{6})$  in the frame  $\{e_1(t, \mathbf{6}), \dots, e_{m+n}(t, \mathbf{6})\}$ . We have:

$$\begin{aligned} G_1 e_{m+r+j_1}(t, \mathbf{6}) &= \sum_{h=1}^m a_{m+r+j_1 \ h}(t) \cdot e_h(t, \mathbf{6}) + \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^n a_{m+r+j_1 \ m+j}(t, \mathbf{6}) \cdot e_{m+j}(t, \mathbf{6}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.62)$$

( $\forall (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ .

Computing the scalar product between the (2.62) relation and  $e_k(t, \mathbf{6})$  ( $\forall k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  and ( $\forall (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$  we get:

$$a_{m+r+j_1 \ k}(t, \mathbf{6}) = \langle G_1 e_{m+r+j_1}(t, \mathbf{6}), e_k(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle \quad (\forall k \in \{1, \dots, m\}) \quad (2.63)$$

and ( $\forall (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$  because  $\langle e_{m+j}(t, \mathbf{6}), e_k(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle = 0$  ( $\forall k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ , ( $\forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and ( $\forall (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ . Thus, by (2.59) and (2.63), we proved the (2.20) relation ( $\forall (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ .

Computing the scalar product between the (2.62) relation and  $e_{m+j_2}(t, \mathbf{6})$  ( $\forall j_2 \in \{1, \dots, r\}$  and ( $\forall (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$  we get:

$$a_{m-r+j_1 \ m-r+j_2}(t, \mathbf{6}) = -\langle G_1 e_{m+r+j_1}(t, \mathbf{6}), e_{m+j_2}(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle \quad (\forall j_2 \in \{1, \dots, r\}) \quad (2.64)$$

and ( $\forall (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ . Thus we proved the (2.17) relation ( $\forall (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ .

Computing the scalar product between the (2.62) relation and  $e_{m+r+j_2}(t, \mathbf{6})$  ( $\forall j_2 \in \{1, \dots, r\}$  and ( $\forall (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$  we get:

$$a_{m+r+j_1 \ m+j_2}(t, \mathbf{6}) = \langle G_1 e_{m+r+j_1}(t, \mathbf{6}), e_{m+r-j_2}(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle \quad (\forall j_2 \in \{1, \dots, r\}) \quad (2.65)$$

and  $(\forall) (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ . Thus, we proved the (2.16) relation  $(\forall) (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$ .

Derivating the following relation by  $G_1$

$$\langle e_{m+j_1}(t, \mathbf{6}), e_{m+r+j_2}(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle = \delta_{j_1 j_2} \quad (\forall) j_1, j_2 \in \{1, \dots, r\}$$

we get

$$\langle G_1 e_{m+j_1}(t, \mathbf{6}), e_{m+r+j_2}(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle + \langle e_{m+j_1}(t, \mathbf{6}), G_1 e_{m+r+j_2}(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle = 0$$

$(\forall) j_1, j_2 \in \{1, \dots, r\}$  and  $(\forall) (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$  which is equivalent to

$$\langle G_1 e_{m+j_1}(t, \mathbf{6}), e_{m+r+j_2}(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle - \langle G_1 e_{m+r+j_2}(t, \mathbf{6}), e_{m+j_1}(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle = 0$$

$(\forall) j_1, j_2 \in \{1, \dots, r\}$  and  $(\forall) (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$  and using the (2.14) and (2.17) relations we get:

$$a_{m+j_1 \ m+r+j_2}(t, \mathbf{6}) + a_{m+r+j_2 \ m+r+j_1}(t, \mathbf{6}) = 0 \quad (\forall) j_1, j_2 \in \{1, \dots, r\}$$

and  $(\forall) (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$  which is the (2.9) relation.

Derivating the following relation by  $G_1$

$$\langle e_{m+j_1}(t, \mathbf{6}), e_{m+j_2}(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle = 0 \quad (\forall) j_1, j_2 \in \{1, \dots, r\}$$

we get

$$\langle G_1 e_{m+j_1}(t, \mathbf{6}), e_{m+j_2}(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle + \langle e_{m+j_1}(t, \mathbf{6}), G_1 e_{m+j_2}(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle = 0$$

$$(\forall) j_1, j_2 \in \{1, \dots, r\}$$

and  $(\forall) (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$  which is equivalent to

$$\langle G_1 e_{m+j_1}(t, \mathbf{6}), e_{m+j_2}(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle - \langle G_1 e_{m+j_2}(t, \mathbf{6}), e_{m+j_1}(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle = 0$$

$$(\forall) j_1, j_2 \in \{1, \dots, r\}$$

and  $(\forall) (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$  and using the (2.15) relation we get:

$$-a_{m+j_1 \ m+r+j_2}(t, \mathbf{6}) + a_{m+j_2 \ m+r+j_1}(t, \mathbf{6}) = 0 \quad (\forall) j_1, j_2 \in \{1, \dots, r\}$$

and  $(\forall) (t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$  which is the (2.11) relation.

Derivating the following relation by  $G_1$

$$\langle e_{m+r+j_1}(t, \mathbf{6}), e_{m+r+j_2}(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle = 0 \quad (\forall) j_1, j_2 \in \{1, \dots, r\}$$

we get

$$\langle G_1 e_{m+r+j_1}(t, \mathbf{6}), e_{m+r+j_2}(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle + \langle e_{m+r+j_1}(t, \mathbf{6}), G_1 e_{m+r+j_2}(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle = 0$$

( $\forall$ )  $j_1, j_2 \in \{1, \dots, r\}$  and ( $\forall$ )  $(t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$  which is equivalent to

$$\langle G_1 e_{m+r+j_1}(t, \mathbf{6}), e_{m+r+j_2}(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle - \langle G_1 e_{m+r+j_2}(t, \mathbf{6}), e_{m+r+j_1}(t, \mathbf{6}) \rangle = 0$$

( $\forall$ )  $j_1, j_2 \in \{1, \dots, r\}$  and ( $\forall$ )  $(t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$  and using the (2.16) relation we get:

$$a_{m+r+j_1 \ m+j_2}(t, \mathbf{6}) - a_{m+r+j_2 \ m+j_1}(t, \mathbf{6}) = 0 \quad (\forall) \ j_1, j_2 \in \{1, \dots, r\}$$

and ( $\forall$ )  $(t, \mathbf{6}) \in V \subset B_L^{1,1}$  which is the (2.10) relation.  $\square$  **QED**

**14 Corollary.** *The (2.5) and (2.8) relations extend the Frenet formulas for the curves.*

**15 Remark.** By (2.6), (2.7), (2.12), (2.13), (2.9), (2.10) and (2.11) we get:

$$\mathcal{A} = (a_{sq})_{1 \leq s, q \leq m+n} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_3 \\ A_4 & A_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{12} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ -a_{12} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_{m-1 \ m} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & -a_{m-1 \ m} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} a_{m+1 \ m+1} & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & a_{m+r \ m+r} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & -a_{m+1 \ m+1} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -a_{m+r \ m+r} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$A_3 = A_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**16 Example.** Let  $B_L^{2+2}$  be the  $(2, 2)$ -dimensional total supereuclidean space,  $V$  be an open subset of  $B_L^{1,1}$  and  $c : V \subset B_L^{1,1} \rightarrow B_L^{2-2}$  be a supersmooth supercurve given by

$$c(t, \mathfrak{6}) = (t^2, \mathfrak{6} \cdot \beta^2, \mathfrak{6} + 2 \cdot \beta^1 \cdot t, \mathfrak{6} \cdot t^2)$$

where  $V \cap \mathbf{R}$  be the open set  $(0, 1)$ .

We note that the supercurve  $c$  is supersmooth because the functions

$$c^1(t, \mathfrak{6}) = t^2, \quad c^2(t, \mathfrak{6}) = \mathfrak{6} \cdot \beta^2, \quad c^3(t, \mathfrak{6}) = \mathfrak{6} + 2 \cdot \beta^1 \cdot t, \quad c^4(t, \mathfrak{6}) = \mathfrak{6} \cdot t^2$$

are supersmooth. Let us compute  $G_1c(t, \mathfrak{6})$ ,  $G_2c(t, \mathfrak{6})$ , and  $G_1G_2c(t, \mathfrak{6})$  :

$$G_1c(t, \mathfrak{6}) = (2 \cdot t, 0, 2 \cdot \beta^1, 2 \cdot \mathfrak{6} \cdot t)$$

$$G_2c(t, \mathfrak{6}) = (0, \beta^2, 1, t^2) \quad \text{and} \quad G_1G_2c(t, \mathfrak{6}) = (0, 0, 0, 2 \cdot t).$$

By

$$\varepsilon^{(L)}(\langle G_2c(t, \mathfrak{6}), G_1G_2c(t, \mathfrak{6}) \rangle) = \varepsilon^{(L)}(0 \cdot 0 + \beta^2 \cdot 0 + 1 \cdot 2 \cdot t - t^2 \cdot 0) = 2 \cdot t > 0$$

$(\forall) t \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$\varepsilon^{(L)}(\langle G_2c(t, \mathfrak{6}), G_2c(t, \mathfrak{6}) \rangle) = \varepsilon^{(L)}(0 \cdot 0 + \beta^2 \cdot \beta^2 + 1 \cdot t^2 - t^2 \cdot 1) = 0$$

$(\forall) t \in (0, 1)$  and

$$\varepsilon^{(L)}(\langle G_1G_2c(t, \mathfrak{6}), G_1G_2c(t, \mathfrak{6}) \rangle) = \varepsilon^{(L)}(0 \cdot 0 + 0 \cdot 0 + 0 \cdot 2 \cdot t - 2 \cdot 0 \cdot t) = 0$$

we conclude that the supercurve  $c$  fulfills the (2.1), (2.2), (2.3), (2.4), relations from the Theorem 13.

Computing  $G_1c(t, \mathfrak{6})$ ,  $G_2c(t, \mathfrak{6})$ , and  $G_1G_2c(t, \mathfrak{6})$ , we get that the supervectors  $\{G_1c(t, \mathfrak{6}), G_2c(t, \mathfrak{6}), G_1G_2c(t, \mathfrak{6})\}$  are linear independent. Thus we conclude that the supercurve  $c$  is in general position.

Let us get the Frenet frame of the supercurve  $c$ ,  $\{e_1(t, \mathfrak{6}), e_2(t, \mathfrak{6}), e_3(t, \mathfrak{6}), e_4(t, \mathfrak{6})\}$  and the matrix  $\mathcal{A} = (a_{sq})_{1 \leq s, q \leq 4}$ . Let  $f_1(t, \mathfrak{6})$  be  $G_1c(t, \mathfrak{6}) = (2 \cdot t, 0, 2 \cdot \beta^1, 2 \cdot \mathfrak{6} \cdot t)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle G_1c(t, \mathfrak{6}), G_1c(t, \mathfrak{6}) \rangle &= 2 \cdot t \cdot 2 \cdot t + 0 \cdot 0 + 2 \cdot \beta^1 \cdot 2 \cdot \mathfrak{6} \cdot t - 2 \cdot \mathfrak{6} \cdot 2 \cdot \beta^1 \cdot t = \\ &= 4 \cdot t^2 + 4 \cdot \beta^1 \cdot \mathfrak{6} \cdot t - 4 \cdot \mathfrak{6} \cdot \beta^1 \cdot t. \end{aligned}$$

We have  $\langle G_1c(t, \mathfrak{6}), G_1c(t, \mathfrak{6}) \rangle = 4 \cdot t^2 + 8 \cdot \beta^1 \cdot \mathfrak{6} \cdot t$  and  $\varepsilon^{(L)}(\langle G_1c(t, \mathfrak{6}), G_1c(t, \mathfrak{6}) \rangle) = 4 \cdot t^2$ . Thus we get  $\|G_1c(t, \mathfrak{6})\|' = \sqrt{\varepsilon^{(L)}(\langle G_1c(t, \mathfrak{6}), G_1c(t, \mathfrak{6}) \rangle)} = \sqrt{4 \cdot t^2} = 2 \cdot t$ .

By the above Theorem 13 we compute the Frenet frame of  $c$ ,  $\{e_1(t, \mathfrak{G}), e_2(t, \mathfrak{G}), e_3(t, \mathfrak{G}), e_4(t, \mathfrak{G})\}$  and we get

$$c_1(t, \mathfrak{G}) = (1, 0, 2 \cdot \beta^1 \cdot (2 \cdot t)^{-1}, \mathfrak{G}),$$

$$e_3(t, \mathfrak{G}) = (0, \beta^2 \cdot (2 \cdot t)^{-1}, (2 \cdot t)^{-1}, 2^{-1} \cdot t)$$

and

$$e_4(t, \mathfrak{G}) = (0, 0, 0, 2 \cdot t).$$

Let the matrix  $M(t, \mathfrak{G})$  be

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \mathfrak{G} & -2 \cdot \beta^1 \cdot (2 \cdot t)^{-1} \\ 0 & \beta^2 \cdot (2 \cdot t)^{-1} & 2^{-1} \cdot t & -(2 \cdot t)^{-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \cdot t & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and computing  $e_2(t, \mathfrak{G})$  we get

$$e_2(t, \mathfrak{G}) = (-2\beta^2 \cdot \beta^1 \cdot (2 \cdot t)^{-1}, 1, 0, -\beta^2).$$

Now, we may compute  $a_{12}(t, \mathfrak{G})$  and  $a_{33}(t, \mathfrak{G})$  and we have

$$a_{12}(t, \mathfrak{G}) = \langle G_1 e_1(t, \mathfrak{G}), e_2(t, \mathfrak{G}) \rangle = \beta^1 \cdot \beta^2 \cdot t^{-2}$$

where

$$G_1 e_1(t, \mathfrak{G}) = (0, 0, -\beta^1 \cdot t^{-2}, 0)$$

and

$$a_{33}(t, \mathfrak{G}) = \langle G_1 e_3(t, \mathfrak{G}), e_4(t, \mathfrak{G}) \rangle = -t^{-1},$$

where

$$G_1 e_3(t, \mathfrak{G}) = (0, -\beta^2 \cdot 2^{-1} \cdot t^{-2}, -2^{-1} \cdot t^{-2}, 2^{-1}).$$

We conclude that

$$\mathcal{A} = (a_{sq})_{1 \leq s, q \leq 4} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta^1 \cdot \beta \cdot t^{-2} & 0 & 0 \\ -\beta^1 \cdot \beta^2 \cdot t^{-2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -t^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Acknowledgements.** The author is very grateful to Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik for financial support and hospitality.



## References

- [1] C. BARTOCCI, U. BRUZZO, D. HERNANDEZ-RUIPEREZ: *The Geometry of Supermanifolds, Mathematics and Its Applications, Volume 71*, 1991.
- [2] F. A. BEREZIN, LEĬTES, D. A.: *Supermanifolds*, Soviet Math. Dokl. 16 No. 5 1218–1222, 1975.
- [3] F. A. BEREZIN: *The method of second quantization*, Academic Press, New York, 1966.
- [4] B. DEWITT: *Supermanifolds*, Cambridge, Univ. Press, Cambridge, London, 1984.
- [5] A. INOUE, Y. MAEDA: *Foundations of Calculus on Supereuclidean Space based on a Frechet-Grassmann Algebra*, Kodai Math. J. 14, 1991.
- [6] S. KOBAYASHI, K. NOMIZU: *Foundations of Differential Geometry, (I)* Interscience, New York-London 1963.
- [7] B. KOSTANT: *Graded manifolds, Graded Lie Theory and Prequantization*, Lect. Notes in Math. no. 570, Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- [8] YU. I. MANIN: *Gauge Field Theory and Complex Geometry*, A Series of Comprehensive Studies in Mathematics 289, Springer-Verlag, 1988.
- [9] L. NICOLESCU: *Curs de geometrie*, Tipografia Univ. Bucuresti, 1989.
- [10] A. ROGERS: *Graded Manifolds, Supermanifolds and Infinite-Dimensional Grassmann Algebras*, Commun. Math. Phys. 105, 375-384, 1986.
- [11] A. ROGERS: *A global theory of supermanifolds*, J. Math. Phys. 21(6), 1352-1365, June 1980.
- [12] M. SCHEUNERT: *The theory of Lie superalgebras*, Lect. Notes in Math. no. 716, Springer-Verlag, 1979.