

PUNK MUSIC, DISCOURSE, AND CULTURE

Exploring the Intersection with Edupunk

VIVIANA GABALLO
UNIVERSITY OF PADUA

Abstract – This study explores the dynamic relationship between punk music, its evolving discourse, and its influence on the edupunk movement, which advocates for alternative, learner-centered education rooted in punk’s anti-authoritarian and DIY ethos. Using an interdisciplinary approach combining critical discourse analysis, cultural studies, and education, the research examines how punk music serves as a platform for socio-cultural critique, artistic expression, and educational innovation. By analyzing punk lyrics across time and tracing the genre’s thematic and stylistic shifts, the study highlights punk’s role in fostering rebellion, countercultural critique, and grassroots activism. It also investigates how punk’s principles of accessibility, inclusivity, and learner agency inform edupunk practices, challenging traditional educational structures and empowering learners. Ultimately, the study highlights the potential of punk-inspired approaches to promote social justice, critical consciousness, and cultural resistance in education, while acknowledging the complexities of this intersection.

Keywords: punk music; punk culture; DIY ethics; edupunk; learner empowerment.

*It's the courage to change/And admit your mistakes
It's the chance to rise up/With the raising of stakes
It's your consciousness/And the guilt you must feel
It's the time to stand up/And take back your raw deals
Because time has come/to put folks before wealth
Or you will lose your family/friends and yourself
(Star Fucking Hipsters “Empty Lives”, 2008).*

1. Introduction

Punk music has long been more than just a genre—it has served as both an artistic expression and a platform for socio-political critique (Bestley and Ogg 2012). This research investigates the multifaceted relationship between punk music, discourse evolution within the punk genre, and the integration of punk music and culture into the edupunk movement. Taking an interdisciplinary approach that bridges critical discourse analysis, cultural studies, and education, this study explores how punk music has influenced social activism and alternative educational models. Through qualitative

analysis of punk music lyrics spanning different eras, alongside historical examinations of punk discourse evolution, this research traces the thematic, linguistic, and stylistic shifts within the genre, and examines how punk music, with its emphasis on rebellion, DIY ethos, and countercultural critique, has intersected with broader social movements and cultural shifts over time (Panek 2021).

Furthermore, this study investigates the integration of punk music and culture into the edupunk movement, an educational philosophy that embraces learner-centered approaches inspired by punk's rejection of hierarchical structures. It explores the role of punk music as a catalyst for social change and community building, and examines how punk's emphasis on DIY ethics and grassroots activism informs educational practices that prioritize accessibility, inclusivity, and learner agency (Dines *et al.* 2023). However, it also highlights tensions and contradictions inherent in the fusion of punk and education, including questions of accessibility, inclusivity, and sustainability.

This study contributes to a deeper understanding of the intersections between music, culture, and education, highlighting the potential of punk-inspired approaches to promote social justice, critical consciousness, and cultural resistance within educational spaces.

2. Historical Evolution of Punk Discourse: From Rebellion to Resilience

Punk, set to mark its 50th anniversary in 2026 (Stewart 2019), encompasses a wide range of definitions and expressions, with some variations delving more profoundly into critiques of class and countercultural ideals (Wilkinson 2016). As a matter of fact, Punk has been defined in various ways—sometimes from external perspectives, such as by the music media (Worley 2017), and at other times from within, as a gathering of like-minded individuals, almost resembling an implicit religion (Stewart 2022). However, from a more holistic perspective, punk can be understood as revolving around three core principles: a commitment to values such as anti-sectarianism and egalitarianism, the practice of direct action through appearance, behavior, and social interactions, and the occupation of space—both in dedicated music venues and through its spread beyond these physical settings (Smith and Banfield 2023).

This section explores the historical linguistics of punk, tracing its evolution, and examining how linguistic variation within punk discourse reflects broader socio-political dynamics (Feld and Fox 1994).

2.1. Historical Linguistics of Punk: Tracing Evolution Over Time

The discourse of punk music (Easley 2015; Gaballo 2012; Panek 2021; Pearson 2019), a genre that emerged as a cultural revolution in the mid-1970s (Way 2016; Worley 2017), has undergone significant linguistic and thematic transformations over the decades. Born as a reaction against the status quo, punk quickly became a medium for expressing rebellion, disillusionment, and resistance (Duncombe 1997). However, as it evolved, the linguistic framework and thematic essence of punk also adapted to changing cultural, social, and political landscapes (Bestley and Ogg 2012; Thornton 1995).

In delineating the evolutionary features of punk discourse, this analysis focuses on the most prominent and recurrent trends within specific periods. It is important to acknowledge, however, that punk music constitutes a multifaceted and heterogeneous phenomenon, encompassing a diverse array of voices, subgenres, and ideological orientations. While this study highlights major developments, it does not claim to capture the full complexity of punk's cultural and linguistic diversity, which continues to evolve in varied and nuanced ways.

2.1.1. Early Punk Discourse: A Language of Rebellion

In its inception during the 1970s, punk was heavily characterized by its raw, unpolished language (Gaballo 2012). Stylistically, early punk songs were concise, often avoiding complex structures for straightforward verses and choruses. The language mirrored this simplicity, employing slang, vernacular, and expletives to establish authenticity and relatability.

Bands like the Sex Pistols, The Clash, and The Ramones adopted a confrontational tone, utilizing simple, direct language to critique societal norms (Savage 1991). Songs like the Sex Pistols' "Anarchy in the U.K." (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cBojbj0Mttl>) epitomized this rebellious ethos, with lines like "I am an antichrist / I am an anarchist" encapsulating the defiance central to punk's early identity, i.e. its strong relationship with anarchism (Donaghey 2020; Smith and Banfield 2023).

Early punk lyrics often employed short, punchy phrases and simple language (Easley 2015) to convey urgency and anger, and relied on aggressive, explicit vocabulary that underscored feelings of frustration and alienation, as seen in songs like the Dead Kennedys' "Kill the Poor" (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sgpa7wEAz7I>), which emphasized defiance and shock value, challenging mainstream decorum, as in the song's first lines: "Efficiency and progress is ours once more / Now that we have the neutron bomb / It's nice and quick and clean and gets things done". The song's title is a stark double entendre, combining literal violence with satirical commentary on economic policies that neglect or exploit the poor.

The phrase “kill the poor” critiques the disregard for humanity under capitalist systems while adopting a mockingly cheerful tone to highlight the absurdity.

Lyrical content in early punk focused on themes of alienation, anti-authoritarianism, and rebellion against the establishment. This thematic core was reflected in songs like The Clash’s “London Calling” (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=a3XqMtam1I0>). The song’s lyrics capture a sense of urgency and impending doom, addressing fears of nuclear disaster, environmental collapse, and societal decay. The phrase “London calling to the faraway towns” positions the song as a call to action, emphasizing punk’s role in resisting complacency and exposing systemic failures. The line “The ice age is coming, the sun’s zooming in” conveys existential dread, reinforcing punk’s role in articulating anxieties about an uncertain future, whereas “We ain’t got no swing, ‘cept for the ring of that truncheon thing” highlights the brutal reality of state repression, a recurring theme in punk’s anti-authoritarian discourse. Through its evocative imagery and confrontational tone, “London Calling” exemplifies punk’s ability to merge personal and political concerns, crafting a rebellious and prophetic anthem that remains relevant in contemporary discourse.

Songs like the Sex Pistols’ “God Save the Queen” (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yqrAPOZxgzU>) use raw, provocative language to critique authority, British nationalism, monarchy, and the illusion of freedom under a rigid social hierarchy. Released during Queen Elizabeth II’s Silver Jubilee in 1977, the song’s provocative lyrics and incendiary delivery epitomize punk’s anti-establishment ethos. The refrain, “There is no future in England’s dreaming”, dismantles the myth of a prosperous and just Britain, exposing the disillusionment felt by working-class youth amid economic stagnation and political oppression. By twisting the British national anthem’s title into an ironic sneer, the Sex Pistols weaponize language to challenge institutional authority. The infamous line “She ain’t no human being” dehumanizes the Queen, stripping the monarchy of its sanctified image and portraying it as an outdated and oppressive institution. The song also frames Britain as a dystopia, branding it a “fascist regime” where social mobility is stifled, and rebellion is the only escape. Musically, the track’s aggressive guitars and snarling vocals mirror its confrontational message, reinforcing punk’s role as a vehicle for dissent. “God Save the Queen” remains one of punk’s most infamous anthems, encapsulating the movement’s raw defiance and refusal to conform.

Early punk lyrics disrupted traditional songwriting norms, rejecting structured storytelling in favor of raw, fragmented expressions of frustration, rebellion, and alienation. Unlike the carefully crafted narratives of mainstream rock and pop, punk lyrics often relied on short, choppy phrases, aggressive repetition, and disjointed imagery to reflect the disorderly, high-

energy nature of punk culture (Sabin 1999). This departure from conventional lyrical composition was both an artistic and ideological statement, reflecting punk's DIY ethos and rejection of musical elitism (Laing 1985). By stripping lyrics down to their bare essentials, early punk bands like The Ramones, The Sex Pistols, and The Clash captured the immediacy of youth disillusionment, making their messages more direct and visceral.

Repetition played a crucial role in amplifying punk's confrontational stance. Songs often featured shouted refrains that reinforced themes of social unrest and personal frustration. In The Ramones' "I Wanna Be Sedated" (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bm51ihf1p4>), the title itself is repeated relentlessly, creating a hypnotic, almost manic effect that mirrors the song's theme of escapism (Heylin 2007). Similarly, The Sex Pistols' chant of "No Future" in "God Save the Queen" functions as both a nihilistic statement and a rallying cry of defiance against the British establishment (Marcus 1993). This stripped-down, almost primal approach to lyricism made punk songs instantly memorable, accessible, and perfect for collective expression in live performances. By embracing linguistic fragmentation and repetition, punk music rejected the polished sophistication of mainstream rock, instead prioritizing raw emotion and direct social critique (Bradshaw 2007; Gaballo 2012).

2.1.2. *Evolution in Themes and Linguistic Complexity*

As punk matured into the 1980s and 1990s, its discourse began to diversify. Subgenres such as hardcore punk, pop punk, and post-punk emerged, each bringing distinct linguistic elements and thematic focuses. In the 1980s, hardcore punk emerged as a prominent subgenre, particularly in the United States (Ambrosch 2015). Bands like Black Flag, Minor Threat, and Bad Brains maintained the raw aggression of early punk but amplified it with even more intense delivery and politically charged lyrics.

This shift in performance style influenced the linguistic rhythm and cadence of lyrics, emphasizing urgency and immediacy, as in "Damaged" (1981) by Black Flag (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZuLWPr9HmFM>). Unlike the politically charged anthems of bands like The Clash or the ironic nihilism of the Sex Pistols, "Damaged" channels its anger inward, depicting personal turmoil and existential frustration through a visceral, almost violent lyrical approach. Henry Rollins' growling, abrasive vocal delivery embodies the intensity of the album's themes, reinforcing the idea that punk is not just about external rebellion but also about internal struggle and psychological dissonance (Azerrad 2001). "Damaged" takes punk discourse into darker, introspective territory, portraying mental distress with an unfiltered rawness that would influence later hardcore and grunge movements (Blush 2001).

This rejection of optimism and embrace of existential rage sets “Damaged” apart from other punk records of its time, reinforcing punk’s role as a medium for unpolished, unrestrained self-expression.

Punk scenes (Anderson, Jenkins 2001; McKay 2023) in cities like Los Angeles, Washington D.C., and New York developed distinct linguistic identities (Debies-Carl 2014). Los Angeles bands like Black Flag incorporated West Coast slang and references to local culture, while East Coast punk often reflected the grittiness of urban life. The straightedge movement¹, championed by bands like Minor Threat, introduced a unique lexicon centered on sobriety and self-control, as in “Straight Edge” by Minor Threat (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nBDd0thsOSk>). Terms like “X’d up” (referring to the practice of drawing X’s on hands or other parts of the body) became symbolic of this subculture (Hebdige 1979; Bennet 1999; Muggleton 2000)².

The 1980s saw punk lyrics become more overtly political, addressing issues like police brutality, nuclear proliferation, and systemic inequality (Savage 1991). Bands like Dead Kennedys used biting satire and dark humor to critique global politics, as seen in songs like “Holiday in Cambodia” (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2UjOQ0w4CU>).

The 1990s brought a wave of pop punk, with bands like Green Day, Blink-182, and The Offspring achieving mainstream success. This era saw notable shifts in punk discourse. Pop punk bands like Green Day and Blink-182 adopted a lighter, more melodic approach, infusing humor and adolescent concerns into their lyrics, which often employed conversational and relatable language, focusing on themes like teenage angst, relationships, and suburban disillusionment. While still rebellious, the language of pop punk became more introspective, emphasizing personal experiences and relationships. Green Day’s “Basket Case” (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NUTGr5t3MoY>) exemplifies this style with its introspective, self-deprecating tone.

The shift signaled punk’s growing appeal to a wider, younger audience, bridging themes of rebellion with relatable narratives. Pop punk frequently incorporated humor and irony, reflecting a shift away from the aggressive seriousness of hardcore punk. Blink-182’s “What’s My Age Again?” (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=K7l5ZeVV0CA>) exemplifies this trend with its playful, irreverent lyrics.

¹ See Medium’s article “Straight edge: How one 46-second song started a 35-year movement” for more on the straightedge movement (<https://medium.com/timeline/straight-edge-movement-67544e6f8d88>).

² See DIY Conspiracy’s article “X-ing up (To X-up)” for more on this symbol (<https://diyconspiracy.net/terms/x-up/>).

As punk became more commercially viable, its linguistic style adapted to broader audiences. This shift often involved simplifying themes and reducing the rawness characteristic of earlier punk discourse.

2.1.3. Contemporary Punk: Resilience and Inclusivity

In the 21st century, punk discourse has continued to evolve, blending traditional punk elements with influences from other genres and addressing contemporary issues, increasingly embracing themes of resilience, identity, and inclusivity (O'Meara 2003; Reynolds 2005; Stewart 2019; Xiao *et al.* 2022). Modern punk bands, such as Against Me!, IDLES, and The Linda Lindas, often tackle issues like mental health, systemic oppression, and gender identity (Downes 2012). The linguistic style reflects these shifts, incorporating diverse vocabularies and narrative techniques to address complex issues. For example, Against Me!'s "Transgender Dysphoria Blues", employs evocative and emotionally raw language to explore the struggles of transgender identity (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dq_va3wNfFo). Similarly, IDLES' "Danny Nedelko" celebrates multiculturalism and solidarity with a mix of earnestness and irony, reflecting the genre's adaptive linguistic style (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QkF_G-RF66M): "My best friend is an alien / My best friend is a citizen / He's strong, he's earnest, he's innocent".

Punk has merged with genres like hip-hop, electronic music, and folk, influencing its linguistic style. The punk-hip-hop fusion of bands like Ho99o9 introduces rhythmic patterns and vocabulary from hip-hop culture, while folk-punk bands like Against Me! use narrative-driven lyrics. While early punk was defined by rebellion, contemporary punk often emphasizes resilience and activism (Van Leeuwen 1998, Patton 2018). Lyrics frequently focus on collective action, solidarity, and envisioning a better world, as seen in the works of Anti-Flag [e.g., "This Is the End (for You My Friend)" (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qnVD7WuarSo>)] and War on Women [e.g., "Capture the Flag" (www.youtube.com/watch?v=ndoyfV9n4dY)].

Stylistically, contemporary punk often blends the urgency of early punk with poetic elements and layered metaphors (Laing 2015; Panek 2021). This linguistic evolution aligns with broader societal changes, showcasing punk's ability to adapt while retaining its core ethos of challenging norms.

2.2. Language Variation and Change in Punk Music

The linguistic variation within punk music reflects the diversity of its communities and their sociocultural contexts. This section explores the factors influencing linguistic change and the ways in which punk discourse adapts to broader social, cultural, and political dynamics.

2.2.1. Regional Dialects and Sociolects in Punk

Punk's linguistic variation is deeply shaped by the regional and social contexts from which it emerges, reflecting the genre's adaptability and diversity (Feld *et al.* 2004). Across the globe, punk's raw, rebellious ethos is expressed in unique ways, with bands infusing their lyrics with local dialects, sociolects, and multilingual elements (Xiao *et al.* 2022).

The regional punk scenes that emerged in the 1970s and 1980s created distinctive linguistic identities, shaped by local culture and geography (Anderson and Jenkins 2001; Goshert 2000; Smith and Banfield 2023). Early British punk, for instance, was heavily influenced by working-class dialects, particularly Cockney and other regional accents. Bands like the Sex Pistols and The Clash used vernacular speech to connect with their working-class audiences, as exemplified by phrases like “bollocks” and “Oi!”. The latter also became the rallying cry of the Oi! subgenre³, known for its association with street-level culture. Bands like The Clash and The Jam incorporated distinctly British vernacular, lending authenticity and local relevance to their lyrics. Phrases like “London calling to the faraway towns” (The Clash) not only set the geographic stage but also embed a cultural identity into the music.

In contrast, American punk bands often draw from regional accents and idioms, particularly reflecting urban environments (Bennet and Peterson 2004; Debies-Carl 2014; Goshert 2000). The Dead Kennedys' West Coast punk often reflected the laid-back yet defiant ethos of California as blended with sharp critiques of Californian culture and politics, while East Coast bands like the Ramones channeled the grit of New York City through their straightforward, no-frills language. The Midwest punk scene, represented by bands like The Replacements, showcased a more introspective, lyrical style.

The Dead Kennedys' song “California Über Alles” (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QVcNfiRWS6U>) exemplifies their West Coast punk style. Released in 1979, the track satirizes then-Governor Jerry Brown, portraying him as a “Zen fascist” who enforces a dystopian regime under the guise of progressive ideals. The lyrics reference “suede denim secret police” and “organic poison gas”, highlighting the band's concerns about authoritarianism masked by a hippie aesthetic. This song reflects the band's critical perspective on California's political landscape during that era.

“53rd and 3rd” by The Ramones exemplifies the punk tradition of storytelling rooted in real-life struggles, shining a light on topics often ignored by mainstream culture. The Vietnam War reference is particularly

³ See Punk Wiki *Fandom* for more on the Oi! subgenre (<https://punk.fandom.com/wiki/Oi!>).

poignant because it connects a larger sociopolitical issue with individual lived experiences. In doing so, the song critiques the interplay between personal trauma and systemic neglect, offering a raw yet powerful glimpse into the human cost of societal failures. This song captures the essence of punk as both a musical and cultural movement: it's unpolished, direct, and brutally honest, yet layered with meaning that challenges listeners to think critically about the world around them.

From the Midwest punk scene, a prominent band, The Replacements, stands out for their introspective and lyrical songwriting, which often delves into themes of dissatisfaction and personal struggle. A prime example of this is their song "Unsatisfied" from the 1984 album *Let It Be* (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DU6IndADEWI>), in which the band repeatedly expresses a deep sense of discontent with the refrain "Look me in the eye, then tell me that I'm satisfied – Are you satisfied?". This candid expression of inner turmoil reflects the band's introspective style, setting them apart from their punk contemporaries.

Punk discourse, though rooted in the UK and USA, rapidly transcended borders to become a global phenomenon, reshaped by local cultures and struggles (Dines and Bestley 2020; Guerra 2018; Guerra and Santos Silva 2015; Lalama 2013; Moog 2023). As punk spread, it adapted its rebellious ethos to diverse social, political, and linguistic contexts, giving rise to unique expressions of defiance worldwide. From Latin America's raw critiques of dictatorship to Japan's fusion of punk with traditional cultural motifs, punk became a tool for addressing oppression and voicing marginalized perspectives. Multilingual lyrics, regional dialects, and localized themes illustrate how punk evolved beyond its Western origins, embodying a universal spirit of resistance while reflecting the realities of global communities.

Punk's global proliferation introduced linguistic diversity (Dines and Bestley 2020; Patton 2018; Xiao *et al.* 2022). *Los Violadores* brought punk's rebellious spirit to Argentina (Woods 2024), grounding their critiques in the harsh realities of military rule. Unlike British and American punk, which often used irony or satire, their lyrics, such as in "Represión" (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Mjhj2yLoKhk>), are raw and direct, reflecting the immediate dangers of defiance under dictatorship. By incorporating Spanish and addressing themes like oppression and inequality, *Los Violadores* localized punk's global ethos, creating a powerful voice for resistance in Latin America (Rohrer 2014). Their work exemplifies punk's ability to confront injustice and inspire resilience, adapting its message to reflect the specific struggles of their region.

Similarly, Japanese punk bands like The Stalin fused punk's defiant ethos with Japanese cultural motifs. One of The Stalin's most iconic songs, "Stalinism" (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Ay48IxveSrE>), captures

their rebellious spirit and fuses punk's anarchistic energy with commentary on Japanese society (Matsue 2008).

In Italy, *Negazione* emerged during a period of social and political unrest (the 1980s and early 1990s), reflecting the frustrations of a generation navigating economic instability, political corruption, and cultural alienation. Their music became a voice for youth disillusioned with mainstream politics and societal expectations. Songs like “*Tutti Pazzi*” (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LyKwXNdGsl4>) are a powerful example of how Italian punk (Marino and Mugnaini 2024) adapted the global punk ethos to address local issues, blending visceral emotion with thought-provoking lyrics. Its lines critique the homogenization of society, where individuality is suppressed in favor of blind obedience. *Negazione*'s delivery, marked by raw vocals and aggressive instrumentation, amplifies the frustration expressed in the lyrics. The urgency in their music mirrors the punk ethos of resisting authority and societal norms. While deeply rooted in the Italian context, these lyrics resonate with a broader global critique of authoritarianism and conformity, showing punk's universal appeal. *Negazione* well represented this period of radical changes, passing unscathed through the alternative fashions of the moment, both in terms of their music and their look, which was far from English and American clichés, and evolving to such an extent that they managed to remain credible even when Italian punk rock was heading towards its inevitable decline.

Sociolects also play an essential role in shaping punk discourse, providing a linguistic framework that reflects the values, experiences, and affiliations of its diverse communities. The language of punk is not merely about communication; it is an active declaration of identity, resistance, and solidarity. Working-class slang, countercultural jargon, and activist language are integral components of this sociolect, appearing prominently in punk lyrics to highlight the genre's connection to marginalized voices. By employing language tied to specific communities and ideologies, punk artists and fans signal their membership and commitment to the subculture's ethos of rebellion and self-determination (Muggleton 2000).

In punk discourse, sociolects emerge as tools for reinforcing group identity, often grounded in shared struggles and values. For example, the working-class roots of early punk in the UK fostered a linguistic style steeped in regional slang and colloquial expressions, signaling solidarity with the disenfranchised. Bands like The Clash and Sham 69 utilized street-level language to directly address the economic hardships and frustrations of their audience, creating a sense of authenticity and shared experience. Similarly, American punk often borrowed from the language of activism, adopting terms associated with movements like civil rights and anarchism to articulate its political critiques (Donaghey 2020; Hannon 2010).

Subgenres within punk have developed their own sociolects to reflect

their unique ideologies. The straightedge movement, which emerged in the hardcore punk scene of the early 1980s (Kuhn 2010), introduced a distinct lexicon centered on sobriety and self-discipline. Terms like “clean,” “sober,” and “X’d up” symbolize the movement’s rejection of alcohol, drugs, and other intoxicants, creating a linguistic shorthand that emphasizes personal responsibility and collective purity. These terms are not just descriptive; they are performative, signaling one’s commitment to the movement and offering a counterpoint to punk’s stereotypical association with hedonism.

Similarly, the queercore subgenre has developed its own sociolect, celebrating queer identity and challenging heteronormative structures. Emerging in the 1980s as a response to both mainstream punk’s lack of inclusivity and broader societal marginalization, queercore uses language as a tool of empowerment. Phrases like “queer punk” assert pride in nonconformity, while themes of chosen family, intersectionality, and resistance to oppression are central to its discourse. The language of queercore reclaims derogatory terms and imbues them with new meaning, creating a space where marginalized identities are not only acknowledged but celebrated.

The sociolects of punk extend beyond lyrics to include everyday language within punk communities. In DIY spaces, zines, and conversations, the use of specialized jargon fosters a sense of belonging and mutual understanding (Gaballo 2012). This linguistic practice helps maintain the integrity of the subculture (Gelder and Thornton 1997; Hebdige 1979; Muggleton 2000), differentiating insiders from outsiders and reinforcing punk’s emphasis on autonomy and authenticity. For example, phrases like “DIY ethos” (Moran 2010) reflect punk’s commitment to self-production and rejection of corporate control, encapsulating a core value in just a few words.

Punk’s sociolects also function as a form of resistance, challenging dominant linguistic norms and power structures. By using language that prioritizes inclusivity, dissent, and subversion, punk discourse destabilizes mainstream ideologies and offers alternative ways of seeing and describing the world. This linguistic rebellion mirrors punk’s broader cultural stance, creating a feedback loop where language both reflects and reinforces the subculture’s principles (Gelder and Thornton 1997).

In this way, sociolects are far more than linguistic tools; they are deeply embedded in punk’s identity as a platform for marginalized voices (Gaballo 2012; Stewart 2019). Through the use of working-class slang, countercultural jargon, and activist language, punk continues to carve out spaces for resistance and solidarity, ensuring that its discourse remains as dynamic and defiant as the music itself.

2.2.2. Linguistic Innovation in Punk

Punk has been a fertile ground for linguistic innovation, often inventing or popularizing terms that later permeate mainstream culture, thus reflecting its boundary-pushing ethos (Holliday 1999; Zbikowski 2009). For instance, the term “punk” itself, originally meaning a petty criminal or worthless person (<https://www.etymonline.com/word/punk>), was reclaimed to signify defiance and authenticity. Similarly, expressions like “DIY” (do it yourself) became central to punk ethos, symbolizing self-reliance and rejection of corporate control (Gaballo 2012; McKay 2023; Moran 2010).

Punk has expanded semantic fields by repurposing existing words or creating new ones. The Clash, for example, use the term “clampdown” (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_lt4O-EHNnw)—a pun that plays on the idea of growing older and conforming to oppressive systems—to evoke imagery of both physical suppression (a clamp tightening) and the metaphorical “calm down”, representing submission to authority. The pun reinforces the critique of societal control, as lead singer Joe Strummer confirmed in an interview: “this song and our overall message was to wake-up, pay attention to what really is going on around you, politically, socially all of it...before you know it you have become what you despise” (D’Ambrosio 2003, p. 6).

Linguistic playfulness is also evident in punk’s use of puns, wordplay, and irony. The Dead Kennedys, for example, often used provocative song titles such as “Too Drunk to F***” (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8Jzdikbi9yE>)—mocking the expression “too drunk to drive”—to challenge societal taboos while incorporating humor and satire. This playful subversion underscores punk’s resistance not only to authority but also to linguistic conventions (Panek 2021).

The visual elements of punk music, including album artwork, fashion, and music videos, are integral to its discourse. Punk album covers often feature bold, provocative imagery designed to shock and confront. The Sex Pistols’ “Never Mind the Bollocks” cover, with its fluorescent yellow background and ransom-note typography, exemplifies this aesthetic.

Particularly powerful in punk music are multimodal metaphors (Way and McKerrell 2017; Zbikowski 2009) because they combine language (lyrics) with music, vocal delivery, printed matter and performance to create layered meanings. These metaphors emerge when verbal elements (lyrics) interact with non-verbal elements (images, melody, rhythm, instrumentation, and vocal tone) to convey ideas and emotions (Streeck *et al.* 2011).

An example of multimodal metaphors is NOFX’s album *The War on Errorism* (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=naSD4YQ8-Mk&t=3s>), which exemplifies punk satire, critiquing the Bush administration’s “War on Terror” through clever wordplay and biting humor (See Figure 1). The title replaces

“Terror” with “Errorism”, highlighting the perceived hypocrisy and mistakes in U.S. policies. The cover, featuring a caricature of George W. Bush as a clown alongside the American flag, underscores the critique by mocking his leadership and suggesting it undermines core American values like freedom and justice. Blending sharp linguistic and visual elements, the album challenges blind nationalism and authority, embodying punk’s rebellious spirit while engaging listeners with its irreverent humor.



Figure 1

Example of multimodal metaphors: NOFX’s album “The War on Errorism” (2003).

This combination of linguistic and visual elements exemplifies punk’s irreverent approach to political dissent. By mocking Bush and his policies, NOFX invites listeners to question authority and resist blind nationalism. At the same time, the humor ensures the critique remains engaging, drawing in audiences who might otherwise avoid overtly political messages. The album title and cover are not just provocative—they embody punk’s ethos of subversion, holding power accountable through art that refuses to conform or soften its edges.

Multilingual punk bands often code-switch between languages, blending linguistic elements to create a hybridized discourse (Holliday 1999; Van Leeuwen 1998, 2012). This practice is common among bands from multicultural regions, such as bilingual punk bands in Canada and Latin America, but also from post-colonized territories.

For instance, the opening line of Asian Dub Foundation’s “Rebel Warrior” (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ElK15s1xQ8o>), “*Ami bidrohi!* I the rebel warrior”, is a bold statement blending Bengali and English to connect cultural heritage with global resistance. Referencing Kazi Nazrul Islam’s iconic Bengali poem *Bidrohi*, the phrase celebrates rebellion and anti-colonial struggle. By incorporating English, the band bridges diasporic

identity with universal defiance against oppression. The bilingual proclamation, paired with lyrics calling for unity and resistance, becomes a rallying cry for solidarity. Musically, their fusion of dub, punk, and electronic echoes this hybridity, reflecting the diasporic fight against cultural erasure and systemic injustice.

2.2.3. *Punk Discourse: Continuity and Change*

Punk music, through its linguistic, visual, and performative elements, has carved out a unique space for rebellion, empowerment, and social commentary. Its discourse strategies and subcultural practices emphasize authenticity, resistance, and solidarity, making punk a powerful vehicle for identity construction and collective action. Despite its linguistic evolution, certain constants persist within punk discourse (Gaballo 2012; Panek 2021). Rebellion remains a cornerstone of the genre, expressed through linguistic choices that defy convention and authority. The use of expletives, informal speech, and unpolished delivery continues to reinforce punk's anti-establishment ethos (Way 2016). However, punk's ability to adapt linguistically and thematically has ensured its relevance across generations. From the brash simplicity of the 1970s to the nuanced introspection of today, punk discourse has expanded its expressive capabilities while retaining its core message of resistance and resilience (Lalama 2013).

By examining punk through the lenses of linguistic analysis (Aleshinskaya 2013; Faudree 2012; Zbikowski 2009), sociolinguistic perspectives (Feld *et al.* 2004; Van Leeuwen 2012; Way 2016), and multimodal discourse (Gaballo 2012; Way and McKerrel 2017), we gain a deeper understanding of its evolution and enduring relevance. Punk's ability to adapt and diversify while remaining true to its core ethos ensures its place as a dynamic and transformative cultural force. Whether through the aggressive simplicity of hardcore punk (Ambrosch 2015; Easley 2015; Pearson 2019), the introspective narratives of pop-punk (Van Leeuwen 1998; Way 2016), or the feminist manifestos of riot grrrl (Downes 2012), punk continues to inspire rebellion and resilience in equal measure.

In conclusion, the historical evolution of punk discourse underscores the genre's dynamic interplay between tradition and innovation. By tracing linguistic shifts within punk, we gain insight into its role as both a cultural artifact and a living, breathing medium for societal critique (Way and McKerrel 2017). Through its varied dialects, sociolects, and thematic explorations, punk continues to be a powerful voice for change and a testament to the enduring spirit of rebellion (Bennet 2006).

3. Integration of Punk Culture into Edupunk: Empowering Learners, Challenging Hierarchies

The integration of punk culture into education, often referred to as “edupunk”⁴, represents a radical reimagining of teaching and learning. Rooted in the ethos of the punk movement, edupunk seeks to disrupt traditional hierarchies in education and empower learners to take control of their own knowledge (Jagusch 2021). This educational philosophy emphasizes do-it-yourself (DIY) practices, resistance to institutional control, and the creation of collaborative, learner-centered environments (O’Hara 1995). By applying punk culture’s principles of rebellion, creativity, and resilience to education, edupunk challenges the dominance of standardized curricula and advocates for a more egalitarian and flexible approach to learning (Freire 1970).

This section explores the integration of punk culture into edupunk through two main themes: the ideological connections between punk and edupunk, and the broader implications of edupunk for rethinking the future of education (Dines *et al.* 2023).

3.1. The Ideological Foundations of Edupunk

Edupunk draws heavily from the ideological foundations of punk culture, particularly its emphasis on challenging authority, fostering creativity, and embracing individual agency (Furness 2012). Punk’s anti-establishment ethos resonates with educational movements that seek to disrupt hierarchical structures and empower marginalized voices (Dines *et al.* 2023).

In the edupunk framework, the distinction between schooling and education is fundamental. Schooling is viewed as an institutionalized mechanism designed to socialize individuals into dominant societal norms, often prioritizing economic productivity and standardized performance metrics over intellectual and personal growth. As Furness (2012) notes, neoliberal policies have reshaped universities into “degree-factories” where learning is increasingly tied to market demands. Conversely, education in the edupunk ethos is a dynamic, liberating, and reciprocal process that empowers both teachers and learners. Drawing from thinkers like Ivan Illich (1971) and Paulo Freire (1970), edupunk rejects the “banking model” of education, where students passively receive information, in favor of a transformative approach where “knowledge emerges only in invention and reinvention” (Furness 2012, p. 133). This vision of education fosters critical inquiry,

⁴ The term “edupunk” was coined by Jim Groom and used by Stephen Downes in May 2008 to refer to “a new instructional style that is defiantly student-centered, resourceful, teacher- or community-created rather than corporate-sourced, and underwritten by a progressive political stance” (David Cohen, *The Guardian*, Mon 16 Jun 2008).

creativity, and resistance to hegemonic structures, positioning learning as an act of rebellion against hierarchical, corporate-driven schooling, an act of rebellion that Furness calls a “revolutionary act of love” (Furness 2012, p. 141).

Edupunk, therefore, champions alternative learning spaces—whether through DIY initiatives, community-driven education, or independent study—that resist institutional constraints and uphold education as a tool for personal and collective empowerment.

3.1.1. Resistance to Institutional Authority

In punk culture, resistance to authority is not just an attitude—it is a lifestyle embodied through music, fashion, and DIY practices that reject conformity and celebrate individuality (O’Hara 1995; Printz 2014). Punk’s ethos of self-reliance and defiance translates seamlessly into Edupunk, a movement that critiques traditional educational institutions for being overly bureaucratic, rigid, and exclusionary (Ebner 2008; Freire 1970). Both movements share a disdain for hierarchical systems that prioritize control over creativity.

Edupunk, inspired by punk’s anti-establishment stance, challenges the mainstream “one-size-fits-all” education model that often values standardized testing and rigid curricula over critical thinking and self-expression. These systems can alienate learners by stifling individuality and creativity, much like how punk critiqued the monotony of mainstream culture. Edupunk instead advocates for personalized, learner-centered approaches where individuals design their own educational experiences (Jagush 2021).

This process mirrors punk’s DIY ethic—learners are encouraged to mix formal education with informal methods like online courses, peer learning, or self-taught skills. By leveraging freely available resources and fostering creativity, Edupunk empowers individuals to take control of their education, dismantling traditional barriers to access (Freire 1970; Furness 2012). In essence, Edupunk reclaims education as a tool for empowerment and personal growth, embodying the same spirit of resistance and reinvention that defines punk culture.

3.1.2. DIY Ethos and Autonomy

The DIY ethos of punk culture (Moran 2010), rooted in self-reliance and rejection of mainstream systems, serves as a foundational principle for edupunk (Vass and Heffernan 2023). In punk, the DIY spirit manifests in the creation and distribution of independent music, bypassing industry gatekeepers like record labels and producers (Moran 2010; O’Hara 1995). Punk bands self-record their albums, distribute them through underground networks, and organize grassroots tours. Zines, hand-made publications filled

with art, commentary, and interviews, further reflect this ethos, allowing punk communities to share ideas and foster connections without relying on corporate media (Gaballo 2012). This culture of independence is not just about rebellion—it is about creating alternatives and seizing control of one’s narrative.

Edupunk adopts this same philosophy, encouraging learners to take charge of their own education by rejecting rigid institutional frameworks (Furness 2012). Instead of following predefined curricula, edupunk learners use open-source tools, online platforms, and collaborative networks to tailor their educational paths to their unique goals and interests (Vass and Heffernan 2023). Just as punk bands challenge the authority of the music industry, edupunk challenges traditional education systems, emphasizing that knowledge is not the sole property of universities or schools (Jagusch 2021).

This DIY approach fosters autonomy and creativity, empowering individuals to explore unconventional methods of learning, such as peer-to-peer teaching, open-access courses, and project-based education. It reflects a belief in learning as an organic, flexible process, free from the constraints of standardized testing and rigid hierarchies (Furness 2012). By doing so, edupunk, like punk itself, fosters individuality, resourcefulness, and the breaking of boundaries to create something entirely one’s own.

3.1.3. *Community and Collaboration*

While punk culture is often associated with fierce individualism, its core values also emphasize community and collective action. Punk scenes (Bennet and Peterson 2004) thrive as tight-knit communities that offer solidarity and mutual support, fostering spaces where individuals can challenge societal norms together. This sense of shared purpose extends to organizing benefit shows, creating communal living spaces, and forming activist networks to address social and political issues. The idea of “strength in numbers” runs parallel to punk’s ethos, balancing personal autonomy with collective empowerment (Ebner 2008).

Edupunk adopts this communal spirit by prioritizing collaborative learning environments where knowledge is not dictated from the top down but co-created through shared experiences. Just as punk bands collaborate to produce music and zines (Gaballo 2012), edupunk encourages learners and educators to work together, exchanging ideas and building knowledge collectively (Ebner *et al.* 2011). Open education initiatives like MOOCs, Wikipedia, and peer-to-peer learning platforms embody this ethos by providing spaces where knowledge is freely accessible and shaped by diverse contributors.

These initiatives break down traditional hierarchies, democratizing education and fostering inclusivity. In an edupunk framework, learners act

not as passive recipients of information but as active participants in a collaborative process (Furness 2012), echoing punk's emphasis on community-driven action. This blending of individual agency and collective effort ensures that education remains a shared, empowering endeavor.

3.2. Challenging Hierarchies and Empowering Learners

Edupunk's emphasis on empowerment extends beyond individual learners to address systemic inequalities in education. By challenging hierarchies and advocating for inclusive practices, edupunk seeks to create a more equitable and accessible educational system (Jagusch 2021).

Edupunk challenges the alienation imposed by dominant education systems by demanding personal responsibility and agency in opposition to capitalist structuralism. Rather than passively accepting societal privileges, learners are urged to reject hierarchical advantages and work in solidarity with marginalized communities. As Furness (2012) argues, this approach disrupts hegemonic macrostructures by fostering a radical, collective resistance to oppressive systems. By centering lived experiences and dismantling exclusionary educational practices, edupunk transforms learning into an act of defiance—one that prioritizes empowerment, equity, and the reimagining of knowledge beyond institutional constraints.

3.2.1. Addressing Inequities in Education

Traditional education systems have long been criticized for perpetuating social hierarchies by privileging certain groups—often those with financial means, access to elite institutions, or societal privilege—while marginalizing others. These systems can create barriers for learners from underrepresented communities, reinforcing inequities based on socioeconomic status, race, gender, or geography (Furness 2012). Edupunk directly confronts these disparities by advocating educational models that prioritize accessibility, inclusion, and social justice.

A cornerstone of this effort lies in leveraging technology and community-driven initiatives to democratize learning. Notable examples are platforms like OpenCourseWare (<https://ocw.mit.edu/>) and Coursera (<https://www.coursera.org/>), which provide free or low-cost courses from world-class universities, breaking down the barriers of cost and geography that have historically limited access to high-quality education. These resources enable learners from diverse backgrounds to gain knowledge and skills that were once reserved for a privileged few, empowering them to compete on a more equal footing in academic and professional spheres (Dines *et al.* 2023).

Community-based programs further exemplify edupunk's commitment

to equity. After-school tutoring initiatives, workshops in underserved areas, and grassroots educational efforts bring learning opportunities directly to marginalized communities. These programs often rely on volunteer educators, peer mentors, and open-access materials, reflecting punk's DIY ethos and commitment to collective action.

Edupunk's focus on dismantling educational inequities is rooted in its belief that learning should be a right, not a privilege (Furness 2012). By rejecting exclusionary practices and embracing models that empower all learners, edupunk challenges traditional hierarchies and fosters a more inclusive, egalitarian vision of education.

3.2.2. *Fostering Critical Consciousness*

Punk culture's provocative, confrontational nature makes it a powerful medium for critiquing societal norms and injustices. This ethos finds a natural ally in edupunk, which channels punk's defiance into fostering critical consciousness—a concept rooted in the educational theories of Paulo Freire (https://freire.org/paul_o-freire). Freire emphasized the importance of education as a tool for liberation, urging learners to move beyond passive consumption of knowledge to actively question and challenge oppressive systems. Edupunk embraces this vision, transforming education into a space where learners critically engage with the world around them, dissect dominant ideologies, and imagine alternatives to the status quo (Dines *et al.* 2023; Dunn 2016; O'Hara 1995; Vass and Heffernan 2016).

Edupunk curricula often incorporate elements like critical media literacy to develop these skills. For example, students might analyze how advertisements, news media, or entertainment portray race, gender, and class, uncovering the subtle ways in which power structures shape societal narratives. This analysis fosters an awareness of systemic inequalities and equips learners with tools to deconstruct and resist these narratives (Freire 1970; Furness 2012).

The confrontational energy of punk culture inspires learners to not only question authority but also take action (Jagusch 2021). By combining critical pedagogy with punk's rebellious spirit, edupunk empowers students to become active participants in their education and advocates for social change, fostering a generation capable of challenging injustice and reimagining a more equitable world (Freire 1970).

3.2.3. *Promoting Lifelong Learning*

Edupunk emphasizes lifelong learning as a powerful tool for both personal growth and collective empowerment, challenging the traditional view of education as a fixed, linear process that ends with formal schooling (Vass and

Heffernan 2023). In today's rapidly evolving world, the ability to adapt, innovate, and continuously acquire new knowledge and skills has become essential for navigating shifting social, economic, and technological landscapes (Thornton 1995; Ebner *et al.* 2011). Edupunk embodies this philosophy by fostering a mindset of curiosity and self-directed learning (Jagush 2021), empowering individuals to take control of their education long after they leave traditional classrooms.

This approach aligns closely with punk culture's ethos of reinvention and adaptability. Punk musicians, for instance, are known for their relentless experimentation, blending genres, introducing unconventional sounds, and evolving their artistic expressions. Similarly, edupunk learners are encouraged to embrace exploration and experimentation, pursuing diverse interests and developing new competencies throughout their lives. Whether it's learning a new language (Ferrarese 2017), mastering a technical skill (Ebner *et al.* 2011), or delving into art and philosophy (Bestley and Ogg 2012; O'Hara 1995; Prinz 2014), edupunk promotes a spirit of continuous self-improvement.

By rejecting rigid educational timelines and embracing a lifelong commitment to learning, edupunk prepares individuals to thrive in a dynamic world. It mirrors punk's defiant rejection of conformity, empowering learners to redefine themselves, adapt to challenges, and remain engaged in shaping their personal and collective futures.

3.3. Tensions and Contradictions in Edupunk

The fusion of punk culture with education, as embodied by edupunk, is as provocative as it is complex, with tensions and contradictions woven deeply into its fabric. At its core, edupunk advocates accessibility, inclusivity, and the dismantling of hierarchies in learning (Jagush 2021), yet it faces contradictions that challenge these ideals.

One major tension is accessibility (Furness 2012): while edupunk promotes open resources and DIY learning, access to technology, stable internet, and makerspaces often depends on socioeconomic privilege (Dines *et al.* 2023). Additionally, the time required for self-directed learning is not equally available to all, particularly those balancing multiple jobs or caretaking responsibilities.

Inclusivity also remains a challenge. Despite punk's anti-establishment ethos, it has struggled with exclusionary tendencies regarding race, gender, and class. Similarly, edupunk spaces can inadvertently replicate hierarchies by privileging the loudest voices (Stewart 2019). The emphasis on individual autonomy over collective care may also alienate those from cultures that prioritize mutual aid.

Sustainability presents another issue. Punk's DIY ethos thrives on short

bursts of creativity but lacks the long-term infrastructure needed for education (Jagush 2021; O'Hara 1995). Additionally, while edupunk critiques traditional education, formal institutions remain vital pathways to social mobility for marginalized communities. Rejecting them entirely could hinder rather than help equity efforts.

To realize its transformative potential, edupunk must critically engage with these tensions, ensuring accessibility, sustainability, and diverse representation. By addressing these contradictions, it can create a more inclusive and enduring model of radical education.

As the challenges facing education continue to evolve, the principles of edupunk remain as relevant as ever. By embracing the spirit of rebellion and resilience that defines punk culture, edupunk offers a path forward—one that values autonomy, creativity, and the power of learning to transform lives and societies.

4. Conclusions

Punk, as both a musical genre and a cultural movement, has consistently operated as a platform for rebellion, empowerment, and social commentary. Its themes are conveyed through a dynamic interplay of linguistic, visual, and performative elements that together create a distinct and compelling discourse. This paper examined the themes and methods used in punk music to communicate ideologies, identities, and social critiques. By examining the linguistic features (Aleshinskaya 2013; Faudree 2012; Zbikowski 2009), sociolinguistic perspectives (Feld *et al.* 2004; Van Leeuwen 2012; Way 2016), and multimodal dimensions of punk discourse (Gaballo 2012; Way and McKerrel 2017), the analysis uncovered a variety of discourse strategies that make this genre a resilient and evolving force (Bennet 2006; Way and McKerrell 2017):

1. **Oppositional Framing:** Punk frequently constructs its identity in opposition to societal norms and mainstream culture. This is evident in lyrics that critique consumerism, political corruption, and conformity; e.g., The Dead Kennedys' "Kill the Poor" uses irony to satirize economic inequality, while Green Day's "American Idiot" condemns media-driven nationalism.
2. **Intertextuality:** Punk songs often reference historical events, political figures, or other cultural artifacts to reinforce their messages; e.g., The Clash's "White Riot" draws from the Brixton riots of the 1970s to address racial tensions and class struggles.
3. **Rhetorical Questions and Repetition:** Punk lyrics frequently utilize rhetorical questions and repetition to provoke thought and emphasize key points; e.g., in Rise Against's "Prayer of the Refugee," the repeated

refrain “We are the angry and the desperate” reinforces themes of resilience and defiance.

4. Narrative Voice: Many punk songs adopt a first-person perspective to establish intimacy and immediacy. This technique, combined with conversational language, creates a sense of shared experience between the artist and listener.

Each punk subgenre brings its own linguistic and thematic nuances to the broader punk discourse. Hardcore punk, for example, leans heavily on militant language and aggressive delivery to address themes of resistance and self-discipline (Dines *et al.* 2023). Songs like Minor Threat’s “Straight Edge” use declarative statements to promote a lifestyle of sobriety and autonomy (Kuhn 2010). In contrast, anarcho-punk bands such as Crass employ more didactic and polemical language to advocate for anarchist principles and pacifism (Donaghey 2020; Moog 2023). Their lyrics often resemble manifestos, blending poetic imagery with ideological rhetoric (Van Leeuwen 1998, 2012). Meanwhile, pop-punk explores themes of adolescence, relationships, and self-discovery through colloquial language and relatable narratives (Way 2016). This subgenre demonstrates punk’s adaptability, proving that rebellion can be personal as well as political (Dines and Bestley 2020).

Globally, punk has adapted to local contexts, addressing oppression and marginalization through multilingual lyrics and regional themes (Hebdige 1979; Sabin 1999). Sociolects, such as working-class slang and countercultural jargon, reinforce punk’s role as a platform for marginalized voices (Gaballo 2012), while subgenres like queercore celebrate queer identity and resistance through terms like “queer punk” and themes of chosen family (Laing 2015). Wordplay, puns, and double entendre enhance punk’s ability to critique and entertain, blending humor with subversion (Panek 2021). Additionally, the interplay between lyrics and music creates multimodal metaphors, amplifying punk’s expression of rebellion, alienation, and empowerment (Way and McKerrell 2017; Zbikowski 2009). This evolution highlights punk’s enduring relevance as a tool for cultural and political resistance worldwide (Faudree 2012; Geertz 1973).

The influence of punk discourse extends beyond music, finding fertile ground in edupunk—a DIY, anti-establishment approach to education that emerged in the early 2000s (Vass and Heffernan 2023). Inspired by punk’s rejection of rigid hierarchies, edupunk challenges traditional academic models, emphasizing learner autonomy, collaboration, and creativity (Dines *et al.* 2023; Freire 1970). Just as punk empowered marginalized voices through its raw honesty and accessibility, edupunk empowers learners by fostering critical thinking and innovation outside conventional systems (Jagush 2021). Both movements share a commitment to dismantling authority, promoting inclusivity, and advocating for change (Furness 2012).

Punk's evolution from cultural rebellion to a pedagogical tool demonstrates its versatility and relevance, proving that its ethos can inspire resilience and resistance across diverse contexts (Dunn 2016). The historical evolution of punk discourse and its integration into edupunk reflects the enduring impact of punk's ethos on culture and education (Ebner 2008). At its core, edupunk is about reclaiming education as a tool for personal and collective empowerment (Jagusch 2021). Whether through grassroots community initiatives, digital platforms, or activist-oriented curricula, edupunk provides a framework for addressing the social and economic challenges of the 21st century. It embraces the punk spirit of rebellion—not as a means of destruction, but as a call to action, encouraging learners to challenge outdated structures and imagine bold alternatives (Furness 2012).

In a world increasingly shaped by rapid technological advances and growing social inequalities, the principles of edupunk remain vital. By advocating autonomy, creativity, and critical thinking, edupunk equips learners with the skills to navigate uncertainty and lead change. As it draws on punk's enduring themes of resilience and resistance, edupunk offers not only a critique of existing educational paradigms but a vision for a future where learning is accessible, transformative, and empowering for all.

Bionote: Viviana Gaballo is an Associate Professor of English Linguistics and Translation Studies at the University of Padova, Italy. Her research spans Critical Discourse Analysis, Corpus Linguistics, linguistic variation, and lexicography, with a strong focus on the relationship between language and social change. In Applied Linguistics, she has contributed to CLIL and Technology-Enhanced Language Teaching and Learning, promoting innovative and inclusive educational approaches. Her work in Translation Studies explores translation competence, collaborative translation, and transcreation, emphasizing the role of translation in cross-cultural communication. She is the author of the Systemic-Functional Translation Competence model, which she outlined in her edited volume “English in Translation Studies: Methodological Perspectives” (2009). With a strong publication and presentation record in international conferences, she actively engages with interdisciplinary perspectives on language, education, and translation. Through her scholarship and teaching, she advocates for critical thinking, accessibility, and collaboration in learning, fostering connections between linguistic research and real-world applications.

Author's address: viviana.gaballo@unipd.it

References

- Aleshinskaya E. 2013, *Key Components of Musical Discourse Analysis*, in “Research in Language” 11 [4], pp. 423-444.
- Ambrosch G. 2015, *American Punk: The Relations Between Punk Rock, Hardcore, and American Culture*, in “Amerikastudien / American Studies” 60 [2/3], pp. 215-233.
- Anderson M. and Jenkins M. 2001, *Dance of Days: Two Decades of Punk in the Nation’s Capital*, Soft Skull Press, New York.
- Azzerad M. 2001, *Our Band Could Be Your Life: Scenes From the American Indie Underground 1981-1991*, Little, Bown and Co., Boston.
- Bestley R. and Ogg A. 2012, *The Art of Punk*, Omnibus, London.
- Bennet A. 1999, *Subcultures or Neo-tribes? Re-thinking the Relationship Between Youth, Style and Musical Taste*, in “Sociology” 33 [3], pp. 599-617.
- Bennet A. 2006, *Punk’s Not Dead: The Continuing Significance of Punk Rock for an Older Generation of Fans*, in “Sociology” 40 [2], pp. 219-235.
- Bennet A. and Peterson R. 2004, *Music Scenes: Local, Transnational and Virtual*, Vanderbilt University Press, Nashville.
- Blush, S. 2001, *American Hardcore: A Tribal History*, Feral House, Los Angeles.
- Bradshaw J. 2007 (ed.), *Punk: A Directory of Modern Sub-verse Youth Culture*, Hollow Contemporary Art, US.
- D’Ambrosio A. 2003, ‘Let Fury Have the Hour’: *The Passionate Politics of Joe Strummer*, in “Monthly Review” 55 [2].
- Debies-Carl J. 2014, *Punk Rock and the Politics of Place*, Routledge, New York.
- Dines M. and Bestley R. 2020, *Punk Sans Frontières: Mapping the Trajectory of Global Punk*, in Bridge S.K. and Sweers B. (ed.), *The Oxford Handbook of Global Popular Music*, Oxford University Press (OUP), Oxford.
- Dines M., Bestley R. and Stewart F. 2023, *A Network of Hardcore Researchers: Punk Studies, Punk Scholarship, Punk Pedagogy*, in Winkler R. and Butz K. (ed.), *Hardcore Research: Punk, Practice, Politics*, Transcript Verlag, Bielefeld, pp. 45-60.
- Donaghey J. 2020, *The ‘Punk Anarchisms’ of Class War and CrimethInc.*, in “Journal of Political Ideologies” 25 [2], pp. 113-138.
- Downes J. 2012, *The Expansion of Punk Rock: Riot Grrrl Challenges to Gender Power Relations in British Indie Music Subcultures*, in “Women’s Studies” 41, pp. 204-237.
- Duncombe S. 1997, *Cultural Resistance Reader*, Verso, New York.
- Dunn K (ed.) 2016, *Global Punk: Resistance and Rebellion in Everyday Life*, Bloomsbury, New York.
- Easley D.B. 2015, *Riff Schemes, Form, and the Genre of Early American Hardcore Punk*, in “Music Theory Online” 21 [1], pp. 1-21.
- Ebner M. 2008, *Why We Need Edupunk*, in “Revista de Informatica Sociala” 5 [9], pp. 31-40.
- Ebner M., Holzinger A., Scerbakov N. and Tsang P. 2011, *EduPunks and Learning Management Systems – Conflict or Chance?*, in Kwan R., Fong J., Kwok L.F. and Lam J. (ed.) *Hybrid Learning. ICHL 2011. Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 6837, Springer, Berlin/Heidelberg, pp. 224-238.
- Fairclough N. 1992, *Discourse and Social Change*, Polity Press, Cambridge.
- Faudree P. 2012, *Music, Language, and Texts: Sound and Semiotic Ethnography*, in

- “Annual Review of Anthropology” 41, pp. 519-536.
- Feld S. and Fox A.A. 1994, *Music and Language*, in “Annual Review of Anthropology” 23, pp. 25-53.
- Feld S., Fox A.A., Porcello T. and Samuels D. 2004, *Vocal Anthropology: From the Music of Language to the Language of Songs*, in Duranti A. (ed.), *A Companion to Linguistic Anthropology*, Basil Blackwell, Malden, MA, pp. 321-345.
- Ferrarese M. 2017, *Punk Rock as a Second Language: The Value of Punk Music Scene Affiliation for Non-native English Speakers*, in “Journal of Popular Music Education” 1 [3], pp. 317-330.
- Freire P. 1970, *Pedagogy of the Oppressed*, Continuum, New York.
- Furness Z. 2012, *Punkademics: The Basement Show in the Ivory Tower*, Minor Compositions, Brooklyn.
- Gaballo V. 2012, *Language and Culture in Minor Media Text Types: A Diachronic, Intralinguistic Analysis from Fanzines to Webzines*, in Luginbühl M. and Hauser S. (ed.), *Contrastive Media Analysis. Approaches to Linguistic and Cultural Aspects of Mass Media Communication*, Benjamins, Amsterdam and New York, pp. 145-175.
- Geertz C. 1973, *The Interpretation of Cultures*, Basic Books, New York.
- Gelder K. and Thornton S. (ed.) 1997, *The Subcultures Reader*, Routledge, London.
- Goshert J.C. 2000, ‘Punk’ after the Pistols: American music, economics and politics in 1980s and 1990s, in “Popular Music and Society” 24 [1], pp. 85-106.
- Guerra P. 2018, *Raw Power: Punk, DIY and Underground Cultures as Spaces of Resistance in Contemporary Portugal*, in “Cultural Sociology” 12 [2], pp. 241-259.
- Guerra P. and Santos Silva A. 2015, *Music and More than Music: The Approach to Difference and Identity in the Portuguese Punk*, in “European Journal of Cultural Studies” 18 [2], pp. 207-223.
- Hannon S.M. 2010, *Punks: A Guide to an American Subculture*, in *ABC-CLIO*, pp. 71-84.
- Hebdige D. 1979, *Subculture: The Meaning of Style*, Routledge, London.
- Heylin C. 2007, *Babylon’s Burning: From Punk to Grunge*, Canongate Books, New York.
- Holliday A. 1999, *Small Cultures*, in “Applied Linguistics”, 20 [2], pp. 237-264.
- Illich I. 1971, *Deschooling Society*, Harper and Row, New York.
- Jagusch D. 2021, *Punk Pedagogy: Teaching to Confront Intersecting Discourses of Domination*, in “Master’s Theses and Doctoral Dissertations”, DigitalCommons@EMU, Eastern Michigan University, 1066.
- Kuhn G. (ed.) 2010, *Sober Living For the Revolution: Hardcore Punk, Straight Edge, and Radical Politics*, PM Press, Binghamton.
- Laing D. 2015, *One Chord Wonders: Power and Meaning in Punk Rock*, PM Press, Oakland.
- Lalama A. 2013, *Transnational Punk: The Growing Push for Global Change Through a Music-based Subculture*, in “LUX” 3 [1], #9.
- McKay G. 2023, *Was punk DIY? Is DIY punk? Interrogating the DIY/Punk Nexus, with Particular Reference to the Early UK Punk Scene, c. 1976-1984*, in “DIY Alternative Cultures & Society” 2 [2], pp. 1-16.
- Marcus G. 1993, *Lipstick Traces: A Secret History of the Twentieth Century*, Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts.
- Marino S. and Mugnaini G. 2024, *What Is Punk (in Italy) Today? Some Remarks on the Aesthetic and Social Aspects of Musical Subcultures*, in Kajanova Y. (ed.), *Ubuntu Fusion Music*, Peter Lang, Berlin, pp. 143-165.
- Matsue J.M. 2008, *Making Music in Japan’s Underground: The Tokyo Hardcore Scene* (1st ed.), Routledge, London.
- Moog S. 2023, *Punk Worlding: An Alternative Framework for Anarcho-punk Culture in*

- Indonesia*, in “The Asia Pacific Journal of Anthropology”, 24 [3], pp. 234-250.
- Moran I.P. 2010, *Punk: The Do-It-Yourself Subculture*, in “Social Sciences Journal” 10 [1], pp. 58-65.
- Muggleton D. 2000, *Inside Subculture: The Postmodern Meaning of Style*, Berg, Oxford.
- O’Hara C. 1995, *The Philosophy of Punk: More Than Noise!!*, AK Press, San Francisco.
- O’Meara C. 2003, *The Raincoats: Breaking Down Punk Rock Masculinities*, in “Popular Music” 22 [3], pp. 299-313.
- Panek A. 2021, *Alchemy of Punk: Transmutation, Subversion, and Poetry in Punk Avant-Gardes*, Logos Verlag, Berlin.
- Patton R.A. 2018, *Punk Crisis: The Global Punk Rock Revolution*, Oxford University Press, New York.
- Pearson D.M. 2019, *Extreme Hardcore Punk and the Analytical Challenges of Rhythm, Riffs, and Timbre in Punk Music*, in “Music Theory Online” 25 [1], pp. 1-14.
- Prinz J. 2014, *The Aesthetics of Punk Rock*, in “Philosophy Compass” 9 [9], pp. 583-593.
- Reynolds S. 2005, *Rip It Up and Start Again: Post-punk 1978–84*, Faber, London.
- Rohrer I. 2014, *The Development of Punk and Hardcore in Buenos Aires*, in “Cohesion and Dissolution”, Springer VS, Wiesbaden, pp. 149-166.
- Sabin R. 1999, *Punk Rock—So What? The Cultural Legacy of Punk*, Routledge, London.
- Savage J. 1991, *England’s Dreaming: The Sex Pistol and Punk Rock*, Faber and Faber, London.
- Smith R. and Banfield J. 2023, ‘Grab it and change it, it’s yours’: *Affect, Attitude and Politics in 1970s Northern Irish Punk Music*, in “Politics and Space” 41 [5], pp. 941-957.
- Stewart F. 2019, ‘No more heroes anymore’: *Marginalized Identities in Punk Memorialization and Curation*, in “Punk and Post Punk” 8 [2], pp. 209-226.
- Streeck J., Goodwin C. and LeBaron C.D. (ed.) 2011, *Embodied Interaction. Language and Body in the Material World*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Thornton S. 1995, *Club Cultures: Music, Media and Subcultural Capital*, Polity, Cambridge.
- Van Leeuwen T. 1998, *Music and Ideology: Notes toward a Sociosemiotics of Mass Media Music*, in “Popular Music and Society” 22 [4], pp. 25-54.
- Van Leeuwen T. 2012, *The Critical Analysis of Musical Discourse*, in “Critical Discourse Studies” 9 [4], pp. 319-328.
- Vass G. and Heffernan A. 2023, *Punk and Education Research: ‘Don’t want to be taught to be no fool’*, in “Research in Education” 115 [1], pp. 3-10.
- Way L.C.S. 2016, *Protest Music, Populism, Politics and Authenticity: The Limits and Potential of Popular Music’s Articulation of Subversive Politics*, in “Journal of Language and Politics” 15 [4], pp. 422-446.
- Way L.C.S. and McKerrell S. (ed.) 2017, *Music as Multimodal Discourse: Semiotics, Power and Protest*, Bloomsbury Publishing, London and New York.
- Woods M. 2024, *Punk, Planetarity, and the Wages of Neocolonial Globalization*, in “Studies in Latin American Popular Culture” 42, pp. 1-21.
- Worley M. 2017, *No Future: Punk, Politics and British Youth Culture, 1976–1984*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Xiao J, Davis M. and Fan Y. 2022, *De-Westernizing Punk: Chinese Punk Lyrics and the Translocal Politics of Resistance*, in “Young” 31 [1], pp. 57-72.
- Zbikowski L. 2009, *Music, Language, and Multimodal Metaphor*, in Forceville C. and Urios-Aparisi E. (eds.), *Multimodal Metaphor*, De Gruyter Mouton, Berlin and New York, pp. 359-382.